

R. & M. No. 3232

# MINISTRY OF AVIATION

AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL REPORTS AND MEMORANDA

# A Record of Information on Oscillatory Aerodynamic Derivative Measurements

By H. HALL

LONDON: HER MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE

1962 PRICE £1 12s. 6d. NET

# A Record of Information on Oscillatory Aerodynamic Derivative Measurements

By H. HALL

COMMUNICATED BY THE DEPUTY CONTROLLER AIRCRAFT (RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT), MINISTRY OF AVIATION

> Reports and Memoranda No. 3232\* July, 1959

Summary. This Note contains the results of a survey on aerodynamic derivative measurements since 1940 made in both this country and abroad. References are given to 53 papers on the subject and it is believed that most of the published work on derivative measurements is recorded.

1. Introduction. In December 1955 a paper was issued by the Royal Aircraft Establishment<sup>1</sup> which recorded information on British wing flutter experiments. It was thought that a similar paper on derivative measurements from both British and foreign sources would be useful. In the present paper information is presented from 24 British sources and 29 foreign (mainly American); the work is divided into two parts according to whether the measurements were made in this country or abroad. A similar form of presentation of the reports listed is adopted as in the compilation on wing flutter<sup>1</sup> and in addition measured derivative values are quoted.

The survey is arbitrarily confined to papers issued during the years 1940 to 1956 inclusive.

This R. and M. should prove useful as a reference work providing within a single paper information from many sources on experimental derivative measurements. It is suggested that the record should be kept up to date by the periodic issue of supplements.

2. Survey of Experimental Work on Derivative Measurements. The survey shows that in this country most effort has been directed to measuring the main surface pitching moment derivatives. Considerable work has also been done on main surface lifting derivatives due to motion in pitch and vertical translation and to measurements of control surface hinge moments. The majority of measurements have been made at the National Physical Laboratory, the first R.A.E. paper being published in 1950. Apart from one set of free flight tests by R.A.E., all work at high Mach numbers has been done at N.P.L.

Much of the American work has also been directed to the investigation of pitching moment derivatives. Particularly valuable results are given by them for hinge moment derivatives in the transonic and supersonic regions, a field of some importance at present. Relatively, much more of the American work has been done at universities and colleges than at similar institutions in this country.

<sup>\*</sup> Previously issued as R.A.E. Tech. Note No. Structures 268—A.R.C. 21,595.

Much more work was done in this country during the war years than in America. Since 1949, however, they have published a great volume of work on the subject. The general picture revealed by the survey is that British work at low subsonic speeds is more comprehensive than the American whereas the converse is true at transonic and supersonic speeds.

3. Presentation of Information. The reports analysed are listed in the two Appendices to this R. and M. Each Appendix is divided up in the following way:

- (1) A list giving the title, author, reference number and date of publication of each report together with a reference number appropriate to this R. and M.
- (2) A précis of each report. Each précis consists of five parts:
  - (a) A summary
  - (b) A record of the parameter variations
  - (c) The model details
  - (d) The results obtained (in most cases so many results are given in the original paper that it has only been possible to give a selection)
  - (e) The site of the tests.
- (3) A series of tables indicating which reports deal with the measurement of particular derivatives. Each table covers the corresponding damping and stiffness derivative, though in some cases the latter includes the virtual inertia derivative.

The sections on parameter variations give, where appropriate, details of Mach number, frequency parameter, Reynolds number, mean incidence, amplitudes of oscillation, axis of oscillation, etc. The axis of oscillation is in general given as a distance aft of the leading edge for an unswept rectangular wing and a distance aft of the apex for a swept or delta wing.

The sections on model details give the following data:

- (i) Sweepback of the leading edge unless some other axis is specified.
- (ii) Aspect ratio =  $2s/c_m$  where s is the semi-span and  $c_m$  the mean chord\*.
- (iii) Taper ratio = Tip-chord/root-chord.
- (iv) Span: The full or semi-span is quoted depending on the model
- (v) Chord: The mean chord is quoted unless otherwise stated.
- (vi) Thickness/chord ratio.
- (vii) Aerofoil section.
- (viii) Construction.

Control and tab details where appropriate are given after these.

The results are presented as overall derivatives unless otherwise stated; overall derivatives being related to the forces on the complete wing. The equivalent constant strip derivatives given in several instances do not give the correct force on each strip of the wing, but are defined in such a way that

<sup>\*</sup> In cases where the aspect ratio is referred to as being infinite, the tests were either performed on a model which spanned the tunnel or on a wing placed between two parallel end plates in the section. In the latter case the extended vortex trail of finite width beyond the edge of the end plates is likely to reduce the effective aspect ratio.

they are constant over the span and after appropriate integration over the span give the correct generalised forces on the wing. In one instance results are presented as local derivatives for five spanwise stations. All results are presented in the British manner following the definitions of the derivatives given in the notation section of this report. No effort has been made to correct for still air damping where this is not done in the original paper. The effect is likely to be small in any case.

4. Notation and Definitions. For the conventional wing-control-tab configuration oscillating in rigid modes the air forces on the system are given by the following expressions which provide definitions of the overall derivatives.

L = Aerodynamic lift on complete wing, positive upwards

$$= \rho V^2 S \left\{ L_Z \frac{Z_A}{c_m} + L_{\alpha} \alpha + L_{\beta} \beta + L_{\gamma} \gamma + L_{\phi} \phi \right\}$$

M = Aerodynamic moment about reference axis A, positive nose up

$$= \rho V^2 S c_m \left\{ M_Z \frac{Z_A}{c_m} + M_{\alpha} \alpha + M_{\beta} \beta + M_{\gamma} \gamma + M_{\phi} \phi \right\}$$

H = Aerodynamic moment about control surface hinge line, positive tail heavy

$$= \rho V^2 S c_m \left\{ H_Z \frac{Z_A}{c_m} + H_{\alpha} \alpha + H_{\beta} \beta + H_{\gamma} \gamma + H_{\phi} \phi \right\}$$

T = Aerodynamic moment about tab hinge line, positive tail heavy

$$= \rho V^2 S c_m \left\{ T_Z \frac{Z_A}{c_m} + T_\alpha \alpha + T_\beta \beta + T_\gamma \gamma + T_\phi \phi \right\}$$

N = Aerodynamic rolling moment about an axis coincident with the wing root, positive in the restoring sense

$$= \frac{1}{2}\rho V^2 Ss \left\{ N_Z \frac{Z_A}{c_m} + N_\alpha \alpha + N_\beta \beta + N_\gamma \gamma + N_\phi \phi \right\}$$

where  $Z_A$  Downward displacement of reference axis of aerofoil

 $\alpha$  Rotation of aerofoil about this axis, positive nose up

 $\beta$  Rotation of control surface about hinge line, positive tail down

$$\gamma$$
 Rotation of tab about hinge line, positive tail down

 $\phi$  Angle of rotation in roll, positive tip downwards

 $L_z$  Component of lift due to vertical translation

 $= l_z + i\nu l_z - \nu^2 l_z$ 

and similarly for the other components of L, M, H, and N.  $l_z$ ,  $l_z$  and  $l_z$  are respectively the stiffness, damping and virtual inertia derivatives.

(82877)

A 2

- Fluid density ρ
- VVelocity of the undisturbed flow
- SWing area
- Span s

Mean chord of wing or other main surface (including control surface and tab)  $c_m$ 

Frequency parameter ν

 $\omega c_m/V$  (If defined in terms of some other length this is indicated)

Circular frequency of oscillation. ω

For a canard control system the lift on the main surface due to oscillation of the control is given by:

$$L = \rho V^2 S \left\{ (l_{\delta} - \nu^2 l_{\delta}) + i\nu l_{\delta} \right\}$$

where  $\delta$  is the angle of rotation of the control surface, positive nose up.

Acknowledgement. The author is greatly indebted to G. C. Cook and Miss Judy A. Poulter for their help in the compilation of this R. and M.

#### REFERENCE

No.

Author 1 D. R. Gaukroger ..

A record of information on British wing flutter experiments. A.R.C. 18,697. December, 1955.

Title, etc.

# APPENDIX I

# List of Reports—British

No.	Author	Title	Reference
1	J. B. Bratt K. C. Wight A. Chinneck	Free oscillations of a symmetrical aerofoil about the half-chord axis at high incidences, and pitching moment derivatives for decaying oscillations.	R. & M. 2214 September, 1940
2	W. P. Jones N. C. Lambourne	Derivative measurements and flutter tests on a model tapered wing.	R. & M. 1945 August, 1941
3	J. B. Bratt K. C. Wight V. J. Tilly	The application of a wattmeter harmonic analyser to the measurement of aerodynamic damping for pitching oscillations.	R. & M. 2063 May, 1942
4	J. B. Bratt W. G. Raymer C. J. W. Miles	Interim note on the measurement of torsional deriva- tives in the Compressed Air Tunnel.	A.R.C. 6339 December, 1942
5	J. B. Bratt W. G. Raymer C. J. W. Miles	Interim report on further measurements of torsional damping in the Compressed Air Tunnel.	A.R.C. 6716 May, 1943
6	C. Scruton W. G. Raymer	Measurements of the direct elevator and fuselage bending derivatives for decaying oscillations.	R. & M. 2323 October, 1943
7	J. B. Bratt C. J. Davis	The influence of aspect ratio and taper on the funda- mental damping derivative coefficient for flexural motion.	R. & M. 2032 February, 1945
8	C. Scruton W. G. Raymer D. V. Dunsdon	Experimental determination of the aerodynamic deriva- tives for flexural aileron flutter of B.A.C. wing type 167.	R. & M. 2373 May, 1945
9	J. B. Bratt K. C. Wight	The effect of mean incidence, amplitude of oscillation, profile, and aspect ratio on pitching moment deriva- tives.	R. & M. 2064 June, 1945
10	J. B. Bratt A. Chinneck	Measurements of the mid-chord pitching moment derivatives at high speeds.	R. & M. 2680 June, 1947
11	N. C. Lambourne A. Chinneck D. R. Betts	Measurements of the aerodynamic derivatives for a horn balanced elevator.	R. & M. 2653 January, 1949
12	A. L. Buchan K. D. Harris P. M. Somervail	The measurements of the derivative $z_w$ for an oscillating aerofoil.	Coll. of Aero. Report No. 40 June, 1950
13	J. B. Bratt K. C. Wight	The effect of sweepback on the fundamental derivative coefficient for flexural motion.	R. & M. 2774 October, 1950
14	K. C. Wight	Measurements of the two-dimensional derivatives of a wing-aileron-tab system with a 1541 section aerofoil. Part I.	R. & M. 2934 October, 1952
15	G. F. Moss	Low speed wind tunnel measurements of longitudinal oscillating derivatives on three wing planforms.	R. & M. 3009 November, 1952

List of Reports-continued

No.	Author	Title	Reference
16	C. Scruton L. Woodgate A. J. Alexander	Measurements of the aerodynamic derivatives for clipped delta wing at low aspect ratio describing pitching and plunging oscillations in incompressible flow.	R. & M. 2925 December, 1952
17	C. Scruton L. Woodgate A. J. Alexander	Measurements of the aerodynamic derivatives for an arrowhead and a delta wing of low aspect ratio describing pitching and plunging oscillations in incompressible flow.	R. & M. 2925 October, 1953
18	R. I. Taylor	Experimental determination of the aileron aerodynamic damping derivative.	A.R.C. 16,920 July, 1954
19	W. G. Molyneux F. Ruddlesden	Derivative measurements and flutter tests on a rectangular wing with a full span control surface oscillating in modes of wing roll and aileron rotation.	R. & M. 3010 February, 1955
20	K. C. Wight	Measurements of two-dimensional derivatives on a wing-aileron-tab system with a 1541 section aerofoil. Part II.	R. & M. 3029 March, 1955
21	W. G. Molyneux	The determination of aerodynamic coefficients from flutter test data.	C.P. 347 April, 1955
22	W. G. Molyneux F. Ruddlesden	A technique for the measurements of pressure distri- bution on oscillating aerofoils with results for a rectangular wing of aspect ratio 3.3.	C.P. 233 June, 1955
23	P. R. Guyett D. E. G. Poulter	Measurements of pitching moment derivatives for a series of rectangular wings at low wind speeds.	C.P. 249 June, 1955
24	G. E. Whitmarsh	Measurements of the derivative $z_w$ for oscillating sweptback wings.	Coll. of Aero. Report No. 92 July, 1955

R.	&	М.	Aeronautical	Research	Council	publication.	Reports	and	Memoranda

C.P. Aeronautical Research Council publication. Current Papers.

A.R.C. Aeronautical Research Council publication. Unpublished report.

'Free oscillations of a symmetrical aerofoil about the half-chord axis at high incidences, and pitching moment derivatives for decaying oscillations', by J. B. Bratt, K. C. Wight and A. Chinneck.

Summary. Tests carried out to investigate the damping characteristics of an aerofoil oscillating sinusoidally about the half-chord axis, measurements of the pitching moment derivative being made for a range of frequency parameter. Tests also made for case of decaying oscillations.

Parameter Variations	
Mach number	0.0273, 0.0546
Frequency parameter	0.09 to $1.23$
Reynolds number	$1\cdot42 imes10^5$ and $2\cdot83 imes10^5$
Mean incidence	0 deg
Amplitude of oscillation	5 deg
Axis of oscillation	mid-chord axis
Model Details	·
Sweepback	0 deg
•	

oweepouer	° <b>~~</b> 8
Aspect ratio	4.44
Taper ratio	1:1
Semi-span	18·48 in.
Chord	9.00 in.
Thickness/chord ratio	0.15
Aerofoil section	Joukowski
Construction	

#### Results

Pitching moment stiffness derivatives due to pitching motion APitching moment damping derivatives due to pitching motion B

where

 $\frac{\frac{1}{2}A}{\frac{1}{2}B} = m_{\alpha} - \nu^2 m_{\dot{\alpha}}$ 

For  $R = 1.42 \times 10^5$  the results are

	ν	A	B
	$1 \cdot 16$	0.862	-0.332
	1.23	0.944	-0.352
and for $R = 2 \cdot 83 \times 10^5$			
	0.260	1.125	-0.191
	0.595	1.018	-0.298

'Derivative measurements and flutter tests on a model tapered wing', by W. P. Jones and N. C. Lambourne.

Summary. Measurements made of the aerodynamic torsional stiffness and damping derivatives over a range of frequency parameter. Flexural damping derivative also measured. A forced oscillation technique was used.

Parameter Variations

0.027 to $0.047$
0 to $2 \cdot 5$ (based on root chord)
$0.3 \times 10^{6}$ to $0.525 \times 10^{6}$
2.5  deg to  5.0  deg

Model Details

Sweepback	of line at $0.3c$ aft of L.E. = 0 deg
Aspect ratio	4•43
Taper ratio	0.52:1
Semi-span	54 in.
Chord (root)	24·3 in.
Thickness/chord ratio	0.12
Aerofoil section	NACA 23012
Construction	Light rib construction with spars of cruciform cross-
	section

#### Results

Pitching moment derivatives are referred to an axis at 0.3c aft of L.E.

ν	$1 \cdot 0$	1.5	$2 \cdot 0$	2.5
$n_{\dot{\phi}}$	1.52	1.46	$1 \cdot 45$	1.43
vm <sub>a</sub>	-0.08	-0.121	-0.161	-0.201
$m_{lpha}$	= -0.0453	and is indepe	ndent of $\nu$	

'The application of a wattmeter harmonic analyser to the measurement of aerodynamic damping for pitching oscillations', by J. B. Bratt, K. C. Wight and V. J. Tilly.

Summary. The paper summarises results obtained by applying the wattmeter harmonic analyser method of measurement to tests on a Joukowski aerofoil over a range of frequency parameter.

$-0.2 \deg$
,

#### Model Details

Sweepback	0 deg
Aspect ratio	$\infty$
Taper ratio	1:1
Semi-span	40 in.
Chord	9 in.
Thickness/chord ratio	0.15
Aerofoil section	Joukowski
Construction	

#### Results

Pitching moment damping derivatives due to pitching motion:  $b_1$ 

#### where $\frac{1}{2}b_1 = \nu m_{\dot{\alpha}}$

The results presented are for a Reynolds number of  $0.142 \times 10^6$ , an amplitude of oscillation of  $5 \cdot 1$  deg and a small mean incidence =  $(-0 \cdot 2 \text{ deg})$ .

ν	0.314	0.628	0.924	$1 \cdot 257$	1.571
<i>b</i> .	-0.388	-0.434	-0.440	-0.453	-0.458

#### A.R.C. 6339 (See also A.R.C. 6716)

'Interim note on the measurement of torsional derivatives in the Compressed Air Tunnel', by J. B. Bratt, W. G. Raymer and C. J. W. Miles.

Summary. Measurements made to determine the effects of change of Reynolds number on torsional damping over a range of mean incidences and frequency parameter. A few measurements of the stiffness derivative were made at a mean incidence 0 deg.

#### Parameter Variations

Mach number	<u> </u>
Frequency parameter	1.00  to  3.00
Reynolds number	$0\!\cdot\!15 imes10^6$ to $4\!\cdot\!0 imes10^6$
Mean incidence	0 deg to 21 deg
Amplitude of oscillation	2 deg and 4 deg
Axis of oscillation	mid-chord axis

Model Details

Sweepback	0 deg
Aspect ratio	6.0
Taper ratio	1:1
Semi-span	$24 \cdot 0$ in.
Chord	8.0 in.
Thickness/chord ratio	0.15
Aerofoil section	NACA 0015
Construction	Steel, with a hollow Duralumin nose

#### Results

Pitching moment damping derivatives due to pitching motion:  $b_1$ Pitching moment stiffness derivatives due to pitching motion:  $a_1$ 

where 
$$\frac{1}{2}a_1 = m_{\alpha} - \nu^2 m_{\dot{\alpha}}$$
  
 $\frac{1}{2}b_1 = \nu m_{\dot{\alpha}}$ 

The results are for  $R = 2 \times 10^6$ , mean incidence of 0 deg and amplitude of oscillation of 4 deg.

ν	$1 \cdot 0$	$1 \cdot 5$	$2 \cdot 0$	$2 \cdot 5$	3.0	o
$b_1$	-0.302	-0.375	-0.437	-0.504	-0.577	
$a_1$	$1 \cdot 090$	$1 \cdot 170$	1.355	$1 \cdot 600$	1.895	

The values of  $a_1$  are uncorrected for structural interference. The structural interference arises because in some of the tests it was necessary to restrict the flexure of the wing. This was done by attaching the wing through a centre bearing to a streamlined earthed structure, the latter causing the interference.

Site: Compressed Air Tunnel, N.P.L.

### A.R.C. 6716 (See also A.R.C. 6339)

'Interim report on further measurements of torsional damping in the Compressed Air Tunnel', by J. B. Bratt, W. G. Raymer and C. J. W. Miles.

Summary. Further tests to measure effect of varying Reynolds number, mean incidence, frequency parameter and amplitude of oscillation on torsional damping.

`
$1 \cdot 0$ to $3 \cdot 5$
$0\cdot3 imes10^6$ to $4\cdot0 imes10^6$
0 deg to 27 deg
2 deg and 4 deg
( mid-chord axis
one-third chord axis
0 deg
4.8
1:1
$24 \cdot 0$ in.
10.0 in.
0.15
NAÇA 0015
As in Report No. 4, steel with hollow Duralumin nose, but with addition of a profiled jacket to increase the chord

#### Results

Pitching moment damping derivatives due to pitching motion:  $b_1$ 

where  $\frac{1}{2}b_1 = \nu m_{\dot{\alpha}}$ 

Results for  $R = 2 \times 10^6$ , mean incidence of 0 deg and an amplitude of oscillation of 4 deg.

ν	$1 \cdot 0$	1.5	$2 \cdot 0$	$2 \cdot 5$	. 3.0
$b_{1(c/2)}$	-0.23	-0.29	-0.34	-0.40	-0.48
$b_{1(c/3)}$	-0.34	-0.56	-0.74	-0.89	-1.08

Site: Compressed Air Tunnel, N.P.L.

'Measurements of the direct elevator and fuselage vertical bending derivatives for decaying oscillations', by C. Scruton and W. G. Raymer.

Summary. Measurements made on a complete wing-fuselage-tail unit model. Decaying oscillation tests on twin-fin and single-fin type rudders and for two different elevator:tailplane chord ratios.

Parameter Variations	
Mach number	0.0182 to 0.0636
Frequency parameter	0.25 to $1.4$ (based on elevator mean chord)
Reynolds number	$0.11 \times 10^6$ to $0.40 \times 10^6$ (based on tailplane mean chord)
Mean chord of elevators	
behind hinge line	0·352, 0·320 ft

Model Details

Aspect ratio of each elevator	$2 \cdot 3$ (= elevator span ÷ elevator mean total chord)
Tailplane span	2·417 ft
Tailplane mean chord	0.883 ft
Total elevator span	1.872 ft
Elevator mean total chord	0·405 ft
Construction	Front fuselage and wings rigid. Rear fuselage attached by cross spring hinges. Elevators fitted to hinge brackets.

#### Results

Results below are for  $E_{\beta} = 0.4$  and twin-fin rudder tailplane.

ν	0.92	0.90	0.78	0.60	0.57	0.45	0.35	0.29	0.27
$-h_{\beta}$	0.38	0.33	0.64	0.54	0.59	0.55	0.47	0.40	0·41 ·
		0.97							

Results below are for  $E_{\beta} = 0.4$  and single-fin rudder tailplane.

ν	0.87	0.77	0.72	0.59	0.43
$-h_{\beta}$	0.61	0.34	0.45	0.38	0.42
$-\nu h_{\dot{\beta}}$	0.86	0.76	0.79	0.64	0.51

Fuselage vertical bending stiffness derivative:  $l_{\psi}$ 

Fuselage vertical bending damping derivative:  $l_{\psi}$ 

Frequency parameters quoted below are based on distance between fuselage bending axis and elevator leading edge (l). The ratio of this distance to the tailplane mean chord =  $2 \cdot 12$ .

ν	1.86	1.41	$1 \cdot 17$	$1 \cdot 00$	0.88	0.72	0.61
$l_{\psi}$	4.72	3.54	3.90	3.64	4.36	3.60	3.37
$\nu l_{\psi}$	5.85	4.47	3.75	3.21	2.96	2.44	2.19

The aerodynamic moment on the rear fuselage is given by

$$L = L_{\psi}\psi + L_{\psi}\dot{\psi} + L_{\psi}\ddot{\psi}$$

the non-dimensional derivatives  $l_{\psi}$  and  $l_{\psi}$  are defined in terms of these quantities by the relations

$$l_{\psi} = \frac{L_{\psi}}{\rho V^2 l c_l s_l}$$
 and  $\nu l_{\psi} = \frac{L_{\psi}}{\rho V^2 l c_l s_l}$ 

where  $c_i$  = tailplane mean chord

 $s_t = tailplane span$ 

Site: 7-ft Square Tunnel, N.P.L.

'The influence of aspect ratio and taper on the fundamental damping derivative coefficient for flexural motion', by J. B. Bratt and C. J. Davis.

Summary. Tests were made on five aerofoils, three rectangular and two linearly tapered, to show effect of varying aspect ratio and taper, employing a method of free decaying oscillations.

Parameter Variations	Rectangular	Tapered	
Mach number	0.034 to $0.105$	Laborea	
Frequency parameter (based on			
root chord)	0.023 to $1.516$	0.072 to 2.095	
Reynolds number	$0\!\cdot\!25 imes10^{6}$ to $1\!\cdot\!3 imes10^{6}$	$0\cdot 25 imes 10^6$ to $1\cdot 3 imes 10^6$	
Aspect ratio	6, 8, 10	6, 8	
Model Details	Rectangular	Tapered	
Sweepback	0 deg	of line $0.3c$ from	
		$L.E. = 0 \deg$	
Taper ratio	1:1	0.524	
Semi-span	60 in.	60 in.	
Chord	20 in., 15 in., 12 in.	20 in., 15 in.	
Thickness/chord ratio	0.15	0.15	
Aerofoil section	NACA 0015		
Construction	Hollow structure of ribs an	d wood covering	

Results

Damping derivative coefficient for rolling motion:  $\lambda_{\phi} = l_{z}$ Results presented below are for the wings of aspect ratio = 6. The Reynolds number in both cases is  $1 \cdot 26 \times 10^{6}$ 

Rectangular wing		Tapered	l wing
ν	$\lambda_{\phi}$ ·	ν	$\lambda_{\phi}$
0.074	1.625	0.098	1.825
0.157	1.490	0.208	$1 \cdot 655$
0·296	$1 \cdot 445$	0.301	$1 \cdot 650$
0.376	$1 \cdot 445$	0.529	$1 \cdot 600$
0.507	1.355	0.704	$1 \cdot 535$

Site: 9-ft  $\times$  7-ft Tunnel, N.P.L.

'Experimental determination of the aerodynamic derivatives for flexural-aileron flutter of Bristol Aircraft Company wing type 167', by C. Scruton, W. G. Raymer and Miss D. V. Dunsdon.

Summary. Measurements made over range of frequency parameter, on a 1/20th scale model at low speeds.

Mach number	0.018 to $0.054$
Frequency parameter	0.473 to $3.82$ (based on root chord)
Reynolds number	$0.2  imes 10^6$ to $0.6  imes 10^6$

#### Model Details

Model wing of light but rigid construction with planform and section similar to that proposed for the B.A.C. wing type 167, but without camber. The wing root was attached to a mock fuselage. The aileron was of light rib and spar construction with paper covering.

Aileron chord aft of hinge line = 0.2 wing chord.

#### Results

At  $R = 0.4 \times 10^6$ ,  $(-h_\beta) = 0.00124$  for  $\nu = 0.473$  to 1.863  $= 0.6 \times 10^{6}$ ,  $(-h_{\beta}) = 0.00143$  for  $\nu = 0.317$  to 1.264 $(-h_{\dot{\alpha}}) = 0.00035$  over the range  $\nu = 0$  to 2  $(-h_{\phi})$  no reliable result obtained  $(-h_{\phi})$  overall mean of rather scattered results gives value of 0.00062 for the frequency parameter range 0.5 to 2.0 $l_{z} = 0$  $l_{\dot{z}}$  over the range  $\nu = 0$  to 4 a representative value is 1.538  $R = 0.3 \times 10^6$ 1.0811.6021.943 $2 \cdot 63$ ν +0.16260.15120.14320.1405 $l_{\xi}$ -0.0103vlį -0.0221-0.0153+0.0019 $R = 0.6 \times 10^6$ 0 0.3440.5340.7771.0721.284ν 0.22890.22180.20890.19490.19570.1890lĘ 0 0.02400.02850.02680.01980.0163 $\nu l_{k}$ 

In this paper there is insufficient data given to transfer all the results to the notation of Section 4. Thus the restoring rolling moment due to aileron rotation is defined as

$$L_{\xi} = 
ho V^2 l^3 (l_{\xi} - \nu^2 l_{\ddot{\xi}} + i \nu l_{\dot{\xi}})$$

where  $\xi$  is the aileron angle, l = 0.75 s and  $c_0$  is the root chord.

'The effect of mean incidence, amplitude of oscillation, profile, and aspect ratio on pitching moment derivatives', by J. B. Bratt and K. C. Wight.

Summary. Measurements made to investigate effect of varying frequency parameter, mean incidence, axis of oscillation, Reynolds number, amplitude of oscillation and aspect ratio. The effect of turbulence wires was noted.

Parameter Variations

Mach number	0.007 to $0.054$
Frequency parameter	0 to 1.571
Reynolds number	$0.142  imes 10^6$ to $0.283  imes 10^6$
Mean incidence	0 deg to 18 deg
Amplitude of oscillation	2 deg to 6 deg
Axis of oscillation	0.333c, 0.5c
Aspect ratio	$1 \cdot 0$ to $\infty$
· · · · · ·	

Model Details

Sweepback	0 deg
Taper ratio	1:1
Semi-span	up to 40 in.
Chord	9.0 in.
Thickness/chord ratio	0.12
Aerofoil section	Joukowski, EC.1550, Elliptic, hollow ground
Construction	

Results

Pitching moment damping derivatives due to pitching motion:  $b_1$ Pitching moment stiffness derivatives due to pitching motion:  $a_1$ 

 $\frac{1}{2}a_1 = m_\alpha - \nu^2 m_{\dot{\alpha}}$  $\frac{1}{2}b_1 = \nu m_{\dot{\alpha}}$ 

The results presented below are nominally two dimensional derivatives for a Joukowski aerofoil oscillating about the axis at 0.333c. The amplitude of oscillation is 6 deg and the mean incidence zero.

	ν		<i>a</i> <sub>1</sub>	l	b <sub>1</sub>
$\begin{array}{c} R = 0.142 \\ \times 10^6 \end{array}$	$R=0.283 \  imes 10^6$	$R = 0.142 \\ \times 10^{6}$	$\begin{array}{c c} R = 0.283 \\ \times 10^6 \end{array}$	$R = 0.142 \\ \times 10^{6}$	$R = 0.283 \\ \times 10^6$
$0 \\ 0 \cdot 314 \\ 0 \cdot 628 \\ 0 \cdot 924 \\ 1 \cdot 257 \\ 1 \cdot 571$	0 0・157 0・314 0・462 0・628 0・785	$\begin{array}{c} 0.533\\ 0.466\\ 0.408\\ 0.358\\ 0.293\\ 0.225\end{array}$	0.550 0.523 0.467 0.446 0.418 0.396	$ \begin{array}{r} -0.218 \\ -0.348 \\ -0.447 \\ -0.543 \\ -0.641 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} -0.126 \\ -0.214 \\ -0.282 \\ -0.349 \\ -0.429 \end{array} $

Site: 4-ft Tunnel, N.P.L.

#### R. & M. 2680 (See also A.R.C. 10,710)

'Measurements of mid-chord pitching moment derivatives at high speeds', by J. B. Bratt and A. Chinneck.

Summary. Measurements made by the method of decaying oscillations over a range of subsonic and supersonic speeds. Variation of frequency parameter was investigated, and conditions giving rise to growing oscillations at subsonic speeds were examined.

#### Parameter Variations

Mach number	0.4 to $0.9$ (1.275, 1.455, 1.515)
Frequency parameter	0 to $0.0334$
Reynolds number	$0.444  imes 10^6$ to $0.822  imes 10^6$
Mean incidence	0 deg
Amplitude of oscillation	0.1  deg to  5.0  deg

#### Model Details

Direct Director	
Sweepback	$0  \deg$
Aspect ratio	00
Taper ratio	1:1
Span	$12 \cdot 0$ in. (tunnel width)
Chord	$2 \cdot 0$ in.
Thickness/chord ratio	0.075
Aerofoil section	Bi-convex
Construction	solid steel

#### Results

Results presented below for supersonic flow are mean values of equivalent constant strip derivatives and are independent of frequency parameter in the range 0 to 0.025.

M	$m_{lpha}$	$-m_{\dot{lpha}}$
$1 \cdot 275$	0.34	0.635
1.455	0.272	0.330
1.515	0.250	0.275

The results below for the subsonic flow are measured values of equivalent constant strip derivatives. Derivatives are dependent on Mach number only for M < 0.6 but for M larger than this they depend on both frequency parameter and Mach number.

M	ν	$-m_{\alpha}$	$m_{lpha}$
0.4	0.0186	$1 \cdot 06$	0.79
$0 \cdot 5$	0.0148	$1 \cdot 04$	0.828
0.6	0.0112	$1 \cdot 14$	0.90
0.7	0.008	1.96	$1 \cdot 04$
0.8	0.0062	$11 \cdot 20$	$1 \cdot 14$
0.85	0.0064	9.9	$1 \cdot 02$
0.875	0.0084	<b>1</b> •14	0.30
0.898	0.00825	0.765	0.38

Site: 1-ft diameter Tunnel, N.P.L.

17

(82877)

в

'Measurements of the aerodynamic derivatives for a horn balanced elevator', by N. C. Lambourne, A. Chinneck and D. B. Betts.

Summary. Measurements made by a forced oscillation method on a complete wing-fuselage-tail unit at low speeds. The effect of mean elevator angle, frequency parameter, trailing edge chords, and transition wires, were investigated.

Parameter Variations

Mach number	0.018 to $0.054$
Frequency parameter	0 to 1.53
Reynolds number	$0.85  imes 10^5$ to $2.54  imes 10^5$
Mean incidence	0 deg, 10 deg
Amplitude of oscillation	1 deg to 4 deg
Elevator angle,	_
at incidence 0 deg	0 deg
at incidence 10 deg	$-8 \text{ deg to } + 11 \cdot 9 \text{ deg}$

#### Model Details

Wings and forward fuselage1/6th scale BlenheimTail and rear fuselage1/6th scale MosquitoElevator construction was light wooden framework covered with stretched paper.Aspect ratio of tailplane4.41

#### Results

The results presented are for zero incidence and mean elevator angle of zero and are mean values of those actually obtained.

$h_{\beta} = 0 \cdot 07866 \bar{h}$	η	$ u h_{\doteta}$ =	$= 0 \cdot 07866 \omega_{\eta} h$
$\omega_\eta$	ν	$-\omega_\eta h_{\dot{\eta}}$	$-\overline{h}_{\eta}$
$     \begin{array}{r}       0 \cdot 1 \\       0 \cdot 2 \\       0 \cdot 3 \\       0 \cdot 4 \\       0 \cdot 5     \end{array} $	0.24 0.48 0.72 0.95 1.19	0.19 0.32 0.48 0.63 0.77	0.56 0.57 0.57 0.58 0.58

Site: 7. ft No. 3 Tunnel, N.P.L.

'The measurement of the derivative  $z_w$  for an oscillating aerofoil', by A. L. Buchan, K. D. Harris and P. M. Somervail.

Summary. Measurements made of the damping derivative  $z_w$  ( $\equiv l_i$ ) for constant chord rigid wings of various aspect ratios having sweepback angles of zero and 45 deg.

Parameter Variations

Mach number	0.048 to $0.157$
Frequency parameter	0.1 to $0.5$
Reynolds number	$0\cdot 10 imes 10^{6}$ to $0\cdot 35 imes 10^{6}$
Aspect ratios	3, 4, 5, 6

#### Model Details

Sweepback	0 deg, 45 deg
Taper ratio	1:1
Span	11·25, 15·0, 18·75, 22·5 in.
Chord	3.75 in.
Thickness/chord ratio	0.20
Aerofoil section	NACA 0020
Construction	

#### Results

The derivative  $l_{i}$ 

ν	τ	Jnswept win	45 deg S	wept wing			
	$AR = 3 \qquad AR = 4 \qquad AR$		$AR = 3 \qquad AR = 4 \qquad Z$		AR = 5	AR = 3	AR = 5
$0 \\ 0 \cdot 5$	$1 \cdot 44 \\ 1 \cdot 25$	$1 \cdot 67$ $1 \cdot 46$	$1 \cdot 90$ $1 \cdot 66$	$1 \cdot 33$ $1 \cdot 09$	$\begin{array}{c}1\cdot 60\\1\cdot 30\end{array}$		

Measured values of the derivative for intermediate values between  $\nu = 0$  and 0.5 lie on straight lines joining the values at these points.

Site: College of Aeronautics, Cranfield.

#### October, 1950

-

#### Report No. 13

'The effect of sweepback on the fundamental derivative coefficient for flexural motion', by J. B. Bratt and K. C. Wight.

Summary. Measurements were made on a rectangular wing with and without sweepback, for a range of frequency parameter.

Parameter Variations	
Mach number	0.0364 to $0.191$
Frequency parameter	0 to $1.6$
Reynolds number	$0\!\cdot\!42 imes10^6$ to $2\!\cdot\!2 imes10^6$
Mean incidence	0 deg
Amplitude of oscillation	0.5 deg
	-

Sweepback	0 deg	41·3 deg
Aspect ratio	6.0	6.0
Taper ratio	1:1	1:1
Semi-span	60 in.	60 in.
Chord	20 in.	20 in.
Thickness/chord ratio	0.15	0.15
Aerofoil section	NACA 001	5
Construction		ooden structure built up from two spars and
	set of ril	os with thin wooden cover.

#### Results

Model Details

Lift damping derivative for rolling motion:  $\lambda_{\phi} = l_{z}$ 

Lift stiffness derivative for rolling motion:  $\lambda_{\phi} = l_z$ 

Lift damping derivative for pitching motion:  $\lambda_{\dot{\theta}}$  (corresponding to  $l_{\dot{\alpha}}$ )

Lift stiffness derivative for pitching motion:  $\lambda_{\theta}$  (corresponding to  $l_{\alpha}$ )

L	Inswept win	ng	,		Swept wir	ıg	
ν	$\lambda_{\dot{\phi}}$	$\lambda_{\phi}$	ν	$\lambda_{\phi}$	$\lambda_{\phi}$	$\lambda_{ m o}$	$\lambda_{\dot{o}}$
0.268	$1 \cdot 401$	0.036	$0 \cdot 1$	0.005	1.245	$1 \cdot 720$	0.826
0.803	$1 \cdot 256$	0.238	$0 \cdot 4$	0.056	$1 \cdot 170$	1.667	$1 \cdot 049$
1.399	1.193	0.374	0.7	$0 \cdot 150$	$1 \cdot 123$	1.575	$1 \cdot 258$
$1 \cdot 871$	$1 \cdot 160$	0.559	$1 \cdot 0$	0.223	$1 \cdot 051$	1.514	1.393
$2 \cdot 406$	$1 \cdot 097$	0.824	$1 \cdot 3$	0.290	$1 \cdot 009$	1.555	1.558
			$1 \cdot 6$	0.402	0.990	1.767	1.552

Site: 9-ft × 7-ft Tunnel, N.P.L.

20

'Measurements of two-dimensional derivatives on a wing-aileron-tab system with a 1541 section aerofoil', by K. C. Wight.

Part I. Direct aileron derivatives.

Summary. Measurements made to show variation with frequency parameter, amplitude of oscillation, Reynolds number, aileron angle and transition point on the wing.

Parameter Variations	
Mach number	0.056 to $0.166$
Frequency parameter	0 to $3 \cdot 0$
Reynolds number	$1\!\cdot\!0 imes 10^6$ to $3\!\cdot\!0 imes 10^6$
Amplitude of oscillation	2.5 deg, 5.0 deg
Aileron angle	0 deg, $\pm 4$ deg, $-8$ deg
Transition point	Natural, $0.4c$ , $0.1c$
Model Details	
Sweepback	0 deg
Aspect ratio	00
Taper ratio	1:1
Semi-span	72 in.
Wing chord	30 in.
Aileron chord	6 in.
Tab chord	1·25 in.
Thickness/chord ratio	0.15
Aerofoil section	1541 (N.P.L. 282)
Construction	Box construction of pine and mahogany, with solid tab.

#### Results

Results presented are for natural transition,  $R = 0.94 \times 10^6$ , mean aileron angle of zero and amplitude 5 deg.

ν	$ \begin{array}{c} \{(-h_{\beta}) - \nu^2  (-h_{\ddot{\beta}})\} \\ \times \ 10^2 \end{array} $	ν	$-h_{\doteta} imes 10^2$
$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0.6 \\ 1.2 \\ 1.6 \\ 2.0 \\ 2.5 \\ 2.0 \\ 2.5 \\ 2.0 \\ 0.0 \\ $	$     \begin{array}{r}       1 \cdot 259 \\       1 \cdot 135 \\       1 \cdot 098 \\       1 \cdot 096 \\       1 \cdot 111 \\       1 \cdot 138 \\       1 \cdot 160 \\     \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c}$	0 · 442 0 · 488 0 · 507 0 · 524 0 · 537
$2 \cdot 5$ $3 \cdot 0$	$1 \cdot 138$ $1 \cdot 169$	$2 \cdot 672$ $3 \cdot 158$	$ \begin{array}{c c} 0.537\\ 0.540 \end{array} $

Site: 9-ft  $\times$  7-ft Tunnel, N.P.L.

'Low speed wind tunnel measurements of longitudinal oscillatory derivatives on three wing planforms', by G. F. Moss.

Summary. Two methods of measurement were used, forced oscillations in pitch and free oscillations in pitch and vertical translation. The tests covered a range of frequency parameter, and amplitude of oscillation. Wings tested were 90 deg apex delta, 40 deg sweptback, and 60 deg sweptback. In first two cases tests were also made with body attached.

Parameter Variations

Mach number	0.091 to $0.274$
Frequency parameter	0 to $0.3$
Reynolds number	$0\cdot74 imes10^6$ to $3\cdot42 imes10^6$
Mean incidence	0 deg [0 deg to 15 deg. Delta]
Amplitude of oscillation	0 deg to 2 deg

Model Details	Delta	60 deg Swept	40 deg Swept
Sweepback ( $\frac{1}{4}$ -chord line)	36·9 deg	60 deg	40 deg
Aspect ratio	3.00, 2.97	3.0	4.4
Taper ratio	0.143	·	0.311
Span	5•485, 3•350 ft	5·33 ft	7·60, 8·124 ft
Chord	1·828, 1·129 ft	1.780 ft	1·722, 1·84 ft
Thickness/chord ratio	0.10	0.06 inboard, $0.120$ tips	0.10
Aerofoil section	RAE 102	RAE 101	EQ 1040
Axis of oscillation	$(0.38c_r)$	$0.884c_r$	$0.553c_r$
	$0.536c_r$	$1 \cdot 111c_r$	$0.962c_r$

Where  $c_r$  is the root chord at the centre-line.

#### Results

Results presented below were obtained by the method of inexorable forcing. Delta wing (with body) 5.845 ft span.

The pitching axis is at $0.380c_r$ and the amplitude is $1.65 \text{ deg}$								
$l_{\alpha} = 1.45$ for $\nu = 0$ to $0.175$								
		$l_{\dot{\alpha}} = 1 \cdot 14$	for $\nu = 0$ .	025 to $0.1^{\circ}$	75			
	1	$m_{\alpha} = -0.$	38 for $\nu =$	0  to  0.175				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $								
ν	0.022	0.05	0.10	0.15	0.17			

60 deg Sweptback wing

The pitching axis is at  $0.884c_r$  and the amplitude is 1.70 deg

$l_{\alpha} =$	$1 \cdot 18$ for $\nu =$	0 to $0.175$
$l_{\dot{\alpha}} =$	$1 \cdot 09$ for $\nu =$	$0\!\cdot\!03$ to $0\!\cdot\!175$

			-0.395				-0.58		
ν	0	0.05	0.10	0.15	ν	0.030	0.05	0.10	0.15

Site:  $11\frac{1}{2}$ -ft ×  $8\frac{1}{2}$ -ft Tunnel, R.A.E.

'Measurements of the aerodynamic derivatives for a clipped delta wing of low aspect ratio describing pitching and plunging oscillations in incompressible flow', by C. Scruton, L. Woodgate and A. J. Alexander.

Summary. Measurements taken over a range of incidence, frequency parameter and amplitude of oscillation for two axis positions.

Parameter Variations	
Mach number	0.054 to $0.109$
Frequency parameter	0.06 to $0.60$
Reynolds number	$0\!\cdot\!75 imes10^6$ to $1\!\cdot\!5 imes10^6$
Mean incidence	0 deg to 15 deg
Amplitude of oscillation	1 deg to 4 deg
Axis of oscillation	$0.754\bar{c}, 0.973\bar{c}$ from apex
where $\bar{c}$ is the mean chord.	

Model	details
-------	---------

Sweepback	68·2 deg
Aspect ratio	1.2
Taper ratio	1:7
Span	28.8 in.
Chord (root)	42 in.
Thickness/chord ratio	0.06
Aerofoil section	RAE 102
Construction	Solid balsa wood with pine framework.

#### Results

The results quoted are for mean values over the frequency parameter range tested. They can be considered to correspond to  $\nu = 0.3$ .

Distance of pitch axis from apex	$l_z$	$l_{\dot{z}}$	l <sub>α</sub>	l <sub>ά</sub>	mz	m <sub>ż</sub>	m <sub>α</sub>	m <sub>à</sub>
$00.754\overline{c}0.973\overline{c}$	0 0 0	$0.552 \\ 0.552 \\ 0.552 \\ 0.552$	0·856 0·856 0·850	1·404 0·988 0·867	0 0 0	$ \begin{array}{r} -0.574 \\ -0.157 \\ -0.036 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} -0.831 \\ -0.195 \\ -0.008 \end{array} $	-1.667 -0.489 -0.265

Site: Low Turbulence Tunnel, N.P.L.

'Measurements of the aerodynamic derivatives for an arrowhead and a delta wing of low aspect ratio describing pitching and plunging oscillations in incompressible flow', by C. Scruton, L. Woodgate and A. J. Alexander.

Summary. The aerodynamic lift and moment derivatives for pitching oscillations were measured at two axis positions for each wing over a range of frequency parameter, incidence, and amplitude of oscillation.

Parameter Variations

Mach number	0.0989, 0.108	
Frequency parameter	0.027 to $0.735$	
Reynolds number	$1\cdot 5 imes 10^6$ and $1\cdot 7 imes 10^6$ a	rrowhead
Mean incidence Amplitude of oscillation	$1 \cdot 1 \times 10^6$ and $1 \cdot 2 \times 10^6$ c 0 deg to 15 deg $1 \cdot 3$ deg to $3 \cdot 8$ deg	lelta
Axis position (dist. from apex) where $\bar{c}$ is the wing mean chord.	Arrowhead $\begin{array}{c} 0.883 \overline{c} \\ 1.063 \overline{c} \end{array}$	Delta 0 · 862 <i>ē</i> 1 · 112 <i>ē</i>
Model Details	Arrowhead	Dalta

ouel Delaiis	Arrowhead	Delta
Sweepback	65·4 deg	$68 \cdot 2 \deg$
Aspect ratio	1.32	1.60
Taper ratio	1:2.6	0
Span	38.5 in.	33.6 in.
Chord (root)	$42 \cdot 0$ in.	42·0 in.
Thickness/chord ratio	0.10	0.06
Aerofoil section	RAE Section	RAE Section
Construction	Pine framework, with	ı solid balsa wood.

#### Results

#### Arrowhead wing

The derivatives given are for v = 0.3 and the wing pitching about an axis  $0.883\bar{c}$  from the apex where  $\bar{c}$  is the mean chord.

$l_z = -$	−0·195,	$l_z = 1 \cdot 223$	$m_z =$	0·008,	$m_{i} = -0.189$
$l_{\alpha} =$	0.950,	$l_{\dot{lpha}} = 0.770$	$m_{\alpha} =$	-0.128,	$m_{\dot{lpha}} = -0 \cdot 268$

Delta wing

The derivatives are for the wing pitching about an axis  $0.862\bar{c}$  from the apex.

ν	$-m_{\alpha}$	$-m_{\dot{\alpha}}$	$l_{\alpha}$	l <sub>à</sub> '
$0 \\ 0 \cdot 25 \\ 0 \cdot 5$	$0.36 \\ 0.36 \\ 0.31$	0.68 0.68 0.68	$ \begin{array}{r}                                     $	 1 · 19 1 · 19

Site: Low Turbulence Tunnel, N.P.L.

# A.R.C. 16,920

#### Valiant Mk. II (Pathfinder)

'Experimental determination of the aileron aerodynamic damping derivatives', by R. I. Taylor.

Summary. Tests made on scale model of wing and aileron of Valiant Mk. II to determine the aileron damping characteristics.

Parameter Variations

Mach number	0.09
Frequency parameter	$0 \cdot 3$ to $1 \cdot 2$
Reynolds number	$0.95 \times 10^6$

#### Model Details

An existing 1/10th scale half model of the Valiant wing was used. The aileron was sealed with a beak and rubber seal which were shrouded. For this aileron  $E_{\beta} = 0.25$ .

#### Results

3

The derivative  $(-h_{\beta})$ 

ν	0.34	0.69	$1 \cdot 04$	0.35	0.65	1.14
$(-h_{\dot{\beta}})$ gap sealed		_		0.0044	0.0063	0.0094
$(-h_{\dot{\beta}})$ gap unsealed	0.0041	0.0060	0.0066		<del></del>	

Site: Vickers Armstrong Limited, Weybridge.

'Derivative measurements and flutter tests on a rectangular wing with a full span control surface oscillating in modes of wing roll and aileron rotation', by W. G. Molyneux and F. Ruddlesden.

Summary. Measurements of the aerodynamic coefficients were made using a technique in which aileron rotation is geared to wing roll so that oscillation occurs in both degrees of freedom simultaneously. Comparison between measured and calculated derivatives is made.

Parameter Variations

Mach number	0.036 to 0.218
Frequency parameter	0.21 to $1.29$
Reynolds number	$0\cdot 39  imes 10^6$ to $2\cdot 32  imes 10^6$
Gear ratio, Aileron angle/roll angle	$\pm 2.3, \pm 4.6, \pm 9.2$

Model	Details
11100000	

Sweepback	0 deg
Aspect ratio	$4 \cdot 05$
Taper ratio	1:1
Semi-span	3.04 ft
Chord	1.50 ft
Thickness/chord ratio	0.10
Aerofoil section	RAE 101
Construction	Solid spruce with single steel spar.

#### Results

These are given as equivalent constant strip derivatives.

$(-h_{\dot{\beta}}) = 0.00464$	$l_{\dot{\beta}} = 0 \cdot 0533$
$(-h_{\beta}) = 0.00861$	$l_{\beta} = 0.602$
$h_{z} = 0$	$l_{z} = 1 \cdot 06$
$h_z = 0$	$l_z = 0$

Site: 5-ft diameter Tunnel, R.A.E. Farnborough.

'Measurements of two-dimensional derivatives on a wing-aileron-tab system with a 1541 section aerofoil', by K. C. Wight.

Part II. Direct tab and cross aileron-tab derivatives.

Summary. Measurements made to illustrate the effect of frequency parameter, Reynolds number, transition point, mean tab angle, and sealing of the control hinge.

Parameter Variations Mach number Frequency parameter Reynolds number Mean aileron angle Mean tab angle Amplitude of oscillation Transition point	0.056  to  0.166 0  to  2.9 $1.0 \times 10^6 \text{ to } 3.0 \times 10^6$ 0  deg,  -8  deg $0 \text{ deg, 4 \text{ deg, 8 deg, } 12.4 \text{ deg}$ 5  deg Natural, $0.1c$ , $0.4c$
Model Details	
Sweepback	0 deg
Aspect ratio	0
Taper `ratio	1:1
Semi-span	72 in.
Wing chord	30 in.
Thickness/chord ratio	0.15
Aerofoil section	1541 (N.P.L. 282)
Construction	Box construction of pine and mahogany, solid magnesium alloy tab.
Aileron chord	6.0 in.
Tab chord	1·25 in.

#### Results

The derivatives given are for  $R = 10^6$  and natural transition and for mean tab and aileron angles = 0 deg.

ν	$-t_{\gamma} \times 10^4$	$-t_{\dot{\gamma}} \times 10^5$	$-h_{\gamma}  imes 10^2$	$-h_{arphi}  imes 10^3$	$-h_{eta}  imes  10^2$	$-h_{\doteta} imes 10^2$	$-t_{eta}  imes 10^4$	$-t_{\dot{eta}}  imes 10^4$
$ \begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0.73\\ 1.21\\ 1.69\\ 2.17\\ 2.66\\ 2.90 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r}     4 \cdot 16 \\     4 \cdot 01 \\     3 \cdot 97 \\     3 \cdot 99 \\     4 \cdot 05 \\     4 \cdot 10 \\     4 \cdot 30 \\ \end{array} $	3.89 3.93 4.15 4.46 4.67	$     \begin{array}{r}       1 \cdot 07 \\       1 \cdot 04 \\       1 \cdot 04 \\       1 \cdot 04 \\       1 \cdot 05 \\       1 \cdot 06 \\       1 \cdot 07 \\     \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c}\\ 0.530\\ 0.585\\ 0.640\\ 0.685\\ 0.725\\ 0.745\end{array}$	$     \begin{array}{r}       1 \cdot 08 \\       0 \cdot 99 \\       1 \cdot 03 \\       0 \cdot 99 \\       1 \cdot 01 \\       1 \cdot 04 \\       1 \cdot 04 \\       1 \cdot 04     \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c}\\ 0\cdot 405\\ 0\cdot 470\\ 0\cdot 480\\ 0\cdot 510\\ 0\cdot 525\\ 0\cdot 540\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 1 \cdot 40 \\ 1 \cdot 49 \\ 1 \cdot 37 \\ 1 \cdot 37 \\ 1 \cdot 50 \\ 1 \cdot 52 \\ 1 \cdot 56 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c}\\ 1 \cdot 03\\ 1 \cdot 00\\ 0 \cdot 99\\ 1 \cdot 01\\ 1 \cdot 00\\ 1 \cdot 04 \end{array} $

Values are also given for the derivatives for  $R = 2 \times 10^6$  and  $3 \times 10^6$ , for transition at 0.1c and 0.4c and for two other values of mean tab and aileron angles.

Site: 9-ft × 7-ft Tunnel, N.P.L.

"The determination of aerodynamic coefficients from flutter test data', by W. G. Molyneux.

Summary. A method is applied enabling the oscillatory aerodynamic coefficients for an aerofoil to be derived from data measured in flutter tests. Measurements on two rectangular wings at two axis positions are used to illustrate the technique.

Parameter Variations						
Mach number	0.076 to $0.104$	0.076 to $0.104$				
Frequency parameter	0.21 to $0.25$ an	d 0·16 to 0·20				
Axis of oscillation	L.E. 1 · 196 ft upstream of L.E.					
Model Details						
Sweepback	$0 \deg$	0 deg				
Aspect ratio	3.70	2.47				
Taper ratio	1:1	1:1				
Semi-span	0•925 ft	0.617 ft				
Chord	0.5 ft	0.5 ft				
Thickness/chord ratio	$0 \cdot 1$	$0 \cdot 1$				
Aerofoil section	RAE 101	RAE 101				
Construction	Solid spruce wit	h balsa leading edge.				

#### Results

Equivalent constant strip derivatives referred to the wing leading edge.

	$l_z$	$l_{\dot{z}}$	$(-m_z)$	$(-m_{\dot{z}})$	l <sub>a</sub>	l <sub>à</sub>	$(-m_{\alpha})$	$(-m_{\dot{\alpha}})$
AR = 3.7, $\nu = 0.21 \text{ to } 0.25$	0.16	2.23	0.19	0.30	1.47	0.98	0.47	1.24
AR = 2.47, $\nu = 0.16 \text{ to } 0.20$	-0.067	2.60	0.29	0.28	1.21	1.16	0.38	1•14

Site: 5-ft diameter Tunnel, R.A.E. Farnborough.

'A technique for the measurement of pressure distribution on oscillating aerofoils, with results for a rectangular wing of aspect ratio  $3 \cdot 3'$ , by W. G. Molyneux and F. Ruddlesden.

Summary. Pressure measurements were made and values for the aerodynamic derivatives were obtained from the integrated pressure distributions. The values are compared with those derived from overall force measurements and theoretical values. The comparison reveals discrepancies with theory and these are thought to be due to a wind tunnel interference effect.

Parameter Variations	
Mach number	0.182 to $0.218$
Frequency parameter	0.275, 0.33
Reynolds number	$2\cdot15 imes10^6$ and $2\cdot58 imes10^6$
Mean incidence	$\pm 2 \cdot 2 \deg$
Roll angle	$\pm 1.02 \text{ deg}$
Model Details	
Sweepback	0 deg
Aspect ratio	3.28
Taper ratio	1:1
Semi-span	32·8 in.
Chord	20 in.
Thickness/chord ratio	0.10
Aerofoil section	RAE 101

#### Results

Construction

The following derivatives are for a frequency parameter of 0.33 and are referred to the wing leading edge.

Solid spruce.

$l_z$	Iż .	$l_{\alpha}$	l <sub>à</sub>	$(-m_{z})$	$(-m_{\dot{z}})$	$(-m_{\alpha})$	$(-m_{\dot{\alpha}})$	n	n <sub>ż</sub>	n <sub>a</sub>	n <sub>à</sub>
-0.20	1.50	· 1·35	2.05	-0.05	0.36	0.25	0.86	-0.13	1.34	1.20	1.86

The following derivatives are for a frequency parameter of 0.275.

 $l_{\phi} = 1 \cdot 15, \quad (-m_{\phi}) = 0 \cdot 21, \quad n_{\phi} = 1 \cdot 30$ 

Site: 5-ft diameter Open Jet Tunnel, R.A.E.

'Measurements of pitching moment derivatives for a series of rectangular wings at low wind speeds', by P. R. Guyett and D. E. G. Poulter.

Summary. Measurements taken on a series of wings of varying aspect ratio over a range of frequency parameter. Tests with end plates fitted to the wings were also made.

Parameter Variations	
Mach number	0.036 to 0.109
Frequency parameter	0.131 to $0.393$
Reynolds number	$0{\cdot}13 imes10^6$ to $0{\cdot}38 imes10^6$
Mean incidence	0 deg
Amplitude of oscillation	$5 \cdot 0$ deg nominal
Axis of oscillation	L.E., T.E.
Aspect ratio	2, 3.25, 4.0, 5.0, 6.5, 8.0, $\infty$
Model Details	
Sweepback	0 deg
Taper ratio	1:1
Semi-span	48, 30, 19.5, 12 in.
Chord	6.0 in.
Thickness/chord ratio	0.10
Aerofoil section	RAE 101
Construction	Sandwich construction, mild steel and spruce.

#### Results

Equivalent constant strip derivatives for pitch about the leading edge.

	AR = 2			AR = 5			AR = 8		
$\nu$ $-m_{\alpha}$ $-\nu m_{\dot{\alpha}}$	$0.131 \\ 0.280 \\ 0.080$	0·197 0·308 0·119	$0.393 \\ 0.282 \\ 0.213$	0·131 0·430 0·083	0·197 0·447 0·090	0·393 0·438 0·158	0·131 0·496 0·066	$0.197 \\ 0.498 \\ 0.099$	$0.393 \\ 0.548 \\ 0.162$

Site: 5-ft diameter Tunnel, R.A.E. Farnborough.

'Measurements of the derivative  $z_w$  for oscillating sweptback wings', by G. E. Whitmarsh.

Summary. Measurements were made of the damping derivatives  $z_w (\equiv l_z)$  for oscillating wings of varying aspect ratio, taper ratio, and sweepback over a range of frequency parameter.

Parameter Variations	
Mach number	0.0546 to $0.182$
Frequency parameter	0.09 to $0.41$
Reynolds number	$1\!\cdot\!2 imes10^5$ to $4\!\cdot\!1 imes10^5$
Aspect ratio	$2 \cdot 63, 3 \cdot 0, 4 \cdot 74, 5 \cdot 0$
Taper ratio	1:1, 1:2, 2:3
Sweepback ( $\frac{1}{4}$ -chord)	30 deg, 45 deg, 60 deg
·	

#### Model details

Chord (root)	3.80 in., 3.75 in.
Aerofoil section	NACA 0018
	NACA 0020

#### Results

¥

The derivative  $l_{\dot{z}}$ 

ע	Sweepback 30 deg		Sweepback 60 deg		Sweepback 45 deg		Unswept
0.1 $0.2$	AR = 2.63 $1.83$ $1.81$	AR = 4.71 $2.33$ $2.11$	AR = 2.63 $1.49$ $1.30$	AR = 4.71 $1.49$ $1.17$	$AR = 3$ $1 \cdot 53$ $1 \cdot 50$	$AR = 5$ $2 \cdot 03$ $1 \cdot 78$	$AR = 3$ $1 \cdot 64$ $1 \cdot 58$
$\begin{array}{c} 0 \cdot 3 \\ 0 \cdot 4 \end{array}$	1.75 1.70	2.00 1.91	1·27 1·26	$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \cdot 06 \\ 1 \cdot 04 \end{array} $	1·40 1·21	$ \begin{array}{r} 1 \cdot 65 \\ 1 \cdot 56 \end{array} $	1.52 1.44

Site: College of Aeronautics, Cranfield.

# The Derivatives $m_{\dot{\alpha}}$ and $m_{\alpha}$

Report No.	Aspect Ratio	Sweepback Angle (deg)	Details of wing	Mach number
1	4.44	0	Rectangular, Joukowski section	0.0273, 0.054
2† 3*	4.43	0 <sub>(0.3c)</sub>	Tapered wing, NACA 23012 section	0.027 to $0.047$
3*	∞	0	Rectangular, Joukowski section	0.027 to $0.054$
4	6.0	0	Rectangular, NACA 0015 section	
5*	4.8	0	Rectangular, NACA 0015 section	
9	$1 \cdot 0$ to $\infty$	0	Rectangular, Joukowski, EC 1550, Elliptic and Hollow Ground sections	0.027 to $0.054$
10	œ	0	Rectangular, Bi-convex section	0·4 to 0·9 1·275, 1·455 1·515
15	2.97 3.0 4.4	36•9 60 40	Delta, RAE 102 section and two sweptback wings, RAE 101 and EQ 1040 sections	0.09 to $0.27$
16	1.2	68.2	Clipped delta wing, RAE 102 section	0.054 to $0.109$
17	$1 \cdot 32 \\ 1 \cdot 6$	$\begin{array}{c} 65 \cdot 4 \\ 68 \cdot 2 \end{array}$	Arrowhead and delta wings	0.0989, 0.108
21	3·7 2·47	0	Two rectangular wings, RAE 101 section	0.076 to $0.104$
22	3.28	0	Rectangular, RAE 101 section	0.182 to $0.218$
23	$2 \cdot 0$ $3 \cdot 25$ $4 \cdot 0$ $5 \cdot 0$ $6 \cdot 5$	0	Rectangular, RAE 101 section	0.036 to 0.109
	8.0 ∞			

\* Damping derivatives only.

.

.

† Derivatives appropriate to torsional oscillations.

Report No.	Aspect Ratio	Sweepback Angle (deg)	Details of wing	Mach number
16*	1.2	68.2	Clipped delta wing, RAE 102 section	0.05 to $0.1$
17	$1 \cdot 32$ $1 \cdot 6$	$\begin{array}{c} 65 \cdot 4 \\ 68 \cdot 2 \end{array}$	Arrowhead and delta wings	0.0989, 0.108
21	$3 \cdot 7$ $2 \cdot 47$	0	Two rectangular wings, RAE 101 section	0.076 to $0.104$
22	3.28	0	Rectangular, RAE 101 section	0.182 to $0.218$

The Derivatives  $m_z$  and  $m_z$ 

\* Derived from results for pitching about two axes.

### TABLE 3

# The Derivatives $l_{\dot{\alpha}}$ and $l_{\alpha}$

Report No.	Aspect Ratio	Sweepback Angle (deg)	Details of wing	Mach number
13	6.0	0, 41.3	Rectangular and swept untapered, NACA 0015 section	0.036 to 0.19
15	2.97	36.9	Delta, RAE 102 section and two sweptback wings,	0.09 to $0.27$
	3.0	60	RAE 101 and EQ 1040 sections	
	4.4	40		
16	1.2	68.2	Clipped delta wing, RAE 102 section	0.054 to $0.109$
17	1.32	65.4	Arrowhead and delta wings	0.099, 0.108
	1.60	68.2	<u> </u>	
21	3.7	0	Rectangular wings, RAE 101 section	0.076 to 0.104
	2.47			
22	3.28	0	Rectangular, RAE 101 section	0.182 to $0.218$

¥.

# The Derivatives $l_{z}$ and $l_{z}$

Report No.	Aspect Ratio	Sweepback Angle (deg)	Details of wing	Mach number
7*	6, 8, 10	0	Rectangular and tapered, NACA 0015 section	0.034 to $0.105$
8		_	B.A.C. wing, type 167	0.018 to $0.054$
12*	3.0, 4.0,	0	Rectangular and sweptback wings, NACA 0020 section	0.048 to $0.157$
	5.0, 6.0	45		
13	. 6	0	Rectangular, NACA 0015 section	0.036 to $0.191$
		41.3		
15*	2.97	36.9	Delta, RAE 102 section and two sweptback wings,	0.09 to $0.27$
	3.0	60	RAE 101 and EQ 1040 sections	
	4.4	40		
16†	1.2	68.2	Clipped delta wing, RAE 102 section	0.054 to $0.109$
17	1.32	65.4	Arrowhead and delta wings	0.0989, 0.108
	1.6	68.2		,
19	4.05	0	Rectangular, RAE 101 section	0.036 to $0.218$
21	3.70	0	Rectangular wings, RAE 101 section	0.076 to $0.104$
	2.47			
22	3.28	0	Rectangular, RAE 101 section	0.182 to $0.218$
24*	$2 \cdot 63, 3 \cdot 0,$	30	Rectangular and tapered wings with NACA 0018 and	0.055 to $0.182$
	4.74, 5.0	45	0020 sections	104
		60		

\* Damping derivatives only.

† Derived from results for pitching about two axes.

# TABLE 5

# The Derivatives $l_{\dot{\beta}}$ and $l_{\beta}$

Report No.	Aspect Ratio	Sweepback Angle (deg)	Details of wing	Mach number
19	4.05	0	Rectangular, RAE 101 section. Full span control.	0.036  to  0.218

The Derivatives  $h_{\beta}$  and  $h_{\beta}$ 

Report No.	Aspect Ratio	Sweepback Angle (deg)	Details of wing	Mach number
6	2.3		Wing-fuselage-tail unit	0.018 to $0.064$
8			B.A.C. wing, type 167	0.018 to $0.054$
11	_	_	Wing-fuselage-tail unit, horn balanced elevator	0.018 to $0.054$
14	00	0	Wing-aileron-tab system, 1541 section	0.056 to $0.166$
18*		<u> </u>	Scale model of Valiant wing	0.09
19	4.05	0	Rectangular, RAE 101 section	0.036 to $0.218$
20	œ	0	Wing-aileron-tab system	0.056 to $0.166$

\* Damping derivatives only.

### TABLE 7

# The Derivatives $h_{\gamma}$ and $h_{\gamma}$

Report No.	Aspect Ratio	Sweepback Angle (deg)	Details of wing	Mach number
20	œ	0	Wing-aileron-tab system	0.056 to $0.166$

# TABLE 8

# The Derivatives $h_{\hat{z}}$ and $h_{z}$

Report No.	Aspect Ratio	Sweepback Angle (deg)	Details of wing	Mach number
8	F	_	B.A.C. wing, type 167	0.018 to $0.054$

## TABLE 9

# The Derivatives $t_{\dot{\gamma}}$ and $t_{\gamma}$

Report No.	Aspect Ratio	Sweepback Angle (deg)	Details of wing	Mach number
20	œ	0	Wing-aileron-tab system	0.056 to $0.166$

## The Derivatives $t_{\dot{\beta}}$ and $t_{\beta}$

Report No.	Aspect Ratio	Sweepback Angle (deg)	Details of wing	Mach number
20	œ	0	Wing-aileron-tab system	0.056 to $0.166$

## TABLE 11

## The Derivatives $l_{\phi}$ and $l_{\phi}$

Report No.	Aspect Ratio	Sweepback Angle (deg)	Details of wing	Mach number
22	3.28	0	Rectangular, RAE 101 section	0.182  to  0.218

### TABLE 12

## The Derivatives $m_{\phi}$ and $m_{\phi}$

Report No.	Aspect Ratio	Sweepback Angle (deg)	Details of wing	Mach number
22	3.28	0	Rectangular, RAE 101 section	0.182 to $0.218$

## TABLE 13

## The Derivatives $n_{\dot{\alpha}}$ and $n_{\alpha}$

ø

Report No.	Aspect Ratio	Sweepback Angle (deg)	Details of wing	Mach number
22	3.28	0	Rectangular, RAE 101 section	0.182 to $0.218$

,

Report No.	Aspect Ratio	Sweepback Angle (deg)	Details of wing	Mách number
2*	4.43	0 <sub>(0·3c)</sub>	Tapered, NACA 23012 section	0.027 to $0.047$
22	3.28	0	Rectangular, RAE 101 section	0.182 to $0.218$

## The Derivatives $n_{\phi}$ and $n_{\phi}$

\*Damping derivative only.

## TABLE 15

## The Derivatives $n_z$ and $n_z$

Report No.	Aspect Ratio	Sweepback Angle (deg)	Details of wing	Mach number
22	. 3.28	0	Rectangular, RAE 101 section	0.182  to  0.218

## TABLE 16

# The Derivatives $l_{\psi}$ and $l_{\psi}$

Report No.	Aspect Ratio	Sweepback Angle (deg)	Details of wing	Mach number
6	2.3		Wing-fuselage-tail unit	0.018 to 0.064

### APPENDIX II

## List of Reports—Foreign

No.	Author	Title	Reference
25	E. G. Reid	Experiments on the lift of airfoils in non-uniform motion.	Stanford University Report TIB P 8282 July, 1942
26	H. Drescher	Results of experiments executed in the wind tunnel of N.L.L. for the determination of the aerodynamic moments upon an oscillating wing.	A.R.C. 9675 January, 1946
27	H. Drescher	An experimental determination of the aerodynamic reactions on an aerofoil with an oscillating rudder.	English Electric Co. Translation 1950
28	G. L. Mitcham J. E. Stevens H. P. Norris	Aerodynamic characteristics and flying qualities of a tail-less triangular-wing airplane configuration as obtained from flights of rocket-propelled models at transonic and low supersonic speeds.	N.A.C.A. TIB 2644 February, 1950
29	M. Tobak D. E. Reese, Jr. B. H. Beam	Experimental damping in pitch of 45 deg triangular wings.	N.A.C.A. TIB 2725 December, 1950
30	R. L. Halfman	Experimental aerodynamic derivatives of a sinusoidally oscillating airfoil in two-dimensional flow.	N.A.C.A. Tech. Note 2465 November, 1951
31	R. L. Halfman H. C. Johnson S. M. Haley	Evaluation of high angle of attack aerodynamic deriva- tive data and stall flutter prediction techniques.	N.A.C.A. Tech. Note 2533 November, 1951
32	J. A. Wyss R. M. Sorensen	An investigation of the control surface flutter derivatives of an NACA $65_1$ –213 airfoil in the Ames 16-ft High Speed Wind Tunnel.	N.A.C.A. TIB 2952 December, 1951
33	J. H. Greidanus A. I. van de Vooren H. Bergh	Experimental determination of the aerodynamic co- efficients of an oscillating wing in incompressible two-dimensional flow.	N.L.L. Amsterdam F 101–104 A.R.C. 15,770 August, 1952
34	B. H. Beam	The effects of oscillation amplitude and frequency on the experimental damping-in-pitch of a triangular wing having an aspect ratio of 4.	N.A.C.A. TIB 3347 September, 1952
35	M. Tobak	Damping in pitch of low aspect ratio wings at subsonic and supersonic speeds.	N.A.C.A. TIB 3686 April, 1953
36	D. E. Reese, Jr.	An experimental investigation at subsonic and supersonic speeds of the torsional damping characteristics of a constant chord control surface of an aspect ratio 2 triangular wing.	N.A.C.A. TIB 3782 July, 1953
37	E. Widmayer, Jr. S. A. Clevenson S. A. Leadbetter	Some measurements of aerodynamic forces and moments at subsonic speeds on a rectangular wing of aspect ratio 2 oscillating about the midchord.	N.A.C.A. TIB 3860 August, 1953

## List of Reports-continued

No.	Author	Title	Reference
38	A. Henderson, Jr.	Investigation at Mach numbers of $1 \cdot 62$ , $1 \cdot 93$ and $2 \cdot 41$ of the effect of oscillation amplitude on the damping in pitch of delta-wing-body combinations.	N.A.C.A. TIB 3940 October, 1953
39	S. A. Leadbetter S. A. Clevenson	Some measurements at subsonic speeds of the aero- dynamic forces and moments on two delta wings of aspect ratios 2 and 4 oscillating about the midchord.	N.A.C.A. TIB 4039 December, 1953
40	S. A. Clevenson J. E. Tomassoni	Experimental investigation of the oscillating forces and moments on a two-dimensional wing equipped with an oscillating circular are spoiler.	N.A.C.A. TIB 4068 January, 1954
41	J. A. Wyss R. Herrera	Effects of angle of attack and airfoil profile on the two- dimensional flutter derivatives for airfoils oscillating in pitch at high subsonic speeds.	N.A.C.A. TIB 4458 October, 1954
42	J. A. Wyss J. C. Monfort	Effects of airfoil profile on the two-dimensional flutter derivatives for wings oscillating in pitch at high subsonic speeds.	N.A.C.A. TIB 4232 November, 1954
43	J. C. Monfort J. A. Wyss	Effects of rigid spoilers on the two-dimensional flutter derivatives of airfoils oscillating in pitch at high subsonic speeds.	N.A.C.A. TIB 4515 December, 1954
44	W. R. Laidlaw R. L. Halfman	Experimental pressure distributions on oscillating low aspect ratio wings.	I.A.S. Preprint No. 499 January, 1955
45	D. A. Reese W. C. A. Carlson	An experimental investigation of the hinge moment characteristics of a constant chord control surface oscillating at high frequency.	N.A.C.A. TIB 4908 December, 1955
46	L. R. Fisher J. H. Lichtenstein K. D. Williams	A preliminary investigation of the effects of frequency and amplitude on the rolling derivatives of an unswept wing model oscillating in roll.	N.A.C.A. Tech. Note 3554 January, 1956
47	R. F. Thompson W. C. Moseley, Jr.	Oscillating hinge moments and flutter characteristics of a flap type control surface on a 4 per cent thick unswept wing with low aspect ratio at transonic speeds.	N.A.C.A. TIB 4995 February, 1956
48	A. G. Rainey	Preliminary study of some factors which affect the stall flutter characteristics of thin wings.	N.A.C.A. Tech. Note 3622 March, 1956
49	W. J. Tuovila R. W. Hess	Aerodynamic damping at Mach numbers of $1 \cdot 3$ and $1 \cdot 6$ of a control surface on a two-dimensional wing by the free oscillation method.	N.A.C.A. TIB 5099 May, 1956
50	A. G. Rainey	Measurement of aerodynamic forces for various mean angles of attack on an airfoil oscillating in pitch and on two finite span wings oscillating in bending with emphasis on damping in the stall.	N.A.C.A. Tech. Note 3643 May, 1956
51	D. E. Reese, Jr.	An experimental investigation of the unsteady lift induced on a wing in the downwash field of an oscillating canard control surface.	N.A.C.A. TIB 4773 June, 1956

с.,

1

+

۲

4

÷

.

## List of Reports-continued

No.	Author	Title	Reference
52	S. A. Clevenson E. Widmayer, Jr.	Experimental measurements of forces and moments on a two-dimensional oscillating wing at subsonic speeds.	N.A.C.A. Tech. Note 3686 June, 1956
53	S. A. Clevenson S. A. Leadbetter	Some measurements of aerodynamic forces and moments at subsonic speeds on a wing tank configuration oscillating in pitch about the wing midchord.	N.A.C.A. Tech. Note 3822 December, 1956
	N.A.C.A.	National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics.	
	N.A.C.A. TIB	Ministry of Supply numbering of N.A.C.A. Research Me	emoranda.

National Luchtvaartlaboratorium.

Institution of Aeronautical Sciences.

N.L.L.

I.A.S.

١

**T**7

#### Stanford University Report TIB P 8282

'Experiments on the lift of airfoils in non-uniform motion', by E. G. Reid.

Summary. Experiments were carried out to determine the effect of frequency parameter, Reynolds number, model size, amplitude of oscillation and mean incidence on the lift on wings due to pitching motion. A forced oscillation technique was used.

Parameter Variations	
Mach number	0.021 to $0.109$
Frequency parameter	0.431 to $4.91$
Reynolds number	$0\cdot125 imes10^6$ to $0\cdot969 imes10^6$
Mean incidence	0 deg to 30 deg
Amplitude of oscillation	1 deg to 5 deg

Model Details	A	B	C	D
Sweepback	0 deg	0 deg	0 deg	0 deg
Aspect ratio	8	00	00	00 .
Taper ratio	1:1	1:1	1:1	1:1
Span	36 in.	36 in.	36 in.	36 in.
Chord	15 in.	15 in.	10 in.	10 in.
Thickness/chord ratio	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15
Aerofoil section	NACA 0015	NACA 0015	NACA 0015	NACA 0015
Axis of oscillation	$0 \cdot 4c$	$0 \cdot 3c$	$0 \cdot 4c$	$0 \cdot 3c$
Construction	Solid spruce	Solid spruce nose, hollow birch tail section	Solid spruce	Solid spruce nose, hollow birch tail section

All wings are mass-balanced about their axes of oscillation by fitting balancing weights in the preformed leading edge recesses of all models.

#### Results

The results presented are for Model A oscillating with an amplitude of 2.5 deg about a mean incidence of zero.

ν	$l_{lpha}- u^2 l_{lpha}$	$\nu l_{\dot{lpha}}$
0.442	$2 \cdot 1715$	0.0530
0.656	1.9309	0.3638
0.986	1.9309	0.6933
1.772	1.7590	$1 \cdot 6100$
$2 \cdot 186$	1.7790	1.9653

Site: 7.5-ft diameter free jet, open return type tunnel at the Guggenheim Aeronautical Laboratory, Stanford University. The tunnel was modified by two symmetrically disposed vertical partitions so that the test section was 3 ft wide.

'Results of experiments executed in the wind tunnel of N.L.L. for the determination of the aerodynamic moments upon an oscillating wing', by H. Drescher.

Summary. A forced oscillation technique is used to determine the two dimensional aerodynamic derivatives for a wing oscillating in pitch about a fixed axis.

Parameter Variations

Mach number	0.0117 to $0.117$
Frequency parameter	0.2 to $2.0$
Reynolds number	$0.8 imes10^5$ to $8 imes10^5$
Mean incidence	0 deg
Axes of oscillation	$0.774c$ , $0.40c$ , $0.0255c$ , $-0.349c$ , $-0.723c$ forward of the $\frac{1}{4}$ -chord point.
Model Details	
Sweepback	0 deg
Aspect ratio	0
Taper ratio	1:1
Span	2.62 ft
Chord	0.98 ft
Thickness/chord ratio	0.06
Aerofoil section	<del></del>
Construction	Wood

#### Results

These are presented for oscillation about an axis 0.0255c forward of the quarter-chord, to which axis the derivatives are referred.

ν	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.2	1.4	1.6	1.8	2.0
$(-m_{\alpha})$ $(-\nu m_{\dot{\alpha}})$	$\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 0511\\ 0\cdot 203\end{array}$	0.0123 0.270	$\begin{array}{c} -0.0262\\ 0.342\end{array}$	$-0.0656 \\ 0.409$	$-0.107 \\ 0.484$	$     \begin{array}{r}       -0.155 \\       0.573     \end{array} $	$-0.196 \\ 0.658$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.230\\ 0.730\end{array}$

Site: N.L.L. Amsterdam.

## Osterreichisches Ingenieuer Archiv. Vol. 4—Parts 3 and 4 English Electric Company Translation

'An experimental determination of the aerodynamic reactions on an aerofoil with an oscillating rudder', by H. Drescher.

Summary. Pressure measurements were made on a wing fitted with a control surface. The tests were made in a water tunnel, the rudder being excited sinusoidally whilst the main wing was kept fixed.

#### Parameter Variations

Mach number	
Frequency parameter	0 to 10
Reynolds number	$0.3 imes10^6$ to $0.9 imes10^6$
Mean incidence	Wing fixed at 0 deg
	Rudder 0 deg
Amplitude of oscillation	$5 \cdot 8 \text{ deg}$
Model Details	
Sweepback	0 deg
Aspect ratio	$\infty$
Taper ratio	1:1
Span	2·46 ft
Chord	0.98 ft
Thickness/chord ratio	
Aerofoil section	Symmetrical Gö 409
Construction	Model made of bronze
Rudder data	Chord = $0 \cdot 3c$ , full span

#### Results

The pitching moment derivatives are referred to the wing leading edge.

ν	0.5	$1 \cdot 0$	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.5	4.0
$egin{aligned} & l_eta & -  u^2 l_{areta} \ & l_{\doteta}  imes 10^2 \ & (-m_eta) -  u^2  (-m_{areta})  imes 10^2 \ & (-m_{areta})  imes 10^2 \end{aligned}$	$ \begin{array}{r} 0.138 \\ -6.30 \\ 0.0655 \\ -0.357 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 0.116 \\ -0.724 \\ 0.0572 \\ 0.953 \end{array} $	$     \begin{array}{r}             0 \cdot 105 \\             - 0 \cdot 0186 \\             0 \cdot 0524 \\             2 \cdot 26 \end{array}     $	0.0994 2.06 0.05 3.57	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \cdot 0982 \\ 2 \cdot 66 \\ 0 \cdot 05 \\ 4 \cdot 88 \end{array} $	0.0936 2.98 0.0476 6.43	$ \begin{array}{r} 0.0828 \\ 3.28 \\ 0.0429 \\ 7.98 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 0.0821 \\ 3.5 \\ 0.0357 \\ 9.64 \end{array} $
$\{(-\dot{h}_{eta})- u^2(-h_{ar{eta}})\}  imes 10^2 \ (-h_{ar{eta}}) imes 10^2$	$0.345 \\ 0.172$	$0.316 \\ 0.259$	0·287 0·287	0 · 259 0 · 287	0·201 0·276	0·144 0·278	0∙0574 0∙287	0 0·288

Site: Aerodynamic Research Station, Göttingen.

N.A.C.A. TIB 2644

'Aerodynamic characteristics and flying qualities of a tail-less triangular-wing airplane configuration as obtained from flights of rocket-propelled models at transonic and low supersonic speeds', by G. L. Mitcham, J. E. Stevens and H. P. Norris.

Summary. Results are given amongst much aerodynamic information of the pitching moment damping derivative due to pitch.

Parameter Variations	
Mach number	0.75 to $1.28$
Reynolds number	$7{\cdot}64 imes10^6$ to $14{\cdot}57 imes10^6$
Mean incidence	0 to 15 deg
Model Details	
Sweepback	60 deg
Aspect ratio	2.31
Taper ratio	0
Span	45.59 in.
Chord	19·74 in.
Thickness/chord ratio	
Aerofoil section	NACA $65_{(06)} - 006.5$
Construction	The model fuselage and components were of Duralumin, magnesium castings and magnesium skin. The fuselage was of the monocoque type divided into three sections.

#### Results

The results presented below are for two centre of gravity positions at 0.2 and 0.25 of the mean aerodynamic chord  $\bar{c}$ . The derivatives are referred to axes at these chordwise points.

Values of  $(-m_{\dot{\alpha}})$ 

М	0.80	0.85	0.90	1.00	1.05	1.10	1.15	1.20
$\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 2\bar{c}\\ 0\cdot 25\bar{c}\end{array}$	1.370	1.507	1·495 —	$\begin{array}{c}1\cdot 350\\0\cdot 725\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}1\cdot 339\\0\cdot 837\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c}1\cdot 336\\1\cdot 014\end{array}$	$1 \cdot 269 \\ 1 \cdot 065$	1 · 169 0 · 938

Site: Langley Pilotless Aircraft Research Station.

'Experimental damping in pitch of 45 deg triangular wings', by M. Tobak, D. E. Reese, Jr. and B. H. Beam.

Summary. The results of an experimental wind-tunnel investigation of the damping in pitch of two triangular wings having leading edges swept back 45 deg are presented. The investigation consisted of tests made of the isolated wings and of the wings in combination with a slender body. Results were obtained by a single degree of freedom oscillation technique at supersonic and subsonic speeds.

#### Parameter Variations

L di difficici y di fidicionis		
Mach number	$     \begin{array}{l}       1 \cdot 15 \text{ to } 1 \cdot 70 \\       0 \cdot 23 \text{ to } 0 \cdot 94     \end{array}   $	
Frequency parameter	Insufficient data	a given to calculate this.
Reynolds number		$1 \cdot 01 \times 10^6$ (supersonic) 1 $0 \cdot 412 \times 10^6$ (subsonic)
Mean incidence	0 deg and 5 deg 0 deg (subsonic	
Axes of oscillation	$\left\{\begin{array}{l} 0\cdot 35ar{c}  ext{ to } 0\cdot 475ar{c} \\ 0\cdot 35ar{c}  ext{ (subsonic)} \end{array}\right\}$	
	$\bar{c}$ is the mean	aerodynamic chord defined as $\frac{2}{S} \int_0^s c^2 dy$
	where $S = wir$	ng area, including portion enclosed by body
	s = sen	ni-span
	$c = \log c$	al chord
Model Details		
Sweepback	45 deg	45 deg
Aspect ratio	4	4

0

30 in.

15 in. 0.06

Aspect ratio Taper ratio Span Chord (root) Thickness/chord ratio Aerofoil section

Construction

Wood over a thin steel spar.

The body of thin laminated wood construction extended 15 in. ahead of the wing apex and terminated at the wing trailing edge. Its maximum diameter was 4 in., giving a ratio of wing span to body diameter of 7.5.

section.

Sharp leading-edge biconvex

0

30 in.

15 in.

0.06

NACA 0006-63

### Results

Experimental pitching moment damping derivatives at subsonic and supersonic speeds for an NACA 0006-63 section triangular wing-body combination at  $\alpha = 0$  deg and axis of rotation at  $0.35\bar{c}$  aft of the leading-edge.

М	$-(m_{\dot{\alpha}})$
0.25 0.40 0.60 0.90 0.93 1.40 1.60 1.70	$\begin{array}{c} 0.356\\ 0.373\\ 0.471\\ 0.720\\ 1.138\\ 0.444\\ 0.044\\ 0.178\\ 0.222\\ \end{array}$

Experimental pitching moment damping derivative for the biconvex section triangular wing-body combination at  $\alpha = 0$  deg and axis of rotation at  $0.35\bar{c}$ .

M	$-(m_{\dot{\alpha}})$
$1 \cdot 35$ $1 \cdot 40$ $1 \cdot 45$ $1 \cdot 50$ $1 \cdot 55$ $1 \cdot 60$ $1 \cdot 70$	$\begin{array}{c} - \ 0 \cdot 018 \\ 0 \cdot 444 \\ 0 \cdot 098 \\ 0 \cdot 124 \\ 0 \cdot 151 \\ 0 \cdot 169 \\ 0 \cdot 178 \end{array}$

Site: Ames 6-ft × 6-ft Supersonic Tunnel.

Ames 12-ft Pressure Tunnel.

'Experimental aerodynamic derivatives of a sinusoidally oscillating airfoil in two-dimensional flow', by R. L. Halfman.

*Summary.* Experimental measurements of the aerodynamic reactions on a symmetrical airfoil oscillating harmonically in a two dimensional flow are presented and analysed. Harmonic motions include pure pitch and pure translation for several amplitudes and superimposed on an initial angle of attack, as well as combined pitch and translation,

#### Parameter Variations

Mach number	0.104 to $0.137$
Frequency parameter	0.106 to $0.92$
Reynolds number	$0.715  imes 10^6$ to $0.930  imes 10^6$
Amplitude of oscillation in pitch	0 to 13.48 deg
Amplitude of oscillation in	
vertical translation	0 to 2 in.
Mean angle of attack	0 deg to 6·1 deg
Elastic axis at 37 per cent chord	

#### Model Details

Sweepback	0 deg
Aspect ratio	00
Taper ratio	1:1
Span	2 ft
Chord	1 ft
Thickness/chord ratio	0.12
Aerofoil section	NACA 0012
Construction	Twin spars of light magnesium and stressed skin covering.

#### Results

All results referred to an axis at 0.37c aft of leading edge and are averages of all the measured values.

Experimental Values of Derivatives for Pure Pitch, Pitch Amplitude 6.74 deg

ν	$l_{lpha} - v^2 l_{\ddot{lpha}}$	νl <sub>à</sub>	$m_{\alpha} - \nu^2 m_{\bar{\alpha}}$	νm <sub>à</sub>
$\begin{array}{c} 0 \cdot 20 \\ 0 \cdot 30 \end{array}$	$2 \cdot 2117$ $2 \cdot 1269$	-0.0773	0.2835 0.2812	-0.0895 -0.1169
$0.40 \\ 0.50$	$2 \cdot 0703$ $2 \cdot 0295$	0.1087 0.2136	0.2780 0.2749	-0.1412 -0.1618
0.60	1.9949	0.3519	0.2780	-0.1877

ν	$l_z - \nu^2 l_{z}$	$ u l_{\dot{z}}$	$m_z - \nu^2 m_{\ddot{z}}$	$ u m_{\dot{z}}$
0.2	0.0496	0.4335	0.0223	0.0682
0.3	0.0936	0.6660	0.0405	0.0955
0.4	0.1665	0.8985	0.0565	0.1210
0.5	0.2589	1.1184	0.0716	0.1439
0.6	0.3581	1.3320	0.1034	0.1718

Experimental Values of Derivatives for Pure Translation; Translation Amplitudes, 1.00 inch

Site: M.I.T. 5-ft  $\times$  7<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-ft Flutter Tunnel.

'Evaluation of high-angle-of-attack aerodynamic-derivative data and stall flutter prediction techniques', by R. L. Halfman, H. C. Johnson and S. M. Haley.

Summary. The problem of stall flutter is approached in two ways. First, using the M.I.T.-N.A.C.A. airfoil oscillator, the aerodynamic reactions on wings oscillating harmonically in pitch and translation in the stall range have been measured. Second, the results of numerous experimental observations of stall flutter have been reviewed and the various known attempts at its prediction have been examined, compared and extended.

#### Parameter Variations

Mach number	0.086 to 0.132
Frequency parameter	$0 \cdot 1$ to $1 \cdot 1$
Reynolds number	$\doteqdot 1 \times 10^6$
Mean incidence	0  deg to  22  deg
Elastic axis	$0 \cdot 37c$
Pitch amplitude	6.08 deg
Translation amplitude	0.9 in.

#### Model Details

Sweepback	0 deg
Aspect ratio	$\infty$
Taper ratio	1:1
Span	
Chord	11.6 in.
Thickness/chord ratio	0.12
Aerofoil section	Max. thickness at 30 per cent chord. The three wings have respectively a blunt, intermediate and sharp nose.
Construction	The three aerofoils used were constructed of sycamore wood and $0.007$ magnesium alloy sheet.

#### Results

All results presented are for a mean incidence of zero.

Experimental values of derivatives for blunt wing in pure pitch and pure translation.

ν.	$l_{lpha} - \nu^2 l_{\hat{lpha}}$	νl <sub>ά</sub>	$m_{\alpha} - \nu^2 m_{\ddot{\alpha}}$	νm <sub>ά</sub>
0.186	2.0619	-0.0716	0.2535	-0.0726
0.336	1.9498	0.0679	0.2384	-0.1008
0•484	1.6887	0.2978	0.2017	-0.1470
0.668	1.4682	0.9904	0.2921	-0.0726

Pure Pitch

(82877)

· v	$l_z - \nu^2 l_{z}$	$ u l_{\dot{z}} $	$m_z - \nu^2 m_{\bar{z}}$	νm <sub>ż</sub>
0.184 0.338 0.498 0.672	$ \begin{array}{r} -0.1510 \\ -0.0542 \\ -0.1045 \\ -0.3382 \end{array} $	0.4659 0.7847 1.1952 1.5901	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.0155 \\ 0.0361 \\ 0.0632 \\ 0.0916 \end{array} $	0.0426 0.0529 0.0813 0.1175

Pure Translation

Experimental values of derivatives for intermediate wing in pure pitch and pure translation.

ν	$l_{lpha} - \nu^2 l_{\ddot{lpha}}$	νl <sub>à</sub>	$m_{lpha} - \nu^2 m_{\ddot{lpha}}$	vm <sub>à</sub>
0.188	2.5519	-0.0886	0.2902	-0.1357
0.336	2.2532	0.1970	0.2950	-0.1131
0•492	2.1929	0.3082	0.2629	-0.1706
0.678	2.0525	0.6672	0.2412	-0.2026

Pure Pitch

ν	$l_z - \nu^2 l_{\ddot{z}}$	νlż	$m_z - \nu^2 m_{\ddot{z}}$	vm <sub>ż</sub>
0.18 0.332 0.544 0.654	$ \begin{array}{c} -0.0955 \\ -0.0891 \\ 0.6518 \\ 0.4414 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 4917 \\ 0\cdot 7279 \\ 0\cdot 8338 \\ 1\cdot 2132 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \cdot 0090 \\ 0 \cdot 0284 \\ 0 \cdot 0813 \\ 0 \cdot 0568 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \cdot 0542 \\ 0 \cdot 0813 \\ 0 \cdot 1523 \\ 0 \cdot 1342 \end{array}$

Pure Translation

Experimental values of derivatives for sharp wing in pure pitch and pure translation.

Pure Pitch

ν	$l_{lpha} - \nu^2 l_{\ddot{lpha}}^{\dagger}$	νl <sub>ά</sub>	$m_{lpha} - \nu^2 m_{\ddot{lpha}}$	vm <sub>à</sub>
$0.190 \\ 0.339 \\ 0.528 \\ 0.674$	$2 \cdot 3446$ $2 \cdot 1835$ $2 \cdot 1882$ $2 \cdot 0393$	0.0820 0.3072 0.2686 0.5456	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \cdot 2507 \\ 0 \cdot 2526 \\ 0 \cdot 2460 \\ 0 \cdot 3119 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} -0.0396 \\ -0.1640 \\ -0.1480 \\ -0.1951 \end{array} $

ν	$l_z - \nu^2 l_z$	$ u l_{\dot{z}}$	$m_z - \nu^2 m_{\ddot{z}}$	$\nu m_{\dot{z}}$
0.178	0.4337	0.1239	0.0052	0.0490
0.330	0.1123	0.7137	0.0271	0.0710
0.492	0.2039	$1 \cdot 0519$	0.0658	0.0852
0.688	-0.0258	1.5230	0.0723	0.1213

#### Pure Translation

#### Site: M.I.T.

'An investigation of the control-surface flutter derivatives of an NACA  $65_1$ -213 airfoil in the Ames 16-foot High-Speed-Wind Tunnel', by J. A. Wyss and R. M. Sorenson.

Summary. Control surface flutter derivatives were determined for a sinusoidally oscillating control surface mounted on a two-dimensional fixed aerofoil. For Mach numbers less than the critical the magnitudes of the resultant hinge-moment coefficients were in reasonable agreement with the values predicted by the theory of Theodersen.

Parameter Variations

Mach number	0.2 to $0.8$
Frequency parameter	0.10 to $4.00$
Reynolds number	$5 imes 10^6$ to $11 imes 10^6$
Mean incidence	0 deg and 4 deg
Amplitude of oscillation	3 deg
Model Details	
Sweepback	0 deg
Aspect ratio	0
Taper ratio	1:1
Span	$18 \frac{1}{8}$ -in.
Chord	4 ft
Thickness/chord ratio	<u> </u>
Aerofoil section	NACA $65_1$ -213 ( $a = 0.5$ )
Construction	Wood and aluminium.
	The control surface chord was 25 per cent of that of the wing. The surface was of the round nosed, unsealed type with a 1/64 in. nose gap and no aerodynamic balance.

#### Results

Data for 0 deg angle of attack.

М	ν	$h_eta -  u^2 h_{eta}$	vh <sub>ģ</sub>
0.2	0.610	-0.0328	-0.0069
	2.386	-0.0346	-0.0238
	4.314	-0.0414	-0.0376
0.6	0.200	-0.0256	-0.0021
	0.774	-0.0247	-0.0007
	1.324	-0.0221	-0.0031
0.75	0.158	-0.0246	-0.0012
	0.600	-0.0266	0.0020
	1.042	-0.0256	-0.0001
0.80	0.146	-0.0347	0.0067
	0.564	-0.0311	0.0212
	0.980	-0.0286	0.0089

Site: Ames 16-ft High Speed Tunnel.

51

(82877)

'Experimental determination of the aerodynamic coefficients of an oscillating wing in incompressible two-dimensional flow'.

ren and
gh.
2

Summary. In this report results are presented for the aerodynamic moment about a fixed axis of rotation of the (plain) wing for five different chordwise locations of this axis.

Parameter Variations				
Mach number	0  to  0.159			
Frequency parameter	0.16  to  2.0			
Reynolds number	$0{\cdot}08 imes10^6$ to $1{\cdot}1 imes10^6$			
Axes of oscillation	-0.5328, $-0.1527$ , $0.2273$ , $0.6075$ , $0.9876$ (in terms of			
	fractions of chord behind leading edge).			
Model Details	,			
Sweepback	0 deg			
Aspect ratio	Ω.			
Taper ratio	1:1			
Span	$31 \cdot 5$ in.			
Chord	11.65 in.			
Thickness/chord ratio	0.073			
Aerofoil section	Maximum thickness was at 30 per cent of the chord from L.E.			
Construction	Symmetrical hollow wooden aerofoil. Mahogany covering was $0.16$ in. thick.			

ν	$l_z - \nu^2 l_z$	$ u l_{\dot{z}}$	$l_{lpha} - \nu^2 l_{\ddot{lpha}}$	$\nu l_{\dot{lpha}}$	$(-m_z)- u^2(-m_{\ddot{z}})$	$\nu(-m_{\dot{z}})$	$(-m_{lpha})- u^2(-m_{\ddot{lpha}})$	$\nu(-m_{\dot{\alpha}})$
$0 \cdot 20$ $0 \cdot 40$ $0 \cdot 80$ $1 \cdot 20$ $1 \cdot 60$ $2 \cdot 00$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.1885 \\ -0.0628 \\ -0.0251 \\ -0.2828 \\ -0.8546 \\ -1.6590 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.6504 \\ 1.0526 \\ 1.6967 \\ 2.2559 \\ 2.7964 \\ 3.3364 \end{array}$	$2 \cdot 4818 \\ 2 \cdot 3044 \\ 2 \cdot 1049 \\ 1 \cdot 9792 \\ 1 \cdot 7718 \\ 1 \cdot 3509$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.1461 \\ 0.1602 \\ 0.8325 \\ 1.4247 \\ 1.8849 \\ 2.0577 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0345\\ 0.0314\\ -0.0628\\ -0.2309\\ -0.4084\\ -0.3769\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \cdot 0283 \\ 0 \cdot 1461 \\ 0 \cdot 5498 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} -0.0055 \\ 0 \\ -0.0236 \\ -0.0919 \\ -0.1744 \\ -0.2733 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \cdot 0573 \\ 0 \cdot 1272 \\ 0 \cdot 2639 \\ 0 \cdot 3974 \\ 0 \cdot 5262 \\ 0 \cdot 6574 \end{array}$

The lift and pitching moment derivatives are referred to the quarter-chord point

Site: A.4 Tunnel National Aeronautical Research Institute.

N.A.C.A. TIB 3347

'The effects of oscillation amplitude and frequency on the experimental damping-in-pitch of a triangular wing having an aspect ratio of 4', by B. H. Beam.

Summary. The results are presented of a wind tunnel investigation of the damping in pitch of a model triangular wing having an aspect ratio of 4 combined with a slender pointed body. A feed-back controlled, forced oscillation technique was used.

Parameter Variations				
Mach number	0.10 to $0.95$			
Frequency parameter	0.046 to $0.104$ at high Mach numbers			
(based on mean chord)	0.165 to $0.42$ at low Mach numbers			
Reynolds number	$0.413 \times 10^6$ and $0.938 \times 10^6$			
Additional data obtained at				
Reynolds numbers	$2{\cdot}25 imes10^{6}$ and $4{\cdot}5 imes10^{6}$ and a			
Mach number of	0.23			
Mean incidence	0 deg to 19 deg			
Amplitude of oscillation	1 deg to 4 deg			
Axes of oscillation	$0.5\dot{6}c_r$ to $0.6\dot{3}c_r$ aft of wing apex where $c_r$ is the root chord.			
Model Details				
Sweepback	45 deg			
Aspect ratio	4			
Taper ratio	0:15			
Span	30 in.			
Chord (mean)	7•5 in.			
Thickness/chord ratio	0.06			
Aerofoil section	NACA 0006-63			
Construction	Wood over a steel spar, with brass stiffeners in the wing tips and at the base of the body.			

continued overleaf

### Results

The results presented are for pitch about an axis at  $0.56c_r$  and a mean incidence of zero at a Reynolds number of  $0.938 \times 10^6$ .

	М	Amplitude of oscillation (deg)	ν	$(-m_{\dot{a}})$
$\omega = 11$ c.p.s.	$0.3 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.9$	2	$0.13 \\ 0.058 \\ 0.046$	$0.48 \\ 0.649 \\ 1.307$
$\omega = 15$ c.p.s.	$0 \cdot 3 \\ 0 \cdot 7 \\ 0 \cdot 9$	2	$0.18 \\ 0.079 \\ 0.063$	$0.404 \\ 0.6 \\ 1.022$
$\omega = 19$ c.p.s.	$0 \cdot 3 \\ 0 \cdot 7 \\ 0 \cdot 9$	2	$0 \cdot 22 \\ 0 \cdot 10 \\ 0 \cdot 080$	$0.258 \\ 0.396 \\ 0.880$
$\omega = 23$ c.p.s.	$0.3 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.9$	2	$0.27 \\ 0.12 \\ 0.097$	0 · 280 0 · 520 0 · 807
$\omega = 27$ c.p.s.	$0.3 \\ 0.7 \\ 0.9$	. 1.5	$0.32 \\ 0.14 \\ 0.11$	$0.24 \\ 0.462 \\ 1.022$

)

Site: Ames 12-ft Pressure Tunnel.

N.A.C.A. TIB 3686

'Damping in pitch of low-aspect-ratio wings at subsonic and supersonic speeds', by M. Tobak

Summary. Results are given of an experimental investigation, using a single degree of freedom free oscillation technique, on the effects of changes in Mach number, aspect ratio and planform on the pitching moment damping derivative due to pitch.

#### Parameter Variations

Mach number ranges	0.6  to  0.9  and  1.2  to  1.9
Frequency parameter	0.0105 to $0.075$
Reynolds number ranges	$1 \cdot 2 \times 10^6$ per foot for major portion of tests. Additional data obtained at $0 \cdot 75 \times 10^6$ per foot and $2 \cdot 4 \times 10^6$ per foot.
Axes of oscillation	$0.20\bar{c}_m$ to $0.45\bar{c}_m$ aft of leading edge at $\bar{c}_m$ where $\bar{c}_m$ is the
	mean aerodynamic chord defined as $\bar{c}_m = \frac{2}{S} \int_0^s c^2 dy$
	S = wing area, including portion enclosed by body
	s = wing semi-span
	c = local chord
Mean incidence	0 deg
Amplitude of oscillation	5 deg

Model Details

Sweepback of leading edge Aspect ratio Taper ratio Span Chord (mean aerodynamic) Thickness/chord ratio Aerofoil section

Construction

 $19 \cdot 1 \text{ deg}$ 45 deg 53·1 deg 45 deg  $63 \cdot 4 \deg$ 4 3 3 2 3 1:2.481:2.460 0 0 25 · 8 in.  $21 \cdot 2$  in. 26 in. 30 in. 26 in. 11.6 in. 10 in.  $9 \cdot 2$  in.  $9 \cdot 2$  in. 14.1 in. 0.030.030.030.030.03Bi-convex Bi-convex Bi-convex NACA NACA circular-0003-63 circularcircular-0003-63 arc arc arc

The delta wings of aspect ratios 2 and 3 were built of aluminium and the remainder were of steel. The models were fitted with bodies such that the distance from the body apex to the wing-body intersection was the same for each model. Ratio of wing area to body maximum cross-sectional area was 17.9 for each model. Forward of the point of maximum radius, the bodies were of thin laminated wood construction. The aluminium afterbodies were cylindrical in shape and terminated at the trailing edges of the wings.

Results

	$(-m_{\dot{\alpha}})$				
$     \begin{array}{c}       1 \cdot 2 \\       1 \cdot 3 \\       1 \cdot 4 \\       1 \cdot 5 \\       1 \cdot 6 \\       1 \cdot 7 \\       1 \cdot 8     \end{array} $	A = 2	A = 3	A = 4		
	0.373	0.204	0.033		
	0.347	0.222	0.053		
	0.324	0.218	0.111		
	0.300	0.213	0.156		
	0.280	0.211	0.187		
	0.258	0.218	0.209		
	0.244	0.227	0.227		

Comparison of experimental pitching moment damping derivatives for three wing-body combinations having triangular wings of aspect ratios, 2, 3 and 4. Axes at  $0.35\tilde{c}_m$ .

 $(\bar{c}_m = \text{wing mean aerodynamic chord})$ 

		$(-m_{\dot{lpha}})$	
М	Delta	Swept and Tapered	Unswept and Tapered
$1 \cdot 2$	0.244	0.160	0.146
$1 \cdot 3$	0.236	0.144	0.033
1.4	0.218	0.156	0.032
$1 \cdot 5$	0.200	0.162	0.081
1.6	0.191	0.162	0.101
1.7	0.200	0.160	0.112
$1 \cdot 8$	0.211	0.156	0.123
1.9	0.218	0.151	0.131

Comparison of experimental pitching moment damping derivatives for three wing-body combinations having triangular, swept, and unswept wings of aspect ratio 3. Pitching axes

Delta	$0 \cdot 418 \bar{c}_m$
Swept	$0.383\bar{c}_m$
Unswept	$0 \cdot 333 \bar{c}_m$

Site: Ames 6-ft × 6-ft Supersonic Wind Tunnel.

'An experimental investigation at subsonic and supersonic speeds of the torsional damping characteristics of a constant-chord control surface of an aspect ratio 2 triangular wing', by D. E. Reese, Jr.

Summary. The effects of variation in the control surface oscillation amplitude, angle of attack and frequency on the hinge moment damping derivative were investigated. A forced oscillation technique was used.

Parameter Variations	
Mach number	0.6 to $0.9$ and $1.3$ to $1.9$
Frequency parameter	0.086 to $0.545$
Reynolds number	$1\cdot 86  imes 10^6$
Mean incidence	0, 5 and 10 deg
Amplitude of oscillation	up to 5 deg
Model Details	
Sweepback	63 deg 26 min
Aspect ratio	2
Taper ratio	0
Span	27·9 in.
Chord	11.27 in. (exposed panel)
Thickness/chord ratio	0.05
Aerofoil section	NACA 0005
Construction	The model was of steel with the exception of the control surface which was fabricated from aluminium.
Aileron data	One wing panel was fitted with a constant chord control surface with an area equal to 23.7 per cent of the exposed area of the wing panel. The chord was 2.9 in.

#### Results

The values for  $(-h_{\beta})$  are the arithmetic mean of three measured values at each Mach number subsonically and of two values at supersonic M. The mean incidence is 0 deg and the aileron amplitude 1 deg.

M	0.6	0.8	0.9	$1 \cdot 3$	1.6	1.9
$h_{\dot{eta}}  imes 10^3$	-6.237	-7·348	-10.263	2.987	0.945	$1 \cdot 153$

The values of  $(h_{\beta} - \nu^2 h_{\beta})$  are for a mean incidence of 0 deg and an aileron amplitude of 5 deg.

M	0.6	0.8	$0 \cdot 9$	$1 \cdot 3$	1.4	$1 \cdot 6$	$1 \cdot 8$	$1 \cdot 9$
$-(h_eta- u^2h_{eta}) imes 10^3$	$2 \cdot 620$	3.010	$4 \cdot 091$	5.049	4.261	$3 \cdot 557$	3.133	2.928

Site: Ames 6-ft  $\times$  6-ft Supersonic Tunnel.

'Some measurements of aerodynamic forces and moments at subsonic speeds on a rectangular wing of aspect ratio 2 oscillating about the mid-chord', by E. Widmayer, Jr., S. A. Clevenson and S. A. Leadbetter.

Summary. Measurements were made of the aerodynamic forces and moments acting on a rectangular wing of aspect ratio 2 which was oscillated about the mid-chord. These measurements were made at four frequencies (31, 43, 54 and 62 c.p.s.) using a resonant oscillation technique.

Parameter Variations

0.15 to $0.81$
0.3 to $2.64$
$0\!\cdot\!60 imes10^6$ to $9\!\cdot\!21 imes10^6$
0.5 chord

Model Details

Sweepback	0 deg
Aspect ratio	2
Taper ratio	1:1
Semi-span	12 in.
Chord	12 in.
Thickness/chord ratio	0.10
Aerofoil section	NACA 65A010
Construction	A steel box spar carried four evenly spaced ribs to which plywood skin was attached.

ν	M	R	$l_{\alpha} - \nu^2 l_{\ddot{\alpha}}$	$\nu l_{\dot{lpha}}$	$m_{\alpha} - \nu^2 m_{\ddot{\alpha}}$	νm <sub>ά</sub>
0.902	0.261	$1.872 \times 10^{6}$	2.576	1.376	0.955	$-0.20^{\circ}$
0.632	0.360	$2.306 \times 10^{6}$	2.821	0.848	0.520	-0.14
0.496	0.459	$2.958 \times 10^{6}$	2.840	0.820	0.602	-0.140
0.383	0.563	$3.346 \times 10^{6}$	3.010	0.327	0.738	-0.15
0.347	0.653	$1.874 \times 10^{6}$	2.856	0.635	0.660	-0.14
0.293	0.754	$2.051 \times 10^{6}$	3.271	0.355	0.714	-0.14
0.289	0.784	$1.131 \times 10^{6}$	3.123	0.185	0.768	-0.15

Results

Pitching moment derivatives are referred to the mid-chord axis.

Site: Langley 2-ft × 4-ft Flutter Research Tunnel.

'Investigations at Mach numbers of 1.62, 1.93 and 2.41 of the effect of oscillation amplitude on the damping in pitch of delta-wing-body combinations', by A. Henderson, Jr.

*Summary.* In order to assess the validity of the linear theory, which accounts for neither viscous nor amplitude effects, tests were made of four delta wing and body combinations, to determine the effect of oscillation amplitude on the pitching moment damping.

Parameter Variations				
Mach number	1.62, 1.93,	2.41		
Frequency parameter	0.0063 to (	) • 0267		
Reynolds number	$0.33 \times 10^{6}$	to $1 \cdot 27 \times 10^6$	i .	
Mean incidence	0 deg			
Amplitude of oscillation	0 deg to $\pm$	4 deg		
Model Details	×		, ·	
Wing number	1	2	3	4
Sweepback	65 deg	60 deg	55 deg	45 deg
Aspect ratio (part of wing sub-				
merged in body included)	$1 \cdot 87$	2.31	2.82	3.45
Taper ratio	0	0	0	0
Span (tip to tip)	5·10 in.	5·68 in.	6·25 in.	7·5 in.
Mean chord	2·74 in.	2•46 in.	2·23 in.	1·88 in.
Thickness/chord ratio		—	_	
Aerofoil section	—			—
Construction	Four delta	wing and s	lender body	combinations. The
	bodies w	vere the same	and consisted	l of parabolic nose
	sections,	a circular cy	lindrical secti	on along the wing
	body jur	ncture and a b	oat tailed after	body.
Body diameter/wing span	0.147	0.132	0.120	0.100
Axis of oscillation	$0 \cdot 51c_r$	$0 \cdot 53c_r$	$0 \cdot 57c_r$	$0.63c_r$
(distance aft of apex)	where $c_r$ is	the root chore	d.	

#### Results

The experimental results are too complex to be presented. They do approximate satisfactorily though with theoretical results. The ones given below are for the 60 deg swept wing and are independent of amplitude of oscillation over the range tested.

M	$1 \cdot 62$	1.93	$2 \cdot 41$
$-m_{\dot{\alpha}}$	0.303	0.258	0.214

Site: Langley 9-inch Supersonic Tunnel.

'Some measurements at subsonic speeds of the aerodynamic forces and moments on two delta wings of aspect ratios 2 and 4 oscillating about the mid-chord', by S. A. Leadbetter and S. A. Clevenson.

Summary. Air forces and moments acting on delta wings oscillating about the root mid-chord position have been measured. Measurements were made using a resonant oscillation technique. The measured values for the delta wing with aspect ratio of 2 were also compared with the results of 'vanishing-aspect-ratio' theory and good agreement was shown for the lift coefficients.

#### Parameter Variations

Mach number	0.19 to $0.81$
Frequency parameter	0.08 to $0.81$
Reynolds number	$0{\cdot}45 imes10^6$ to $2{\cdot}20 imes10^6$
Axis of oscillation	0.5 chord at root
Amplitude of oscillation	· 2 deg

Model Details

Sweepback	63 deg 26 min	45 deg
Aspect ratio	2	4
Taper ratio	0:1	0:1
Span	12 in. semi-span	12 in. semi-span
Chord (root)	24 in.	12 in.
Thickness/chord ratio	0.10	
Aerofoil section	NACA 65A010	
Construction	Thick-skin balsa co	vered with glass cloth.

#### Results

For AR = 2 wing

ν	M	$l_{lpha} - \nu^2 l_{\ddot{lpha}}$	$\nu l_{\dot{\alpha}}$	$\{(-m_{\alpha}) - \nu^2(-m_{\dot{\alpha}})\}$	$\nu(-m_{\dot{\alpha}})$
0.32	0.78	1.3903	0.1461	0.128	0.050
0.40	0.57	1.5359	0.2432	0.125	0.036
0.48	0.46	1.5049	0.2383	0.097	0.056
0.56	0.39	1.5517	0.3298	0.094	0.056
0.62	0.36	1.5546	0.3876	0.067	0.078
0.82	0.25	1.3603	0.7854	0.028	0.090

#### For AR = 4 wing

ν	M	$l_{lpha} - \nu^2 l_{\ddot{lpha}}$	νl <sub>ά</sub>	$\{(-m_{\alpha}) - \nu^2(-m_{\ddot{\alpha}})\}$	$\nu(-m_{\dot{\alpha}})$
0.16 0.22	$0.76 \\ 0.54$	2·1358 1·8374	-0.0372 -0.0319	$\begin{array}{c} 0.228\\ 0.133\end{array}$	0.037 $0.016$
$0.22 \\ 0.28 \\ 0.34$	0.42	1.8532	0.0322	0.157	0.043
0.34 0.38	$\begin{array}{c c} 0\cdot 34 \\ 0\cdot 31 \end{array}$	1·9744 1·7882	$0.1379 \\ 0.0936$	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.196\\ 0.196 \end{array} $	$0.048 \\ 0.054$

Site: Langley 2-ft × 4-ft Flutter Research Tunnel.

Report No. 40

'Experimental investigation of the oscillating forces and moments on a two-dimensional wing equipped with an oscillating circular-arc spoiler', by S. A. Clevenson and J. E. Tomassoni.

Summary. Results are presented of an experimental investigation on the oscillating forces and moments on a two-dimensional wing equipped with an oscillating circular-arc spoiler. The forces and moments and their phase angles with respect to spoiler motion were determined from measurements of the instantaneous pressure distribution and the nature of the flow over the wing was studied with Schlieren photographs.

Parameter Variations

Mach number	0.20 to $0.82$
Frequency parameter	0 to 1.84
Reynolds number	$1\cdot 3 imes 10^6$ to $6\cdot 3 imes 10^6$
Incidence	0 deg
Spoiler frequency	0 to 35 c.p.s.
Model Details	
Sweepback	0 deg
· Aspect ratio	00
Taper ratio	1:1
Span	<u> </u>
Chord	2 ft
Thickness/chord ratio	0.10
Aerofoil section	NACA 65-010
Construction	The model was constructed with an H-type main spar attached rigidly to the tunnel walls to prevent motion of the aerofoil. A thick skin of aluminium alloy was fabricated to give the aerofoil shape and was attached to the spar in spanwise sections to allow access to the
Spoiler	pressure gauges and spoiler mechanism. This was located at 0.7 chord aft of the leading edge and extended over the whole span. The maximum amplitude of the spoiler was 0.50 in. ( $\equiv 2.1$ per cent chord).

#### Results

The results presented are for M = 0.6 and  $R = 2 \times 10^6$ .

ν	$m_{\alpha} - \nu^2 m_{\ddot{\alpha}}$	vm <sub>å</sub>	$l_{lpha} - \nu^2 l_{\ddot{lpha}}$	νl <sub>à</sub>
$0 \\ 0 \cdot 10 \\ 0 \cdot 20 \\ 0 \cdot 30 \\ 0 \cdot 40 \\ 0 \cdot 50 \\ 0 \cdot 60 $	$\begin{array}{c} 0\cdot 0045\\ 0\cdot 0043\\ 0\cdot 0038\\ 0\cdot 0032\\ 0\cdot 0026\\ 0\cdot 0022\\ 0\cdot 0016\\ \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ -0.0025 \\ -0.0043 \\ -0.0056 \\ -0.0070 \\ -0.0082 \\ -0.0088 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} -0.07387 \\ -0.06815 \\ -0.05845 \\ -0.05119 \\ -0.04065 \\ -0.03215 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c}\\ 0\cdot 01305\\ 0\cdot 02479\\ 0\cdot 03375\\ 0\cdot 03587\\ 0\cdot 04065\\ 0\cdot 03830\end{array}$

The pitching moment coefficients are referred to the quarter-chord and the l's are normal force coefficients.

Site: Langley 2-ft  $\times$  4-ft Flutter Tunnel.

'Effects of angle of attack and airfoil profile on the two-dimensional flutter derivatives for airfoils oscillating in pitch at high subsonic speeds', by J. A. Wyss and R. Herrera.

Summary. Two-dimensional aerodynamic lift and moment flutter derivatives are presented for moderate and high angles of attack for several airfoil profiles varying in thickness and thickness distribution.

Parameter Variations

Mach number range	0.2 to $0.87$
Frequency parameter	0.24 to $2.4$ at $M = 0.2$
	0.06  to  0.60  at  M = 0.86
Reynolds number range	$3 imes 10^6$ to $8 imes 10^6$
Amplitude of oscillation	1 deg
Mean angle of attack	0 to 10 deg
Axis of oscillation	0.25 chord

Model Details

Sweepback	0 deg	0 deg	0 deg	0 deg	0 deg
Aspect ratio	$\infty$	00	ø	∞	8
Taper ratio	1:1	1:1	1:1	1:1	1:1
Span		<u> </u>			
Chord	2 ft	2 ft	2 ft	2 ft	2 ft
Thickness/chord ratio	0.12	0.08	0.04		0.08
Aerofoil section	NACA	NACA	NACA	NACA	NACA
	65A012	65A008	65A004	2-008	877A008
Construction		_		<u></u> .	

#### Results

For NACA 65A008 section when mean angle of attack is zero and M = 0.59.

ν	$\nu l_{\dot{\alpha}}$	$l_{\alpha} - \nu^2 l_{\dot{\alpha}}$	vm <sub>a</sub>	$m_{lpha} - \nu^2 m_{\ddot{lpha}}$
0.2	-0.675	2.922	-0.177	0.177
0.3	-0.745	2.777	-0.219	0.205
0.4	-0.598	2.812	-0.303	0.175
0.5	-0.582	2.738	-0.376	0.137
0.6	-0.572	2.689	-0.429	0.135
0.7	0.294	$2 \cdot 808$	-0.525	0.165

Site: Ames 16-ft High Speed Tunnel.

'Effects of airfoil profile on the two-dimensional flutter derivatives for wings oscillating in . pitch at high subsonic speeds', by J. A. Wyss and J. C. Monfort.

*Summary.* Aerodynamic lift and moment flutter derivatives were determined at high subsonic speeds for a series of two-dimensional airfoils varying in thickness and thickness distribution. The wings were oscillated sinusoidally in pitch.

Parameter Variations	
Mach number	0.491 to $0.942$
Frequency parameter	$\{0.09 \text{ to } 0.9 \text{ at } M = 0.5 \\ 0.05 \text{ to } 0.5 \text{ at } M = 0.9 \}$
Reynolds number	$5 imes 10^6$ to $8 imes 10^6$
Axis of oscillation	0.25 chord
Mean incidence	0 deg and 2 deg
Model Details	
Sweepback	0 deg
Aspect ratio	00
Taper ratio	1:1
Span	18·25 in.
Chord	24·0 in.
Thickness/chord ratios	0.12, 0.08  and  0.04
Aerofoil sections	NACA 65A012, 65A008, 2 <sup>-008</sup> , 877A008, 65A004.
Construction	Wood-rib and wood-stressed-skin built around steel spars at the quarter-chord, which was axis of rotation. Several wood spars at other chordwise locations were used to minimise spanwise twisting.

#### Results

For NACA 65A012 with  $\alpha_m = 0$  deg at M = 0.885.

ν	$l_{lpha} - \nu^2 l_{\ddot{lpha}}$	νl <sub>ά</sub>	$m_{\alpha} - \nu^2 m_{\ddot{\alpha}}$	νm <sub>à</sub>
0.06 0.098	$0.3291 \\ -0.0151$	0.3528 0.3201	$1 \cdot 3303$ $1 \cdot 5244$	-0.2803 -0.3240
0.194	0.6376	0.6934	0.8055	-0.9136
$0.298 \\ 0.402$	$1 \cdot 0086$ $0 \cdot 6083$	$0.8830 \\ 0.3980$	$0.6783 \\ 0.3419$	$  -0.6927 \\ -0.5069$
0.492	1.3393	0.0562	0.4667	-0.8084

For	NACA	65A004	with	$\alpha_m$	= 0	deg	at	M	=	$0 \cdot 9$	900	).
-----	------	--------	------	------------	-----	-----	----	---	---	-------------	-----	----

ν	$l_{\alpha} - \nu^2 l_{\ddot{\alpha}}$	$\nu l_{\dot{\alpha}}$	$m_{\alpha} - \nu^2 m_{\ddot{\alpha}}$	vm <sub>à</sub>
$ \begin{array}{c} 0.050 \\ 0.100 \\ 0.294 \\ 0.390 \\ 0.440 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{r} 3 \cdot 5679 \\ 4 \cdot 2965 \\ 2 \cdot 2436 \\ 4 \cdot 0481 \\ 3 \cdot 7359 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} -0.8039 \\ -1.1832 \\ -0.7334 \\ 0.0425 \\ -1.5324 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.3159\\ 0.2411\\ 0.0024\\ 0.1235\\ 0.5611\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} -0.1694 \\ -0.2793 \\ -0.2259 \\ -0.5912 \\ -0.7954 \\ \end{array} $

Site: Ames 16-ft High Speed Wind Tunnel.

'Effects of rigid spoilers on the two-dimensional flutter derivatives of airfoils oscillating in pitch at high subsonic speeds', by J. C. Monfort and J. A. Wyss.

Summary. A study was made of the effects of spoilers having fixed heights equal to  $2\frac{1}{2}$  and 4 per cent of the aerofoil chord, on the aerodynamic lift and moment flutter derivatives of two dimensional airfoils oscillated in pitch.

#### Parameter Variations

$\begin{cases} 0.09 \text{ to } 0.9 \text{ at } M = 0.5 \\ 0.05 \text{ to } 0.5 \text{ at } M = 0.9 \end{cases}$
$5 imes 10^6$ to $8 imes 10^6$
1 deg
0.25 chord
2 deg

#### Model Details

These are identical with those given in N.A.C.A. TIB 4232 (Report No. 42 of this R. & M.) apart from the spoilers which were fixed at the 70 per cent chord station on the upper surface of the aerofoils and extended over the whole span.

#### Results

For NACA 65A008 at M = 0.787 with spoiler height 4 per cent and mean angle of attack 2 deg.

ν	$l_{lpha} - \nu^2 l_{\ddot{lpha}}$	$\nu l_{\dot{\alpha}}$	$m_{\alpha} - \nu^2 m_{\ddot{\alpha}}$	vm <sub>a</sub>
$\begin{array}{c} 0 \cdot 076 \\ 0 \cdot 116 \\ 0 \cdot 226 \\ 0 \cdot 460 \\ 0 \cdot 568 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4 \cdot 0291 \\ 4 \cdot 0095 \\ 3 \cdot 0463 \\ 2 \cdot 6970 \\ 4 \cdot 2189 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} -0.2677 \\ -0.6636 \\ -1.5056 \\ -0.4030 \\ -0.4583 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.1371 \\ 0.0886 \\ 0.0090 \\ -0.0297 \\ -0.2488 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} -0.0689 \\ -0.1391 \\ -0.2063 \\ -0.2980 \\ -0.6948 \end{array} $

For NACA 65A012 at M = 0.790 with spoiler height 4 per cent and mean angle of attack 2 deg.

ν	$l_{lpha} - \nu^2 l_{\ddot{lpha}}$	νl <sub>à</sub>	$m_{\alpha} - \nu^2 m_{\ddot{\alpha}}$	vm <sub>a</sub>
0.054	4.7650	0	-0.4905	0.0214
0.108	4.0766	-1.0391	-0.4174	0.1189
0.224	2.9888	-1.7050	-0.1977	0.1642
0.442	2.4533	-0.6989	+0.0705	0.0145
0.550	4.2695	-0.8529	-0.2077	-0.0344

Site: Ames 16-ft High Speed Tunnel.

'Experimental pressure distributions on oscillating low aspect ratio wings', by W. R. Laidlaw and R. L. Halfman.

Summary. The distribution of pressure over the surface of low aspect ratio wings oscillating in an incompressible flow has been measured using a small lightweight barium titanite pressure transducer. These pressures were integrated numerically to yield total lift and pitching moment coefficients. Results are presented for rectangular, sweptback and delta planforms.

#### Parameter Variations

Mach number	0.118		
The second second	(0.40  to  0.90  (Rectangular and sweptback wings))		
Frequency parameter	0.60 to $1.20$ (Delta wings)		
	$(1.4 \times 10^6$ for rectangular and swept wings		
Reynolds number	$2 \cdot 1 \times 10^6$ for delta wings (based on root chord)		
Mean incidence	0 deg		
	$(0.5c_r)$ for rectangular and delta wings		
Pitching axis of oscillation	$0.7c_r$ for the sweptback wing		
	where $c_r$ is the root chord.		

#### Model Details

The available details are given below:

M/imme	1	Ucotononi	0 # 3371 # 0	* 00th001	* #9110	
Wing		Rectangul	IAL WILLS	$\cdot$ as $u \in U$	LIAUO	<b>+</b> •
11111		200000000000000000000000000000000000000		,		

- Wing 2. Rectangular wing, aspect ratio 2.
- Wing 3. 45 deg sweptback constant chord wing, aspect ratio 2.

Wing 4. 60 deg leading edge sweep delta wing, aspect ratio 2.31.

Wing 5. 75 deg leading edge sweep delta wing, aspect ratio 1.07.

Wing 6. Rectangular wing, aspect ratio 2 fitted with wing tip tanks of circular cross section, fineness ratio 11.1 and a length to wing chord ratio of 2.

#### Results

The results presented are for oscillation in the mode of vertical translation. Values for the delta wings are not tabulated as insufficient data is given to transform to the British notation.

Rectangular wing, AR = 2

	$\nu \qquad 0.474$	0.636	0.796	0.870
	$-\nu^2 l_z = -0.0627$	-0.184	-0.337	-0.446
•	$l_{\star}$ $0.617$	0.737	0.926	$1 \cdot 011$
	$-\nu^2 m_{z} = 0.0154$	0.0157	0.0209	0.00872
	$m_{\dot{z}} = 0.139$	$0 \cdot 179$	0.239	0.250
Swept wing, $AR =$	= 2			
1 0	$\nu = 0.474$	0.634	0.706	0.796
l., –	$-\nu^2 l_z = 0.0287$	-0.0660	-0.130	-0.225
-	$l_{*} = 0.529$	0.754	0.820	0.974
<i>m</i> ~ –	$-\nu^2 m_{z} = 0.0107$	0.0408	0.0483	0.101
	$(-m_{\dot{z}})^{2}$ $0.153$	0.231	0.248	0.305

The pitching moment derivatives are referred to the half chord. Results for the lift and moment derivatives due to pitching of the wing can be found in the Sc.D. Thesis, M.I.T. by W. R. Laidlaw in May, 1954.

Site: M.I.T. 5-ft  $\times$  7<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-ft Low Speed Flutter Wind Tunnel.

65

Е

'An experimental investigation of the hinge moment characteristics of a constant chord control surface oscillating at high frequency', by D. A. Reese and W. C. A. Carlson.

Summary. A free oscillation technique was used to determine the hinge moment characteristics of a constant chord control. Frequency is shown to have little effect on the stiffness derivative but at amplitudes of 2.5 deg and more the damping derivative becomes less stabilising with increase of frequency.

Parameter Variations	
Mach number	0.6 to $0.8$ and $1.3$ to $1.9$
Frequency parameter	$1 \cdot 20$ to $1 \cdot 46$ (supersonic)
Reynolds number	$2\cdot 33  imes 10^6$
Mean incidence $(\alpha)$	5 and 10 deg
Amplitude of oscillation	up to 2.5 deg
Model Details	
Sweepback	63 deg 26 min
Aspect ratio	2
Taper ratio	0
Span	27·9 in.
Chord	11.27 in. (exposed panel)
Thickness/chord ratio	0.05
Aerofoil section	NACA 0005
Construction	The model was of steel with the exception of the control surface which was fabricated from magnesium.
Aileron data	One wing panel was fitted with a constant chord control surface with an area equal to $15 \cdot 2$ per cent of the exposed area of the wing panel. The chord was $1 \cdot 81$ in.

Results

(1) For  $\alpha = 5 \text{ deg}$   $h_{\beta} - \nu^2 h_{\tilde{\beta}} = -1.24 \times 10^{-2} \text{ for } M = 0.6 \text{ to } 0.8$  $= -1.86 \times 10^{-2} \text{ at } M = 1.3 \text{ and increases linearly to } -1.24 \times 10^{-2} \text{ at } M = 1.93$ 

(2) For  $\alpha = 10$  deg and maximum aileron amplitude 1 deg

 $h_{\beta} = -2 \cdot 34 \times 10^{-3}$  at M = 0.6 and decreases linearly to  $-2 \cdot 90 \times 10^{-3}$  at M = 0.8=  $0 \cdot 554 \times 10^{-3}$  at M = 1.3 and decreases linearly to  $-0 \cdot 598 \times 10^{-3}$  at M = 1.9

Site: Ames 6-ft  $\times$  6-ft Supersonic Tunnel.

'A preliminary investigation of the effects of frequency and amplitude on the rolling derivatives of an unswept wing model oscillating in roll', by L. R. Fisher, J. H. Lichtenstein and K. D. Williams.

Summary. A model with separable wing and tail assembly was oscillated in roll through a range of frequencies and amplitudes of oscillation for an angle of attack of 0 deg and at one frequency and amplitude for two higher angles of attack. The rolling moment damping derivative due to roll was found from these tests.

Parameter Variations	
Mach number	0.13
Frequency parameter	0  to  0.081
Reynolds number	$0.431  imes 10^6$
Mean incidence	0, 4 deg, 8 deg
Amplitude of oscillation	5 deg to 20 deg
Model Details	
Sweepback	2 deg ( $\frac{1}{4}$ -chord line)
Aspect ratio	6
Taper ratio	0.5:1
Span	33 · 6 in.
Chord	5 · 6 in.
Thickness/chord ratio	<u> </u>
Aerofoil section	NACA 65–110 ( $a = 1.0$ )
Construction	Spruce reinforced balsa wood. The model components were separable to allow testing of four configurations— fuselage alone, fuselage and wing, fuselage and tail assembly and complete model.
Tailplane data	AR = 2, area = 0.33 ft <sup>2</sup> , span 13.68 in., height above fuselage centre-line = 3.51 in.
Fuselage data	Length $37.20$ in., maximum diameter $5.50$ in.
Fin data	Height, tip to fuselage centre-line, $9.63$ in.

#### Results

The results presented are for the wing-fuselage combination, mean incidence 0 deg and amplitude of oscillation 5 deg.

ν	.0.010	$0 \cdot 020$	0.030	0.040	0.061	0.081
$n_{\dot{\phi}}$	1.37	$1 \cdot 30$	$1 \cdot 30$	$1 \cdot 16$	1.31	1.33

Results are also given of yawing moment damping derivative due to roll.

Site: Langley Stability Tunnel, 6-ft diameter circular test section.

(82877)

'Oscillating hinge moments and flutter characteristics of a flap type control surface on a 4 per cent thick unswept wing with low aspect ratio at transonic speeds', by R. F. Thompson and W. C. Moseley, Jr.

Summary. Free oscillation tests were made to determine the dynamic hinge moment characteristics of a trailing edge flap type control surface on an unswept wing of low aspect ratio.

Parameter Variations	
Mach number	0.60 to $1.02$
Frequency parameter	Varied from about $0.3$ to $1.06$ at low test speeds and from $0.53$ to $0.86$ at high test speeds.
Reynolds number	$1.79  imes 10^6$ to $2.08  imes 10^6$
Ratio of trailing-edge thickness	
to hinge line thickness	0.17 and 1.00
Mean incidence	0 deg and 6 deg
Amplitude of oscillation	Up to 10 deg
Model Details	
Sweepback	Leading edge 7 deg 38 min, mid-chord 1 deg 54 min
Aspect ratio	1.80
Taper ratio	0.74:1
Span	5.982 in.
Chord (mean aerodynamic)	0·564 ft.
Thickness/chord ratio	0.04
Aerofoil section	NACA 64A004 (modified)
Construction	Steel core and plastic surface.
Aileron data	The 25 per cent chord control extends from $0.086$ span to $0.943$ span with aerodynamic balance $0.20$ of control chord.
Results	

Results

The results presented below are for a frequency parameter of 0.8 and mean incidence of zero. The ratio of the control surface thickness at the trailing edge to the thickness at the hinge line is 0.17.

M	$h_eta -  u^2 h_{\ddoteta}$	$h_{\doteta}$
0.6	-0.022	-0.024
0.7	-0.023	-0.026
0.8	-0.027	-0.029
0.85	-0.031	-0.020
0.9	-0.047	0.013
0.95	-0.056	0.037
$1 \cdot 0$	-0.058	0.035

Site: Langley High Speed 7-ft  $\times$  10-ft Tunnel.

N.A.C.A. Tech. Note 3622

Report No. 48

'Preliminary study of some factors which affect the stall-flutter characteristics of thin wings', by A. G. Rainey.

Summary. The results are given of an investigation on the stall flutter of thin wings in which the effects of Mach number, Reynolds number, density, aspect ratio, sweepback, structural damping, position of nodal line in torsion and concentrated tip weights were studied. The pitching moment damping derivative was measured by the decaying oscillation technique.

#### Parameter Variations

Mach number	Maximum value reached 0.2
Frequency parameter	0.25 to $2.0$
Reynolds number	Maximum value reached $0.8 \times 10^6$
Mean incidence	0 to 20 deg
Amplitude of oscillation (initial)	3 deg
Axes of oscillation	0.25, 0.325, 0.5 and $0.75c$ aft of leading edge.

#### Model Details

Sweepback	0 deg -
Aspect ratio	6
Taper ratio	1:1
Span	24 in. (semi)
Chord	8 in.
Thickness/chord ratio	Varies from $0.02$ at tip to $0.04$ at root.
Aerofoil section	NACA 65A002 at tip and NACA 65A004 at root.
Construction	Solid aluminium alloy.

#### Results

The results presented are for  $\nu(-m_{\alpha})$  at a mean angle of attack of 0 deg and various axes of oscillation.

ν	0.267	0.308	0.364	0.444	0.571	$0 \cdot 8$
$0 \cdot 25c$	0.0615	0.0677	0.0779	0.0942	0.123	0.180
$0 \cdot 325c$	0.0401	0.0435	0.0514	0.0719	0.0950	0.123
$0 \cdot 5c$	0.0459	0.0430	0.0489	0.0626	0.0567	0.0545
$0 \cdot 75c$	0.0503	0.0500	0.0500	0.0490	0.0498	0.0492

Site: Langley 4.5-ft Flutter Research Tunnel.

'Aerodynamic damping at Mach numbers of 1.3 and 1.6 of a control surface on a twodimensional wing by the free oscillation method', by W. J. Tuovila and R. W. Hess.

Summary. Tests have been made at two supersonic speeds, using a free oscillation technique, to obtain experimentally the aerodynamic damping characteristics of a control surface on a two dimensional wing.

Parameter Variations	
Mach number	$1 \cdot 3$ and $1 \cdot 6$
Frequency parameter	0.178  to  0.444
Amplitude of oscillation	1 deg to $2\frac{1}{2}$ deg approximately

Model	Details

Sweepback	0 deg
Aspect ratio	$\infty$
Taper ratio	1:1
Span	9 in.
Chord	5 in.
Thickness/chord ratio	0.04  and  0.05
Aerofoil sections	NACA 65A004 and a 5 per cent thick hexagonal section.
Construction	Both wings were of steel.
Aileron data	Span $7.25$ in. chord $1.67$ in. Gap between control and wing of $0.02$ in. Three controls were tested which were made of steel, aluminium and magnesium.
7.	

#### Results

The results presented are the maximum and minimum of the six results at each Mach number for derivative  $h_{\beta}$  and  $\nu = 0.27$ .

M = 1.3,  $-h_{\dot{\beta}}$  varies between 0.00050 and 0.00244

 $M = 1.6, -h_{\dot{eta}}$  varies between 0.00526 and 0.00717

Site: Langley 9-in. × 18-in. supersonic flutter apparatus.

5

1

'Measurement of aerodynamic forces for various mean angles of attack on an airfoil oscillating in pitch and on two finite-span wings oscillating in bending with emphasis on damping in the stall', by A. G. Rainey.

Summary. Experimental values are given of pitching moment and normal force derivatives for a wing oscillating in pitch. Damping derivatives for bending oscillations are also given. A forced oscillation technique was used to obtain these results.

Parameter Variations	Pitching Oscillations	Bending	Oscillations
Mach number Frequency parameter Reynolds number Axis of oscillation Mean incidence Amplitude of oscillation	0.35  and  0.7 0  to  1.3 $5.3 \times 10^{6}$ 0.5c 0  to  16  deg 1.2  deg	0.08  to  0.20 0.5  to  1.33 $0.4 \times 10^6 \text{ to } 0.92 \times 10^6$ 0  to  20  deg	0.1  to  0.49 0.28  to  1.54 $0.33 \times 10^6 \text{ to } 1.47 \times 10^6$ 0  to  20  deg
Model Details			
Sweepback	0 deg	0 deg	$0 \deg (mid chord)$
Aspect ratio	. 00	5	4·27
Taper ratio	1:1	1:1	0.5:1
Span	2 ft	20 in. (semi)	16 in. (semi)
Chord	1 ft .	8 in.	7·5 in. (mean)
Thickness/chord ratio	0.10	0.10	0.03
Aerofoil section	NACA 65A010	NACA 65A010	NACA 16-003
Construction	Two half shells of aluminium alloy with a solid centre section.	Aluminium alloy core with balsa covering.	Solid aluminium alloy.

#### Results

The results presented below are of  $\nu(-m_{\dot{a}})$  for the 10 per cent thick wing of  $AR = \infty$  oscillating about the mid-chord with a mean incidence of 0 deg.

ν	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0	1.2	. <i>'</i>
M = 0.35 $M = 0.7$	0.298 0.591	$0.391 \\ 1.150$	$0.447 \\ 1.350$	0.502	0.545	0.574	

Results are also given for  $m_{\alpha} - \nu^2 m_{\ddot{\alpha}}$ ,  $l_{\alpha} - \nu^2 l_{\ddot{\alpha}}$  and  $l_{\dot{\alpha}}$ 

Site: Langley 2-ft  $\times$  4-ft Flutter Research Tunnel.

'An experimental investigation of the unsteady lift induced on a wing in the downwash field of an oscillating canard control surface', by D. E. Reese, Jr.

Summary. The in-phase and in quadrature components of the lift and their respective centres of pressure were measured for a range of frequency, incidence and Mach number. The results indicate that existing theories provide a reliable guide for the estimation of lift derivatives and centres of pressure at low frequency parameters and small incidence.

#### Parameter Variations

Mach number	0.6 to $0.8$ and $1.4$ to $1.9$
Frequency parameter	$\{0.031 \text{ to } 0.359 \text{ at } M = 1.9 \\ 0.077 \text{ to } 0.923 \text{ at } M = 0.6 \}$
Reynolds number	$1\cdot 67 imes 10^6$
Mean incidence	0  deg, 5  deg  and  10  deg
Control amplitude	5 deg
Control frequency	10 to 110 c.p.s.
	,

#### Model Details

Sweepback
Aspect ratio
Taper ratio
Span
Chord
Distance between control and
wing leading edges
Thickness/chord ratio
r mondooj onor d'ratio
Aerofoil section

Wing	Control
$0  \deg$	0 deg
2.1	6.5
1:1	1:1
21 in.	13 in.
10 in.	4 in.
36 in.	

0.05 0.05

Bi-convex circular arc.

The wing and control were mounted in canard arrangement on a 5 in. diameter, hollow, circular cylindrical body of fineness ratio 14. The cylinder was fitted with an ogival nose. The model was fabricated from steel with the exception of the nose and wing. The nose section was made of fibreglass-reinforced plastic while the wing was made from magnesium.

#### Results

The results presented are for zero incidence of the wing.

derivative (i	$l_{\delta} - \nu^2 l_{\ddot{\delta}})$					,
0.0513	, 0.103	0.154	0.205	0.256	0.308	0.359
-0.151	-0.140	-0.125	-0.110	-0.0845	-0.056	-0.0188
-0.161	-0.151	-0.136	-0.112	-0.0845	-0.056	-0.0188
-0.128	-0.122	-0.110	-0.094	-0.0655	-0.0453	-0.0156
-0.110	-0.100	-0.0905	-0.078	-0.0595	-0.0375	-0.0156
	0.0513 - 0.151 - 0.161 - 0.128	$ \begin{array}{rcl} -0.151 & -0.140 \\ -0.161 & -0.151 \\ -0.128 & -0.122 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

	The	derivative l	Ś
--	-----	--------------	---

¢

$M^{\nu}$	0.0513	0.103	0.154	0.205	0.256	0.308	0.359
0.7	0.615	0.600	0.575	0.54	0.508	0.470	0.432
0.8	0.658	0.645	0.622	0.585	0.552	0.500	0.462
1.7	0.53	0.512	0•49	0.47	0.438	0.405	0.38
1.9	0.435	0.425	0.41	0.388	0.368	0.330	0.302

Site: Ames 6-ft  $\times$  6-ft Supersonic Tunnel.

e.

'Experimental measurements of forces and moments on a two-dimensional oscillating wing/at subsonic speeds', by S. A. Clevenson and E. Widmayer, Jr.

Summary. Experimental results for lift and moment about the quarter chord of a two dimensional wing at subsonic Mach numbers are given. A forced oscillation technique was used in the experiment.

Parameter Variations	
Mach number	0.348 to $0.786$
Frequency parameter	0.30 to $0.70$
Reynolds number	$1 imes 10^6$ to $5{\cdot}5 imes 10^6$
Mean incidence	0 deg
Amplitude of oscillation	2.30 deg (maximum)
Axis of oscillation	0.25 chord
Model Details	
Sweepback	0 deg
Aspect ratio	
Taper ratio	1:1
Span	2 ft
Chord	8 in.
Thickness/chord ratio	0.10
Aerofoil section	NACA 65A-010
Construction	The wing was of fabricated construction, having a steel spar with Duralumin skin. It was mass-balanced about
D	the quarter-chord axis.

Results

The results presented are the maximum and minimum values measured at the two frequency parameters  $\nu = 0.377$  and  $\nu = 0.628$ .

ν	M	l <sub>α</sub>	νl <sub>ά</sub>	$-m_{\alpha}$	$\nu(-m_{\dot{\alpha}})$
0.377	0.6755	2.761	-0.2033	constant at 0.03965	0.1458
0.628	0.407	$2 \cdot 359 \\ 1 \cdot 847$	0·0966 0·3164	constant at $0.1237$	$0.1670 \\ 0.0799$
		2.286	0.7764	0 1237	0.5584

Site: Langley  $4\frac{1}{2}$ -ft Flutter Research Tunnel modified by auxiliary walls so that test section 2-ft wide.

'Some measurements of aerodynamic forces and moments at subsonic speeds on a wing-tank configuration oscillating in pitch about the wing mid-chord', by S. A. Clevenson and S. A. Leadbetter.

Summary. Measurements are presented of the aerodynamic forces and moments acting on a wing-tank configuration, with and without fins, oscillating in pitch about the wing-root mid-chord.

Parameter Variations					
Mach number	0.18 to $0.75$				
Frequency parameter	0.10 to $1.314$				
Reynolds number	$0.9 \times 10^6$ to $9.5 \times 10^6$ 0.5 chord				
Axis of oscillation					
Model Details	Wing	Tank			
Sweepback	$0 \deg$	Length 24 in.			
Aspect ratio	2	Diameter over $5 \cdot 2$ in.			
Taper ratio	1:1 centre section ( <sup>3 · 2 · 11</sup> . 12 in. 12 in. 0 · 10				
Span					
Chord					
Thickness/chord ratio					
Aerofoil section	NACA 65A010				
Construction	The wing consisted of a steel box spar carrying four evenly spaced ribs to which plywood skin was attached. The tank had a thin balsa nose and tail sections attached to a thin magnesium centre section.				

#### Results

The results presented are for the wing tank combination with trapezoidal fin on the tank.

ν	M	$l_{\alpha} - \nu^2 l_{\dot{\alpha}}$	νl <sub>à</sub>
$ \begin{array}{r} 0.132\\ 0.148\\ 0.168\\ 0.192\\ 0.244 \end{array} $	0.65 0.62 0.56 0.51 0.42	$     \begin{array}{r}       1 \cdot 6627 \\       1 \cdot 6784 \\       1 \cdot 7882 \\       1 \cdot 7118 \\       1 \cdot 6019 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} -0.0871 \\ -0.0879 \\ -0.0936 \\ -0.0298 \\ -0.0279 \end{array} $

Site: Langley 2-ft  $\times$  4-ft Flutter Research Tunnel.

## The Derivatives $m_{\alpha}$ and $m_{\dot{\alpha}}$

Report No.	Aspect Ratio	Sweepback Angle (deg)	Details of wing	Mach number
26	œ	0	6 per cent thick unswept	0.0117 to 0.117
28*	2.31	60	Delta of NACA $65(_{06})$ -006 $\cdot$ 5 section	0.75  to  1.28
29*	4	45	Two deltas, one of NACA 0006-63 section and the other a biconvex section	0.23  to  0.94 1.15  to  1.70
30	∞	0	Rectangular of NACA 0012 section	0.104 to $0.137$
31	∞	0	Rectangular, maximum thickness of 12 per cent at 30 per cent chord	0.086  to  0.132
33	00	0	Rectangular, maximum thickness of 7 3 per cent at 30 per cent chord	0 to $0.159$
34*	4	45	Delta of NACA 0006-63 section	0.10 to 0.95
35*	2, 3, 4	19·1 to 63·4	Three deltas, one tapered swept and one tapered unswept wing	0.6 to 0.9 1.2 to 1.9
37	2	0	Rectangular, NACA 65A010 section	0.15 to $0.81$
38*	1.87 to 3.45	45 to 65	Four delta-wing-body combinations	1.62, 1.93 and 2.41
39	2 and 4	63·4 and 45	Both deltas were of NACA 65A010 section	0.19 to $0.81$
40	∞	0	Rectangular of NACA 65-010 section	0.20 to $0.82$
41	∞	0	Five rectangular wings of varying sections (NACA 65A012, 65A008, 65A004, 2-008, 877A008)	0.20 to $0.87$
42	∞	0	Five rectangular wings, same sections as preceding report	0.491 to $0.942$
43	∞	0	Same as in two preceding reports	0.5 to $0.9$
48*	6	0	Rectangular, NACA 65A002 section at tip and NACA 65A004 at root	$\leq 0.2$
50	4.27, 5 and ∞	0	Two rectangular, sections NACA 65A010 and one tapered unswept (mid-chord) NACA 16-003 section	0.08 to 0.70
52	∞	0	Rectangular, NACA 65A-010 section	0.348 to $0.786$

\* Damping derivative only.

æ

## The Derivatives $l_{\alpha}$ and $l_{\dot{\alpha}}$

Report No.	Aspect Ratio	Sweepback Angle (deg)	Details of wing	Mach number
25	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	0	Rectangular, NACA 0015 section	0.021 to $0.109$
30	∞	0	Rectangular, NACA 0012 section	0.104 to 0.137
31	$\infty$	0	Rectangular, 12 per cent maximum thickness at 30 per cent chord	0.086 to $0.132$
33	Ø	0	Rectangular, 7.3 per cent maximum thickness at 30 per cent chord	0 to 0.159
37	2	0	Rectangular, NACA 65A010 section	0.15 to $0.81$
39	2, 4	63.4	Two deltas of NACA 65A010 section	0·19 to 0·81
		and 45		
40	- x	0	Rectangular, NACA 65–010 section	0.20 to $0.82$
41	œ	. 0	Five rectangular wings of varying sections NACA 65A012, 65A008, 65A004, 2-008, 877A008	0.20 to $0.87$
42	× ×	0	Five rectangular wings, same sections as preceding report	0.491 to $0.942$
43	∞	0	Same as in two preceding reports	0.5 to 0.9
50	$4 \cdot 27, 5$ and $\infty$	0	Two rectangular, sections NACA 65A010 and one tapered unswept (mid-chord) NACA 16-003 section	0.08 to 0.70
52	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	0	Rectangular, NACA 65A-010 section	0.348 to $0.786$
53	2	0	Rectangular, NACA 65A010 section fitted with tank	0.18 to $0.75$

## TABLE 19

The	Deriv	atives $h_{t}$	and $h_{\dot{R}}$

Report No.	Aspect Ratio	Sweepback Angle (deg)	Details of wing	Mach number
27	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	0	Rectangular, Gö 409 section	
32	$\infty$	0	Rectangular, NACA 65 <sub>1</sub> -213 ( $a = 0.5$ ) section	0.20 to $0.80$
36	2	63 • 4	Delta, NACA 0005 section	0.6  to  0.9 1.3 to 1.9
45	2	63•4	Delta, NACA 0005 section	0.6  to  0.8 1.3 to 1.9
47	1.8	7.6	Tapered, NACA 64A004 (modified) section	0.60  to  1.02
49*	œ	0	Two rectangular wings, one of NAĆA 65A004 section and the other a 5 per cent thick hexagonal section	1.3 and 1.6

\* Damping derivative only.

The Derivatives  $m_z$  and  $m_{\dot{z}}$ 

Report No.	Aspect Ratio	Sweepback Angle (deg)	Details of wing	Mach number
30	∞	0	Rectangular, NACA 0012 section	0.104  to  0.137
31	œ	0	Rectangular, maximum thickness 12 per cent at 30 per cent chord	0.086 to $0.132$
33	00	0	Rectangular, maximum thickness 7.3 per cent at 30 per cent chord	0 to 0.159
44			Rectangular $AR = 1$ and 2, 45 deg swept $AR = 2$ , deltas of 60 deg and 75 deg leading-edge sweepback	0.118

## TABLE 21

3

## The Derivatives $l_z$ and $l_{\dot{z}}$

Report No.	Aspect Ratio	Sweepback Angle (deg)	Details of wing	Mach number
30	∞	0.	Rectangular, NACA 0012 section	0.104 to $0.137$
31	∞	0	Rectangular, maximum thickness 12 per cent at 30 per cent chord	0.086 to 0.132
33	× ×	0	Rectangular, maximum thickness $7 \cdot 3$ per cent at 30 per cent chord	0 to 0 159
44			Rectangular $AR = 1$ and 2, 45 deg swept $AR = 2$ , deltas of 60 deg and 75 deg leading-edge sweepback	0.118

## TABLE 22

## The Derivatives $l_{\beta}$ and $l_{\dot{\beta}}$

Report No.	Aspect Ratio	Sweepback Angle (deg)	Details of wing	Mach number
27	œ	0	Rectangular, Gö 409 section	

## The Derivatives $m_\beta$ and $m_{\dot{\beta}}$

Report No.	Aspect Ratio	Sweepback Angle (deg)	Details of wing	Mach number
27	Ø	0	Rectangular, Gö 409 section	

## TABLE 24

## The Derivative $n_{\phi}$

Report No.	Aspect Ratio	Sweepback Angle (deg)	Details of wing	Mach number
46	6	$2(\frac{1}{4}c)$	Tapered wing, NACA 65–110 ( $a = 1.0$ ) section	0.13

## TABLE 25

## The Derivatives $l_{\delta}$ and $l_{\dot{\delta}}$

Report No.	Aspect Ratio	Sweepback Angle (deg)	Details of wing	Mach number
51	2.1	0	Rectangular wing, bi-convex circular section	$\begin{array}{c} 0.6 \text{ to } 0.8 \\ 1.4 \text{ to } 1.9 \end{array}$

82877) Wt. 67/1876 K.5 2/62 Hw.

# Publications of the Aeronautical Research Council

#### ANNUAL TECHNICAL REPORTS OF THE AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL (BOUND VOLUMES)

1941 Aero and Hydrodynamics, Aerofoils, Airscrews, Engines, Flutter, Stability and Control, Structures.

63s. (post 2s. 3d.)

- 1942 Vol. I. Aero and Hydrodynamics, Aerofoils, Airscrews, Engines. 755. (post 25. 3d.) Vol. II. Noise, Parachutes, Stability and Control, Structures, Vibration, Wind Tunnels. 475. 6d. (post 15. 9d.)
- 1943 Vol. I. Aerodynamics, Aerofoils, Airscrews. 80s. (post 2s.) Vol. II. Engines, Flutter, Materials, Parachutes, Performance, Stability and Control, Structures. 90s. (post 2s. 3d.)
- 1944 Vol. I. Aero and Hydrodynamics, Aerofoils, Aircraft, Airscrews, Controls. 84s. (post 2s. 6d.)
   Vol. II. Flutter and Vibration, Materials, Miscellaneous, Navigation, Parachutes, Performance, Plates and Panels, Stability, Structures, Test Equipment, Wind Tunnels. 84s. (post 2s. 6d.)

1945 Vol. I. Aero and Hydrodynamics, Aerofoils. 130s. (post 3s.)

Vol. II. Aircraft, Airscrews, Controls. 130s. (post 3s.)

Vol. III. Flutter and Vibration, Instruments, Miscellaneous, Parachutes, Plates and Panels, Propulsion. 1305. (post 25. 9d.)

Vol. IV. Stability, Structures, Wind Tunnels, Wind Tunnel Technique. 130s. (post 2s. 9d.)

1946 Vol. I. Accidents, Aerodynamics, Aerofoils and Hydrofoils. 168s. (post 3s. 3d.)

- Vol. II. Airscrews, Cabin Cooling, Chemical Hazards, Controls, Flames, Flutter, Helicopters, Instruments and Instrumentation, Interference, Jets, Miscellaneous, Parachutes. 168s. (post 2s. 9d.)
- Vol. III. Performance, Propulsion, Seaplanes, Stability, Structures, Wind Tunnels. 168s. (post 3s.)
- 1947 Vol. I. Aerodynamics, Aerofoils, Aircraft. 168s. (post 3s. 3d.)
  - Vol. II. Airscrews and Rotors, Controls, Flutter, Materials, Miscellaneous, Parachutes, Propulsion, Seaplanes, Stability, Structures, Take-off and Landing. 168s. (post 3s. 3d.)

#### Special Volumes

1

- Vol. I. Aero and Hydrodynamics, Aerofoils, Controls, Flutter, Kites, Parachutes, Performance, Propulsion, Stability. 1265. (post 28. 6d.)
- Vol. II. Aero and Hydrodynamics, Aerofoils, Airscrews, Controls, Flutter, Materials, Miscellaneous, Parachutes, Propulsion, Stability, Structures. 1475. (post 25. 6d.)
- Vol. III. Aero and Hydrodynamics, Aerofoils, Airscrews, Controls, Flutter, Kites, Miscellaneous, Parachutes, Propulsion, Seaplanes, Stability, Structures, Test Equipment. 189s. (post 3s. 3d.)

#### Reviews of the Aeronautical Research Council

1939-48 3s. (post 5d.) 1949-54 5s. (post 5d.)

Index to all Reports and Memoranda published in the Annual Technical Reports 1909-1947 R. & M. 2600 6s. (post 2d.)

#### Indexes to the Reports and Memoranda of the Aeronautical Research Council

Between Nos. 2351–2449	<b>R. &amp; M. No. 2450</b>	2s. (post 2d.)
Between Nos. 2451–2549	R. & M. No. 2550	2s. 6d. (post 2d.)
Between Nos. 2551–2649	<b>R. &amp; M. No. 2650</b>	2s. 6d. (post 2d.)
Between Nos. 2651–2749	R. & M. No. 2750	2s. 6d. (post 2d.)
Between Nos. 2751-2849	<b>R. &amp; M.</b> No. 2850	2s. 6d. (post 2d.)
Between Nos. 2851–2949	R. & M. No. 2950	3s. (post 2d.)
Between Nos. 2951–3049	R. & M. No. 3050	3s. 6d. (post 2d.)

## HER MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE

from the addresses overleaf

© Crown copyright 1962

Printed and published by HER MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE

To be purchased from York House, Kingsway, London w.c.2 423 Oxford Street, London w.1 13A Castle Street, Edinburgh 2 109 St. Mary Street, Cardiff 39 King Street, Manchester 2 50 Fairfax Street, Bristol 1 35 Smallbrook, Ringway, Birmingham 5 80 Chichester Street, Belfast 1 or through any bookseller

Printed in England

S.O. Code No. 23-3232

4

Ŷ.