R. & M. No. 2922 (15,695) A.R.C. Technical Report



### MINISTRY OF SUPPLY

AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL REPORTS AND MEMORANDA LIBRARY ROYAL ALLEAST ESTABLISHMENT LIDEORD.

# Calculation of Stability Derivatives for Oscillating Wings

#### By

DORIS E. LEHRIAN, B.Sc., of the Aerodynamics Division, N.P.L.

Crown Copyright Reserved

LONDON: HER MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE

1956

PRICE 6s 6d NET

# Calculation of Stability Derivatives for Oscillating Wings

By

Doris E. Lehrian, B.Sc.,

of the Aerodynamics Division, N.P.L.

Reports and Memoranda No. 2922\* February, 1953

Summary.—A method of calculating stability derivatives for wings oscillating at low frequencies is developed from the modified vortex-lattice method outlined in R. & M. 2470<sup>1</sup>. Derivatives are obtained for the following wings describing plunging (vertical translational) and pitching oscillations:

(i) Delta wings of aspect ratio  $A_r = 1 \cdot 2$ , 2 and 3 with a taper ratio 1/7.

(ii) Arrowhead wing of aspect ratio  $1 \cdot 32$  with a taper ratio 7/18 and angle of sweep of  $63 \cdot 4$  deg at quarter chord.

(iii) Circular plate.

Comparison is made with measured values of the derivatives for the delta wing of aspect ratio  $1 \cdot 2$  and the arrowhead wing oscillating in incompressible flow. Satisfactory agreement is obtained with experiment, and also with values calculated by the Multhopp-Garner method<sup>4</sup>.

For the delta wing  $A_r = 3$ , derivatives are also obtained for particular (small) values of the mean frequency parameter  $\omega_m$ . These results were calculated by using the  $\omega_m \rightarrow 0$  solution, which is accurate to first order in frequency, with a correction to allow for the oscillatory wake. Comparison with values from Ref. 2, in which all frequency effects are taken into account, indicates that the present method is adequate for small values of  $\omega_m$ .

The theory of Ref. 3 for wings oscillating in compressible flow is applied to the delta wing  $\overline{A}_{,} = 3$ , and the stability derivatives for pitching oscillations are calculated for M = 0.745 and 0.917. The values of  $-m_{\dot{a}}$  are compared with values estimated by the Multhopp-Garner method and with high-speed experimental results, for two positions of the axis of oscillation. For the front axis, the estimated values of  $-m_{\dot{a}}$  are in agreement but are higher than the experimental results. For the rear axis, the Multhopp-Garner values are closer to experiment than the values obtained by the vortex-lattice method, and only differ appreciably from the measured values at the higher Mach numbers. It is thought that the accuracy of the vortex-lattice results would be improved by taking more collocation points in the solution.

1. Introduction.—A method is developed from the theory of Ref. 1, by the use of a modification suggested by W. P. Jones, and it is applied in this note to the calculation of stability derivatives for wings of various plan-form. The method of Ref. 1 is satisfactory for values of the frequency parameter  $\omega_m$  in the flutter range<sup>5</sup>, but it does not appear to be suitable for lower values of  $\omega_m$  since it gives infinite limiting values for  $l_a$  and  $-m_a$  for a finite wing as  $\omega_m \rightarrow 0$ . This difficulty is avoided if the lift distribution is assumed to be a combination of simple functions, such that the corresponding doublet distributions are expressible as polynomials in  $\omega_m$ . The doublet distribution over the wing and wake is then interpreted so that the main contribution to the

<sup>\*</sup> Published with permission of the Director, National Physical Laboratory.

The oscillatory tables referred to in section 3.3 of this report will be published as R. & M. 2956<sup>10</sup>.

downwash is obtained generally in terms of  $\omega_m$ , with a correction to the downwash to allow for the effect of the oscillatory wake. The Falkner lattice scheme<sup>6</sup> is used for the downwash calculation and this reduces the latter to a simple routine which avoids the use of complex numbers. The solution for  $\omega_m \rightarrow 0$  is limited to first-order terms in frequency, and the present calculations for particular (small) values of  $\omega_m$  are based on this solution, with a correction to allow for the higher order frequency effects due to the oscillatory wake. The method is extended in Ref. 2 to take into account all frequency effects, and this can be used as an alternative to the method of Ref. 1 for values of  $\omega_m$  in the flutter range.

The results given in this note are based on  $21 \times 6$  lattice solutions. The use of 6 chordwise vortices on a highly swept low-aspect-ratio wing may appear to be an inadequate representation of the chordwise loading, especially when the solutions are required for the calculation of pitchingmoment derivatives. However, in Ref. 7, this lattice is used to calculate the lift on delta wings of aspect ratio  $1 \cdot 0$  to  $2 \cdot 5$ , and it gives results which agree well with the experimental values. The present results for incompressible flow are also in satisfactory agreement with the measured values and the Multhopp-Garner values, so that the  $21 \times 6$  lattice does seem to give reasonable accuracy for swept wings of low aspect ratio. Solutions based on a finer lattice would give further information on accuracy, but for oscillatory problems the calculation would be rather laborious.

The stability and flutter derivatives for compressible flow can be calculated, as suggested in Ref. 3, by relating the oscillatory motion of a wing in subsonic flow to that of a wing of reduced plan-form in incompressible flow. The present incompressible solutions for the delta wings  $A_r = 2$  and  $1 \cdot 2$  are used, in conjunction with the transformation formulae given in section 5.2, to calculate the stability derivatives of the delta wing  $\overline{A_r} = 3$  at M = 0.745 and 0.917. The values of the derivative  $-m_a$  are plotted in Fig. 6 and, for comparison, the values of  $-m_a$  obtained in recent tests at the N.P.L. and the results given by the Multhopp-Garner method<sup>4</sup> are also plotted. It should be noted that the agreement between the vortex-lattice and the Multhopp-Garner values, for the incompressible flow results for  $-m_a$  seems to occur because relatively small numbers in the incompressible solution are multiplied by  $\beta^{-3}$  as can be seen in equation (27). The effect of the  $\beta^{-3}$  terms is to magnify inaccuracies occurring in the incompressible solution. The difference between the theoretical and experimental values may be partly due to the effects of thickness and boundary layer which are not allowed for in the theories, and partly

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

Velocity of flow

 $\begin{array}{c} x_{i} \\ y \\ c(y) \\ c_{0} \\ c_{m} \end{array}$ 

V

 $R(y) - \frac{c}{2}\cos\theta$  $R(y) + \frac{c}{2}\xi \quad \cdot$ 

Definitions of chordwise parameters  $\theta$  and  $\xi$  where R(y) is the mid-chord line

Trailing-edge co-ordinate

 $s\eta$ , definition of spanwise co-ordinate  $\eta$ 

Local chord

Root chord Mean chord

 $\mathbf{2}^{\cdot}$ 

S	Semi-span
S	Area of wing
A,	Aspect ratio $= 4s^2/S$
$p/2\pi$	Frequency
ω =	$2\omega' = pc/V$ , local frequency parameter
$\omega_m =$	$pc_m/V$ , mean frequency parameter
$K  \mathrm{e}^{i p t}$	Doublet distribution (discontinuity in velocity potential)
$\Gamma \mathrm{e}^{i p t}$	Bound vorticity
$E  \mathrm{e}^{i p t}$	Free vorticity
$W e^{ipt}$	Induced downward velocity
$z' e^{ipt}$	Normal downward displacement
$\Gamma_0 =$	$2\cotrac{ heta}{2}$
$\Gamma_1 =$	$-2\sin heta+\cotrac{ heta}{2}+i\omega'[\sin heta+rac{1}{2}\sin2 heta]$
$\Gamma_n =$	$-2\sin n heta+i\omega^1igg[rac{\sin \overline{n+1} heta}{n+1}-rac{\sin \overline{n-1} heta}{n-1}igg]$
	when $n \ge 2$
$K_0 =$	$K_{a}(\theta) + i\omega' K_{b}(\theta) + O(\omega^{2}) \qquad 0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant \pi$
	$K_0(\pi) \cdot \exp\{-ip(x-x_t)/V\}$ $x \ge x_t$
$K_1 =$	$rac{c}{2}\left[\sin  heta+rac{1}{2}\sin 2 heta ight]$
$K_n =$	$\frac{c}{2} \left[ \frac{\sin \overline{n+1}\theta}{n+1} - \frac{\sin \overline{n-1}\theta}{n-1} \right]  \text{when } n \ge 2$

Definition of Derivatives for Translational and Pitching Oscillations

(i) Delta and arrowhead wings :

$$\frac{\text{Lift}}{\rho V^2 S e^{i\rho t}} = (l_z + i\omega_m l_z)z + (l_a + i\omega_m l_a)\alpha$$

 $\frac{\text{Pitching moment}}{\rho V^2 S c_m e^{i\rho t}} = (m_z + i\omega_m m_z) z + (m_a + i\omega_m m_a) \alpha$ 

13

where  $\omega_m = pc_m/V$ , and  $c_m z$  and  $\alpha$  are the amplitudes of the translational and angular displacements of the oscillation.

(ii) Circular plate :

$$\frac{\text{Lift}}{V^2 S e^{ipt}} = (l_z + i\omega_r l_z)z + (l_a + i\omega_r l_a)\alpha$$

Pitching Moment

 $\frac{1}{\rho V^2 Sr e^{i\rho t}} = (m_z + i\omega_r m_z)z + (m_a + i\omega_r m_a)\alpha$ 

where  $\omega_r = pr/V$  and r = radius of circle, and where rz and  $\alpha$  are the amplitudes of the translational and angular displacements of the oscillation.

#### (iii) Transformation formulae :

If the definitions above are for a reference axis OY, as shown in Fig. 1, then the derivatives referred to an axis at a distance  $h'c_m$  (or h'r in the case of the circular plate) back from OY, are obtained by the usual transformation formulae

$$egin{aligned} & l'_z = l_z \ & l'_a = llpha - h'l_z \ & -m'_z = -m_z - h'l_z \ & -m'_a = -m_a - h'(l_a - m_z) + h'^2 l_z, & ext{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

2. Theory.—In the general linearized theory given in Refs. 1 and 8 for a thin wing describing simple harmonic oscillations of small amplitude in incompressible inviscid flow, it is shown that the discontinuity in the velocity potential can be represented by a doublet distribution  $K e^{ipt}$  over the wing and wake, where  $K e^{ipt}$  is related to the bound vorticity  $\Gamma e^{ipt}$  and the free vorticity  $E e^{ipt}$  by the equations

$$V\Gamma = ipK + V \frac{\partial K}{\partial x}$$

$$VE = -ipK$$

$$V\Gamma = -ipK$$

$$(1)$$

where the time exponential terms are omitted for convenience. Since  $\Gamma$  is a function of the chordwise parameter  $\theta$ , the doublet distribution over the wing can be expressed as

$$K(\text{wing}) = K(\theta) = \frac{c}{2} e^{-i\omega'\xi} \int_{-1}^{\xi} \Gamma e^{i\omega'\xi} d\xi \qquad -1 \leqslant \xi \leqslant 1$$

where  $\xi = -\cos \theta$ . ... ... ... ... ... ... (2)

Also  $\Gamma = 0$  in the wake, hence the doublet distribution over the wake is

The downwash  $W e^{ipt}$  induced at a point  $(x_1, y_1)$  on the wing, by the distribution  $K e^{ipt}$  over the wing and wake is known to be

where  $r^2 = (x - x_1)^2 + (y - y_1)^2 + z_1^2$  and  $z_1 \to 0$ . Then W has to satisfy the tangential flow condition, which for simple harmonic oscillations is

where  $z' e^{ipt}$  is the normal downward displacement of the point  $(x_1, y_1)$  at time t. The problem is to find a distribution K which will satisfy equations (4) and (5).

2.1. It is assumed that the bound vorticity over the finite wing can be represented by

$$cA_m = sT_m = s\eta^{m-1}\sqrt{(1-\eta^2)}$$
 ... ... (8)  
where *m* takes odd or even values according as symmetrical or antisymmetrical motion of the

wing is considered.

The corresponding distribution K over the wing and wake is then

where  $K_n$  corresponds to the chordwise distribution  $\Gamma_n$ . Consider the distribution  $K_0(\theta)$  over the wing defined by equations (2) and (7). Since the present method is to be used only for small values of the frequency parameter  $\omega = 2\omega'$ , it is permissible to expand (2) with respect to  $\omega'$ and obtain  $K_0(\theta)$  in the form

$$K(\text{wing}) = K_{\mathfrak{o}}(\theta) = K_{\mathfrak{a}}(\theta) + i\omega' K_{\mathfrak{b}}(\theta) + O(\omega'^{2})$$
  
where  $K_{\mathfrak{a}}(\theta) = c[\theta + \sin \theta]$   
 $K_{\mathfrak{b}}(\theta) = c\left[-\frac{\theta}{2} - \sin \theta + \frac{\sin 2\theta}{4} + \theta \cos \theta\right]$  (10)

Then, by (3), the distribution  $K_0$  over the wing and wake can be regarded as the sum of two distributions  $K_0'$  and  $K_0''$  such that

$$K_{0}' = K_{0}(\theta) \text{ over the wing } 0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant \pi$$

$$= K_{0}(\pi) \text{ over the wake } x \geqslant x_{t}$$

$$K_{0}'' = K_{0}(\pi) \left[ e^{-i\rho(x-x_{t})/V} - 1 \right]$$
over the wake  $x \geqslant x_{t}$ 

$$(11)$$

It follows, by (10), that distributions  $K_{a'}$ ,  $K_{a''}$  and  $K_{b'}$ ,  $K_{b''}$  can be similarly defined in terms of  $K_{a}$  and  $K_{b}$ . It should be noted that there is, in general, a spanwise variation in the local parameter  $\omega'$  in equation (10), and this is allowed for in the calculation by writing

The distributions  $K_n$ , for  $n \ge 1$ , are the same as in Ref. 1 and are defined in the List of Symbols. They are independent of the frequency and zero in the wake.

The downwash W induced by the K distribution (9), is obtained from integral (4) in the form

$$W = V \left[ \sum_{m} (W_{0m'} + W_{0m''}) C_{0m} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m} W_{nm} C_{nm} \right] \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad (13)$$

where  $W_{0m'}$ ,  $W_{0m''}$ , and  $W_{nm}$  are the normal induced velocities due to the doublet distributions  $K_0'A_m$ ,  $K_0''A_m$  and  $K_nA_m$  respectively. Furthermore, by (10) and (12), the downwash  $W_{0m'}$  will be in the form

3. The Calculation of the Downwash.—Since  $W_{am}', W_{bm}', \ldots$  are integrals of the simple type such as occur in steady-motion problems, they can be evaluated approximately by the ordinary vortex-lattice method. The downwash  $W_{0m}''$ , induced by the doublet distribution  $K_{0m}''A_m$  over the wake, is evaluated for the general case  $\omega_m \rightarrow 0$  as shown in section 3.2, or for a particular value of  $\omega_m$  by the modified vortex-lattice method as indicated in section 3.3. The downwash  $W_{nm}$ , for  $n \ge 1$ , is also independent of the frequency and is calculated by the ordinary lattice method.

3.1. The Falkner vortex-lattice method is described in detail in Ref. 6. A steady doublet distribution  $K(\theta).A_m(\eta)$ , to which corresponds a vorticity distribution  $\partial K(\theta)/\partial x.A_m(\eta)$ , is replaced by a lattice of rectangular vortices each of width  $2s_1$ , and strength  $cL(k).A_m(\eta_1) = sL(k).T_m(\eta_1)$  as shown in Fig. 1. The chordwise factors cL(k) are chosen on the usual two-dimensional basis, to give the same downwash at selected points on the chord, as the continuous distribution\*  $cK(\theta)$ . The total downwash  $W_{nm}$  at a collocation point  $(x_1, y_1)$  is then obtained, by summation, by using the downwash factors given in Ref. 9 for a rectangular vortex of unit strength.

The results given in this note are based on a  $21 \times 6$  lattice with 6 collocation points placed on the  $\frac{1}{2}$  and 5/6 chord, at the spanwise positions  $\eta = 0.2$ , 0.6 and 0.8. It is usual, in applying this lattice, to use fewer chordwise vortices when the spanwise parameter  $Y \ge 10$ ; for example, with a steady doublet distribution  $K = Vc(\theta + \sin \theta)$ , that is a vorticity distribution  $\partial K/\partial x = 2V \cot \frac{1}{2}\theta$ , the lattice is reduced to one vortex at the quarter-chord position. It is thought that the accuracy of solutions for low aspect-ratio wings may be improved by taking two chordwise vortices at one-quarter and three-quarter chord position, when  $Y \ge 10$ , for all the  $K_n$  distributions considered. In the case of the delta wing  $A_r = 3$ , this modification decreases the lift-slope coefficient from  $3 \cdot 13$  to  $3 \cdot 08$  which agrees better with the value of  $3 \cdot 05$  given by the Multhopp-Garner (2 chordwise, m = 15 solution) and the experimental value of  $3 \cdot 05$ .

The chordwise factors  $L_a'(k)$ ,  $L_b'(k)$  and  $L_1(k)$  corresponding to the two-dimensional doublet distributions  $K_a'$ ,  $K_b'$  and  $K_1$ , are given in Table 1 for  $k = 1, 2 \dots 6$  with the lattice positions at  $1/12, 3/12 \dots 11/12$  chord, and for k = 1, 2 with the lattice at one-quarter and three-quarter chord.

3.2. If a solution is required for  $\omega_m \to 0$ , the calculation can be kept quite general throughout by considering only first order terms in  $\omega_m$  and by evaluating  $W_{0m}''$  numerically from equation (15) given below.

Since the point  $(x_1, y_1)$  is outside the range of integration, the integral  $W_{0m}$  obtained from (4), reduces to

$$4\pi W_{0m}{}'' = -\int_{-s}^{s} \int_{x_{i}}^{\infty} \frac{K_{0}{}'' A_{m}}{r_{1}^{3}} dx dy$$

where  $r_1^2 = (x - x_1)^2 + (y - y_1)^2$  and  $K_0''$  is defined by (10) and (11). If the exponential term is expanded and only first-order terms in p are retained, it may be shown that

$$W_{0m}^{\ \prime\prime} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{-s}^{s} \int_{x_{t}}^{\infty} i \frac{p}{V} \cdot \frac{K_{a}(\pi) A_{m} (x - x_{t})}{r_{1}^{3}} dx dy$$
  
$$= i\omega_{m} \frac{S^{2}}{4c_{m}} \int_{-1}^{1} T_{m} \int_{x_{t}}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{x - x_{1}}{r_{1}^{3}} - \frac{x_{t} - x_{1}}{r_{1}^{3}} \right] dx d\eta$$
  
$$= i\omega_{m} \frac{S^{2}}{4c_{m}} \int_{-1}^{1} T_{m} \left[ \frac{r_{t} - (x_{t} - x_{1})}{(y - y_{1})^{2}} \right] d\eta$$

\* The two-dimensional downwash corresponding to the distribution  $cK_0'$  is

where

 $W_{a}' = 1, W_{b}' = \cos \theta + \log_e 2|1 + \cos \theta|$ 

 $W_{0}' = W_{a}' + i\omega' W_{b}' + O(\omega'^{2})$ 

 $\uparrow$  Y is the spanwise distance from the centre-line of a rectangular vortex to the collocation point  $(x_1, y_1)$ , in terms of the semi-vortex width  $s_1$ .

where  $T_m = \eta^{m-1} \sqrt{(1-\eta^2)}$  and  $r_t^2 = (x_t - x_1)^2 + (y - y_1)^2$ , and where, in general,  $x_t$  is a function of the spanwise parameter  $\eta$ . The integral may be written as

$$W_{0m}^{\prime\prime} = i\omega_m \frac{s}{4c_m} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\eta^{m-1} \sqrt{(1-\eta^2)}}{e + \sqrt{e^2 + (\eta - \eta_1)^2}} d\eta \quad .. \qquad (15)$$

where

The total downwash W at a point  $(x_1, y_1)$  is then given by equations (13)—(15), where for the  $\omega_m \to 0$  solution, only first-order terms in  $\omega_m$  are retained. When W is known at a sufficient number of collocation points, the arbitrary coefficients  $C_{nm}$  are determined so that W satisfies equation (5) at each point. The downwash equations can be written in matrix notation as

 $e = \left(\frac{x_t - x_1}{s}\right).$ 

$$[A + i\omega_m B]\{C\} = \{h\} \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad (16)$$

where the elements A and B of the matrix are real and independent of  $\omega_m$ , [A] is the matrix corresponding to the  $\omega_m = 0$  solution and the column matrix

$$\{C\} = \{C_{01}, C_{11}, C_{21}, \dots, C_{0m}, C_{1m}, C_{2m}, \dots\}.$$

The column matrix on the right-hand side of (16) is

$$\{h\} = \left\{\frac{W(x_1, y_1)}{V}, \frac{W(x_2, y_2)}{V}, \frac{W(x_3, y_3)}{V}, \cdots\right\}$$

with the values W/V obtained from equation (5).

3.3. If a solution is required for a particular value of  $\omega_m$ , frequency effects higher than firstorder may also be allowed for in the calculation of  $W_{0m}''$ , by using the modified lattice scheme of Ref. 1. The continuous doublet distribution  $K_0'' A_m$  is defined by equations (10) to (12) as

$$A_m[K_a(\pi) + i\omega_m K_b(\pi)f(|\eta|) + O(\omega_m^2)][e^{-ip(x-x_b)/V} - 1].$$

y in Alexandre and Alexandre

This is replaced by doublet strips of width  $2s_1$  and strength proportional to  $s_1[e^{-ip(x_1-x_1)/V_1} = 1]$ ; extending from  $x = x_i$  to  $x = \infty$ . The downwash  $W_{0m}$  is calculated by summation by using the tables of Ref. 10, which give the downwash due to a doublet strip of strength  $s_1 e^{-ipx/V}$  oscillating at a frequency  $p/2\pi$ , together with the tables of Ref. 9.

The total downwash W at a point  $(x_1, y_1)$  is then obtained from equations (13) and (14) for the particular value  $\omega_m$ . In this case, the set of downwash equations can be solved directly or by the approximate method suggested in section 4.1 if the value of  $\omega_m$  is small. The equations in matrix notation are

where A, a and b are real, and the column matrices  $\{C\}$  and  $\{h\}$  are as defined above for equation (16).

4. Solution of Equations.—The equations for the  $\omega_m \to 0$  solution are given by (16), and in this case the values of  $\{h\}$  are obtained from equation (5) in the form

Let 
$$\{C\} = \{C' + i\omega_m C''\}$$
  
so that equation (16) becomes  
 $[A + i\omega_m B]\{C' + i\omega_m C''\} = \{h' + i\omega_m h''\}.$  (19)

7:

Q

Then if terms  $O(\omega_m^2)$  are neglected

$$[A]\{C'\} = \{h'\}$$
$$[B]\{C'\} + [A]\{C''\} = \{h''\}$$

and hence the solution is

$$\{C'\} = [A]^{-1} \{h'\} \{C''\} = [A]^{-1} \{h''\} - [A]^{-1} [B] [A]^{-1} \{h'\}$$
 (20)

where

 $\{C\} = \{C' + i\omega_m C''\}$  and  $[A]^{-1}$  is the inverse matrix of [A].

4.1. The equations for a particular value of  $\omega_m$  are given by (17). For small values of  $\omega_m$ , a and b are small compared with A and the following approximate solution is suggested which avoids the solution of complex simultaneous equations and reduces the amount of computing when several values of  $\omega_m$  are considered.

Let  $C_1$  be a first approximation to C, such that

{

Le so that the term  $[a + ib]\{\varepsilon\}$  can be neglected. Then, substituting in equation (17),

and, by (21)  

$$\begin{bmatrix} A \end{bmatrix} \{C_1\} + [a + ib] \{C_1\} + [A] \{\varepsilon\} = \{h\}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A \end{bmatrix} \{\varepsilon\} = - [a + ib] [A]^{-1} \{h\}.$$

Hence

$$C_{2} = \{C_{1} + \varepsilon\} = [A]^{-1} \{h\} - [A]^{-1} [a + ib] [A]^{-1} \{h\} . \qquad (22)$$

This process can be continued if  $C_2$  is not a sufficiently accurate solution.

5. Application of the Method.-5.1. Incompressible Flow.-The method is used to calculate the aerodynamic coefficients of the following wings, which are describing plunging (vertical translational) and pitching oscillations for  $\omega_m \rightarrow 0$ .

- (i) Delta wings of aspect ratio  $A_r = 1.2$ , 2 and 3, with a taper ratio 1/7. Values of the derivatives for the axis position at  $0.556c_0$  are given in Table 2(A) and values of the derivative  $-m_{a}$  are tabulated in Table 2(B) for various axis positions. The latter results are plotted in Figs. 2 and 3.
- (ii) Arrowhead wing of aspect ratio  $1 \cdot 32$ , with a taper ratio 7/18, and angle of sweep of  $63 \cdot 4 \deg$ at quarter-chord. Derivatives are given in Table 3 and the variation of  $-m_a$  with axis position is shown in Fig. 4.
- (iii) Circular plate, aspect ratio  $4/\pi$ . Derivatives are given in Table 4 and the variation of  $-m_{\dot{\alpha}}$  with axis position is shown in Fig. 5.

For the delta wing  $A_r = 3$ , derivatives are also obtained for  $\omega_m = 0.13$  and 0.26. The values are given in Table 2 and Fig. 2.

The results are based on solutions in which the general distributions  $\Gamma$  and K, defined by (6) and (9) were limited to the chordwise terms n = 0 and 1, with the  $K_0(\theta)$  distribution limited to first-order terms in  $\omega_m$ , and the spanwise terms m = 1, 3 and 5. For  $\omega_m \to 0$ , all terms  $O(\omega_m^2)$  were neglected throughout the solution and the downwash values were calculated by the modified vortex-lattice method described in section 3; the downwash values  $W_{nm} = [A + i\omega_m B]$ are tabulated in Tables 5A to 5D for the wings (i) and (ii) and the values  $W_{nm} = [A + i\omega_m B]$ are given in Table 6 for wing (iii). The solutions for the particular (small) values of  $\omega_m$  considered in this note were also based on the first-order method, but higher order frequency effects due to the oscillatory wake were allowed for in the calculation of  $W_{0m}$ ". The unknown coefficients

窃

 $C_{nm}$  were determined by solving the simultaneous equations obtained from (5) and (13). For plunging and pitching oscillations the displacement  $z' e^{ipt}$  is defined as follows:

$$z' = c_m z + x_1 \alpha$$
 for wings (i) and (ii)

where  $c_m z$  and  $\alpha$  are the amplitudes of the translational and angular displacements :

#### $z' = \gamma z + x_1 \alpha$ for the circular plate

where r = radius, rz and  $\alpha$  are the amplitudes of the translational and angular displacements.

When the coefficients  $C_{nm}$  have been determined, the lift distribution  $\rho V\Gamma$  defined by (6) is integrated to give the total lift and the pitching moment for the axis OY. The aerodynamic derivatives are then computed according to the definitions given in the List of Symbols.

5.2. Compressible Flow.—In Ref. 3, W. P. Jones has shown that stability and flutter derivatives for a finite wing oscillating in compressible flow, can be estimated by considering a wing of related plan-form in incompressible flow. If the original wing of aspect ratio  $\bar{A}$  at Mach number  $M = \sqrt{(1 - \beta^2)}$ , is describing simple harmonic oscillations at a frequency  $\bar{p}/2\pi$ , then a solution for stability derivatives, to first-order accuracy in frequency, can be obtained by calculating the incompressible flow solution of a wing of reduced aspect ratio  $A_r = \beta \bar{A}$  (reduced laterally by the factor  $\beta$ ), with a changed mode of oscillation and a frequency  $p/2\pi = \bar{p}/2\pi\beta^2$ .

If l, k, w refer to the lift, circulation and downwash of the original wing  $\overline{A}$ , then

$$\begin{bmatrix}
\bar{l} = \rho V \Gamma \exp\{i(\lambda_m X + \bar{\omega}_m T)\} \\
k = K \exp\{i(\lambda_m X + \bar{\omega}_m T)\} \\
w = \beta W \exp\{i(\lambda_m X + \bar{\omega}_m T)\}
\end{bmatrix}$$
(23)

where  $\Gamma$ , K, W are the distributions defined in section 2 for the reduced wing A, at M = 0, oscillating at a mean frequency parameter value  $\omega_m = pc_m/V \rightarrow 0$ , and where

$$\bar{\omega}_m = rac{\bar{p}c_m}{V}$$
,  $\lambda_m = \left(rac{1-eta^2}{eta^2}
ight)ar{\omega}$ ,

$$ar{\omega}_m T = ar{p} t$$
 ,  $X = x/c_m$  .

For plunging and pitching oscillations of amplitude  $c_m z$  and  $\alpha$ , the tangential flow condition for the original wing  $\overline{A}$  is

Hence, by (23), the flow condition for the reduced wing  $A_{r}$  is

It is shown in Ref. 7 that to first-order accuracy in frequency

$$4\pi W = \iint_{z_i \to 0} K \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_1^2} \left(\frac{1}{r}\right) dx \, dy, \text{ where integration is over wing } A_r \text{ and wake.}$$

Thus the downwash W at a point  $(X_1, Y_1)$  on the reduced wing A, in incompressible flow, may be calculated by the method for  $\omega_m \to 0$  outlined in section 3. The set of downwash equations may be expressed in matrix notation as

where  $\omega_m = \bar{\omega}_m / \beta^2$ .

The column matrix  $\{C\} = \{C_{0i}, C_{11}, C_{21}, \ldots, C_{0m}, C_{1m}, C_{2m}, \ldots\}$ , where the  $C_{nm}$ 's are the arbitrary coefficients assumed in the  $\Gamma$  distribution. The column matrix  $\{W(X_j, Y_j)/V\}$  denotes the values of W/V at collocation points  $(X_j, Y_j)$  as given by equation (25). If these values are expanded in terms of  $\bar{\omega}_m$  and only terms of first order are retained, then equations (26) can be solved by the method given in section 4. The coefficients  $\{C\}$  for plunging and pitching oscillations are obtained in the form

where

$$\{C''\} = z \cdot \frac{1}{\beta} [A]^{-1} \{1\} - \alpha \left(\frac{1-2\beta^2}{\beta^3}\right) [A]^{-1} \{X_j\}$$
$$- \alpha \cdot \frac{1}{\beta^3} [A]^{-1} [B] [A]^{-1} \{1\}$$

and  $[A]^{-1}$  is the inverse matrix of [A].

The amplitude of the lift distribution for the original wing  $\overline{A}$  is, by (23) and (6),

(27)

where  $cA_m = sT_m = s\eta^{m-1} \sqrt{(1-\eta^2)}$ ,

semi-span of wing  $A_r = s = \beta \overline{s}$  and  $\overline{s} =$  semi-span of wing  $\overline{A}$ .

The stability derivatives for the original wing at Mach number M, are then obtained by integration, only terms of first order in frequency being retained.

In the present report the incompressible flow solutions for the delta wings  $A_r = 1.2$  and 2 were used to calculate the stability derivatives for pitching oscillations of the delta wing  $\overline{A} = 3$  at M = 0.745 and 0.917. The values are tabulated in Table 7, and the derivative  $-m_a$  is plotted against M in Fig. 6.

6. Results Quoted for Comparison.—Low-speed tests on the delta wing  $A_r = 1.2$  have recently been made at the N.P.L. for axis positions at  $0.431c_0$  and  $0.556c_0$  (Ref. 11). Values of the pitching derivatives are given in Table 2 for the  $0.556c_0$  axis and values of  $-m_a$  are plotted in Fig. 2 for both axis positions. The results quoted are for zero mean incidence and the tests show no amplitude effects. The derivatives were measured for frequency parameter values  $\omega_m = 0.06$  to 0.60 and were approximately constant over this range.

Tests on the arrowhead wing of aspect ratio 1.32 have recently been made at the N.P.L. Measurements for zero mean incidence, made by Scruton, Woodgate and Alexander<sup>11</sup>, indicate that the damping derivative  $-m_{\dot{a}}$  for an axis at  $0.738c_0 \equiv 1.063c_m$ , decreases approximately linearly from 0.196 to 0.135 for the range  $0.027 \leq \omega_m \leq 0.2$  and has a nearly constant value 0.135 for the range  $0.2 \leq \omega_m \leq 0.6$ . The value  $-m_{\dot{a}} = 0.21$  which is plotted in Fig. 4, was obtained by extrapolating these results to  $\omega_m = 0$ .

Values of  $-m_{\dot{a}}$ , for the delta wing  $\bar{A} = 3$ , have recently been measured by Bratt in a highspeed wind tunnel at the N.P.L.; the results given in Figs. 2 and 3 are for M = 0.4 and those plotted in Fig. 6 are for the subsonic flow range 0.4 < M < 0.9. The values quoted for the two axis positions  $0.431c_0$  and  $0.556c_0$  are for a mean frequency parameter value  $\omega_m = 0.07$ and zero mean incidence.

The Multhopp subsonic lifting-surface theory for steady motion is extended, in Ref. 4, to deal with harmonic oscillations of low frequency. Values of  $-m_a$  calculated by this method for the delta wing  $A_r = 3$ , the arrowhead wing 1.32 and the circular plate in incompressible flow, are plotted in Figs. 3 to 5. The effect of compressibility on the derivatives of the delta wing  $\overline{A} = 3$ , is also estimated in Ref. 4 and the values of  $-m_a$  are plotted against Mach number in Fig. 6. These results were obtained from solutions based on 2 chordwise and  $\overline{m}$  spanwise terms.

7. Concluding Remarks.—A comparison of the vortex-lattice results and experimental values indicates that the present method is satisfactory for the calculation of stability derivatives for incompressible flow. Solutions based on a  $21 \times 6$  lattice with 6 collocation points give reasonable accuracy even for wings of very low aspect ratio. The wings are assumed to be rigid in the present calculations, but the effects of distortion could readily be taken into account; the method of Ref. 1 has been applied to the case of a delta wing oscillating in elastic modes in Ref. 12.

For compressible flow, the present solutions give values of  $-m_{a}$  for the delta wing  $\bar{A} = 3$ , which are not in such good agreement with the measured values. This indicates that a very reliable method is required for the equivalent wing in incompressible flow. It is possible that the accuracy of the vortex-lattice solutions would be improved by taking more collocation points in the calculation, and further information on accuracy might also be provided by solutions based on a finer lattice. The difference between theory and experiment may also be partly due to the effects of thickness, boundary layer and wind-tunnel interference, since these are not allowed for in the calculation.

Acknowledgment.—The numerical results given in this report were calculated by Mrs. S. D. Burney of the Aerodynamics Division.

						REFERENCES
No.		Author				Title, etc.
1	W. P. Jones	•••	••	•••	••	The calculation of aerodynamic derivative coefficients for wings of any plan-form in non-uniform motion. R. & M. 2470. December, 1946.
2	D. E. Lehrian	••		••	••	Calculation of flutter derivatives for wings of general plan-form. A.R.C. 16,445. January, 1954.
3	W. P. Jones		••	••		Oscillating wings in compressible subsonic flow. R. & M. 2855. October, 1951.
4	H. C. Garner	•••	•••	••	•••	Multhopp's subsonic lifting surface theory of wings in slow pitching oscillations. R. & M. 2885. July, 1952.
5	D. E. Lehrian	••	••			Aerodynamic coefficients for an oscillating delta wing. R. & M. 2841. July, 1951.
6	V. M. Falkner	••	•••	••		The solution of lifting plane problems by vortex-lattice theory R. & M. 2591. September, 1947.
7	S. B. Berndt an	d K. Orli	k-Rüc	hema	ann	Comparison between theoretical and experimental lift distributions of plane delta wings at low speeds and zero yaw. K.T.H. Aero. T.N. 10. 1949.
8	W. P. Jones	••	•••	••		Aerodynamic forces on wings in simple harmonic motion. R. & M. 2026. February, 1945.
9	Staff of Math Preface by V	ıs. Divn . M. Fall	., N.I mer	P.L.	with	Tables of complete downwash due to a rectangular vortex. R. & M. 2461. July, 1947.
10	Staff of Maths.	Divn., N	I.P.L.	••	••	Downwash tables for the calculation of aerodynamic forces on oscillating wings. R. & M. 2956. July, 1952.
11	C. Scruton, L Alexander	Woodş	gate a	ind .	A. J.	Measurements of the aerodynamic derivatives for swept wings of low aspect ratio describing pitching and plunging oscillations in incompressible flow. R. & M. 2925. October, 1953.
12	D. L. Woodcoc	k	••	••	••	Aerodynamic derivatives for a delta wing oscillating in elastic modes. R.A.E. Report Structures 132. A.R.C. 15,349. July, 1952.

#### TABLE 1

### Chordwise Factors of the Rectangular Vortices Representing the Doublet Distributions $K'_a$ , $K'_b$ and $K_1$

k	$L'_{a}(k)$	$L_b'(k)$	L <sub>1</sub> (k)	Position of vortex on chord
1	$0 \cdot 4512\pi$	$-0.1143\pi$	$0.1709\pi$	1/12
2	$0.2051\pi$	$-0.2025\pi$	$0.0114\pi$	3/12
3	$0 \cdot 1367\pi$	$-0.2537\pi$	$-0.0358\pi$	5/12
4	$0.0976\pi$	$-0.2895\pi$	$-0.0553\pi$	7/12
5	$0.0684\pi$	$-0.3149\pi$	$-0.0570\pi$	9/12
6	$0.0410\pi$	$-0.3251\pi$	$-0.0342\pi$	11/12

When the spanwise parameter  $Y \ge 10$ , the 6-step lattice is replaced by a 2-step lattice with the following factors:

k	<i>L</i> '( <i>k</i> )	$L_b'(k)$	. L <sub>1</sub> (k)	Position of vortex, on chord
1	$0.750\pi$	$-0.5767\pi$	$0 \cdot 125\pi$	1/4
2	$0.250\pi$	$-0.9233\pi$	$-0 \cdot 125\pi$	3/4

#### TABLES 2A and 2B

### Aerodynamic Derivatives for a Family of Delta Wings of Taper Ratio 1/7

· · · ·	A <sub>r</sub>	$\omega_m$	$l_z$	lż	la	$l_{a}^{:}$	— m <sub>z</sub>	$-m_{z}$	$-m_{\alpha}$	$-m_{a}$
	1.2	<b>→</b> 0	0	0.815	0.815	0.778	0	-0.008	-0.008	0.268
Experiment		0.06 to 0.6			0.850	0.867			0.008	0.265
	2	<b>→</b> 0	0	1.191	1 · 191	0.940	0	-0.043	-0.043	0.313
From Ref. 2*	3	$\begin{array}{c} \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \cdot 13 \\ 0 \cdot 26 \\ 0 \cdot 26 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ -0.003 \\ -0.015 \\ -0.017 \end{array} $	$1 \cdot 539 \\ 1 \cdot 533 \\ 1 \cdot 521 \\ 1 \cdot 490$	$1 \cdot 539 \\ 1 \cdot 537 \\ 1 \cdot 531 \\ 1 \cdot 499$	$ \begin{array}{r} 0.926 \\ 0.970 \\ 1.021 \\ 1.030 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ -0.002 \\ -0.009 \\ -0.008 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} -0.083 \\ -0.085 \\ -0.090 \\ -0.082 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} -0.083 \\ -0.087 \\ -0.096 \\ -0.088 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.346 \\ 0.341 \\ 0.340 \\ 0.342 \end{array}$

A Derivatives for axis position  $hc_0 = 0.556c_0$ 

\* The method of Ref. 2 takes into account all frequency effects.

Axis	s position	$A_r = 1 \cdot 2$	$A_r = 2$		$A_r = 3$	
h	h'	$\omega_m \rightarrow 0$	$\omega_m \rightarrow 0$	$\omega_m \rightarrow 0$	= 0.13	= 0.26
. ,				0,000	0.654	9.695
0	-0.750	1.789	2.313	2.623	2.004	2.003
0.1	-0.575	1.401	1.787	1.998	2.023	1 510
0.2	-0.400	· 1·064	$1 \cdot 334$	1.468	1.487	1.210
0.3	-0.225	0.777	0.954	1.032	1.045	1.062
0.4	-0.050	0.539	0.646	0.691	0.697	0.707
0.5	0.125	0.352	0.412	0.443	0:442	0.446
0.6	0.300	0.214	0.251	0.290	0.281	· 0·277
0.0	0.475	0.126	0.163	0.231	0.215	0.202
0.7	0.473	0.099	0.147	0.267	0.242	0.220
0.8	0.650	0.000	0.147	0.207	0.363	0.330
0.9	0.825	0.100	0.205	0.097	0.579	0.534
$1 \cdot 0$	1.000	0.162	0.335	0.021	0.979	0.004

в	Variation	of the	pitching-moment	damping	coefficient	— m <sub>a</sub> with	
		•	axis bosi	tion			

 $A_r$  = Aspect ratio.  $hc_0$  = Distance back from apex.  $h'c_m$  = Distance back from leading edge at mean chord.

### TABLES 3A and 3B

#### Aerodynamic Derivatives for $\omega_m \rightarrow 0$ , for the Arrowhead Wing of Aspect Ratio 1.32

### A Derivatives for axis through wing apex

 $\tau$ 

B Variation of  $-m_a$  with the axis position  $h'c_m$ 

$l_z = 0 \ l_z = 0 \cdot 833$ ,	h'	$-m_{\alpha}$	h'	— m;
$l_{a} = 0.833$ $l_{a}^{2} = 1.491$ $-m_{z} = 0$ $-m_{z}^{2} = 0.795$ $-m_{a} = 0.795$ $-m_{a}^{2} = 1.653$	$ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \cdot 2 \\ 0 \cdot 4 \\ 0 \cdot 6 \\ 0 \cdot 8 \\ 1 \cdot 0 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} 1 \cdot 653 \\ 1 \cdot 230 \\ 0 \cdot 872 \\ 0 \cdot 582 \\ 0 \cdot 358 \\ 0 \cdot 200 \end{array} $	$     \begin{array}{r}       1 \cdot 2 \\       1 \cdot 4 \\       1 \cdot 6 \\       1 \cdot 8 \\       2 \cdot 0 \\       2 \cdot 1     \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \cdot 110 \\ 0 \cdot 085 \\ 0 \cdot 128 \\ 0 \cdot 237 \\ 0 \cdot 413 \\ 0 \cdot 525 \end{array}$
		$h' = rac{ ext{Distance}}{ ext{Me}}$	back from aperation back from $c_m$	x

TABLES 4A and 4B

Aerodynamic Derivatives for  $\omega_r \rightarrow 0$ , for the Circular Plate

A Derivatives for mid-chord axis position

B Variation of  $-m_a$  with the position h'r

$l_z = 0$ $l_z = 0.891$		h'	$-m_{\alpha}^{\cdot}$	h'	— m <sub>a</sub>
$l_{a} = 0.891$ $l_{a} = 1.196$ $-m_{z} = 0.$ $-m_{z} = -0.462$ $-m_{a} = -0.462$ $-m_{a} = 0.279$	•	$0 \\ 0 \cdot 2 \\ 0 \cdot 4 \\ 0 \cdot 6 \\ 0 \cdot 8 \\ 1 \cdot 0$	$     \begin{array}{r}       1 \cdot 904 \\       1 \cdot 437 \\       1 \cdot 040 \\       0 \cdot 715 \\       0 \cdot 462 \\       0 \cdot 279 \end{array} $	$     \begin{array}{r}       1 \cdot 2 \\       1 \cdot 4 \\       1 \cdot 6 \\       1 \cdot 8 \\       2 \cdot 0     \end{array} $	$0.168 \\ 0.128 \\ 0.160 \\ 0.262 \\ 0.436$
ener Antonio de la composición de la composi		h'	$= \frac{\text{Distance bac}}{\text{Rad}}$	k from axis <i>OY</i> ius <i>r</i>	<u> </u>

N.B. For the circular plate, the derivatives are defined in terms of radius r and the frequency parameter  $\omega_r = pr/V$ 

#### TABLE 5

### Values of the Downwash $W_{nm}$ at Collocation Points $(\xi, \eta)$ , as $\omega_m \rightarrow 0$ : Matrix $[W_{nm}] = [A + i\omega_m B]$

A Delta wing,  $A_r = 1 \cdot 2$ , taper ratio 1/7

1.5

the second second second

#### Values of A

	( <i>n</i> , <i>m</i> )							
$(\xi,\eta)$	(0, 1)	(0, 3)	(0, 5)	(1, 1)	(1,-3)	(1, 5)		
(0, 0.2)	2.108514	-0.192982	-0.097694	+0.376591	-0.049566	-0.018831		
(0 .0.6)	1.869389	+1.229127	+0.323397	+0.527714	-+0.188470	+0.020035		
$(0 \cdot 0 \cdot 8)$	1.460079	$+2 \cdot 153558$	+1.600454	+0.647579	+0.475148	+0.290190		
(0.6, 0.2)	1.858905	-0.450832	-0.204099	-0.035192	-0.035352	-0.013248		
$(0 \cdot \dot{6}, 0 \cdot 6)$	1.821870	+1.042284	+0.090942	-0.034863	-0.023507	-0.032319		
$(0 \cdot 6, 0 \cdot 8)$	1.791262	+2.329668	+1.622047	0.024056	+0.004907	-0.005391		

Values of B

\_\_\_\_

(0,0.2)	-0.902452	+0.037571	+0.043006	0	0	0
(0,0.6)	+0.590266	-0.337046	-0.146324	. 0	0	0
(0,0.8)	+1.189472	-0.092964	-0.226604	0	0	0
$(0 \cdot \dot{6}, 0 \cdot 2)$	-1.746289	+0.208218	+0.123541	0	0	0
$(0 \cdot \dot{6}, 0 \cdot 6)$	+0.105414	-0.636394	-0.186398	0	0	0
(0.6, 0.8)	+0.822195	-0.538394	-0.537242	0	0	0

Matrix  $[A]^{-1} =$  Inverse [A]

	-0.024799	+0.022579	+0.024209	+0.443605	+0.060215	+0.022560
·,	+0.180849	-0.264924	+0.113464	-0.959311	+1.181661	-0.235201
	-0.152872	+0.297337	-0.167934	+0.812630	-1.719792	+0.912387
•	+2.204322	+0.160846	-0.011079	$-2 \cdot 147829$	-0.380839	-0.137279
	-7.604031	+7.100503	$-1 \cdot 242028$	+7.171327	$-5 \cdot 351964$	+0.554261 .
•	+7.157274	-11.772497	+5.466740	-6.543621	+10.025678	$-4 \cdot 001210$
			-		- +	

\* Values computed using a 21  $\times$  6 lattice, see section 5.1.

15.

.

### TABLE 5-continued

### Values of the Downwash $W_{nm}^{+}$ at Collocation Points $(\xi, \eta)$ , as $\omega_m \rightarrow 0$ : Matrix $[W_{nm}] = [A + i\omega_m B]$

B Delta wing,  $A_r = 2$ , taper ratio 1/7

Values of A

(ξ, η)	(n, m)						
	(0, 1)	(0, 3)	(0, 5)	(1, 1)	(1, 3)	(1, 5)	
(0, 0.2)	2.246360	-0.198365	-0.109259	+0.491625	-0.039526	-0.017030	
(0 , 0.6)	2.075138	+1.276306	+0.333906	+0.673876	+0.247882	+0.047694	
(0 , 0.8)	1.841571	+2.347650	+1.720971	+0.789012	+0.572563	+0.360294	
(0.6, 0.2)	$2 \cdot 058579$	-0.418811	-0.193909	-0.073434	-0.033248	-0.010680	
(0.6, 0.6)	2.100124	+1.143870	+0.142683	-0.097033	-0.042369	-0.037870	
(0 · 6, 0 · 8)	$2 \cdot 105804$	$+2 \cdot 495959$	+1.730210	-0.102412	0.038766	-0.032003	

Values of B

	1						
(0,0.2)	-0.577420	+0.087529	+0.065797	0	0	0	
(0 , 0.6)	+0.884008	-0.239310	-0.098152	0	0	0	
(0 , 0·8)	+1.386536	-0.006636	-0.172537	0	0	0	
(0.6, 0.2)	-1.541114	+0.251686	+0.145470	0	0	0	
$(0 \cdot \dot{6}, 0 \cdot 6)$	+0.308518	-0.576252	-0.156581	0	0	0	
(0.6, 0.8)	+1.008446	-0.464492	-0.494361	0	0	0	

Matrix  $[A]^{-1} =$  Inverse [A]

 +0.004305	+0.031684	+0.012656	+0.382884	+0.031939	+0.021845
+0.115475	-0.173535	+0.095157	-0.904595	+1.097641	-0.245764
-0.100315	+0.169963	-0.095475	+0.770748	$-1 \cdot 585657$	+0.850936
+1.735261	-0.009304	+0.010876	-1.656416	-0.160768	-0.071822
-6.373714	+6.373417	<b>1</b> · 414931	+6.006561	-4.988878	+0.859485
+6.033481	-9.951018	+4.771559	$-5 \cdot 662248$	+8.538777	-3.783405

#### TABLE 5-continued

### Values of the Downwash $W_{nm}$ at Collocation Points $(\xi, \eta)$ , as $\omega_m \rightarrow 0$ : Matrix $[W_{nm}] = [A + i\omega_m B]$

### c Delta wing, $A_r = 3$ , taper ratio 1/7

#### Values of A

		(n, m)						
(ξ, η)	(0, 1)	(0, 3)	(0, 5)	(1, 1)	(1, 3)	(1, 5)		
(0,0.2)	$2 \cdot 458756$	-0.195188	-0.115555	+0.645743	-0.025716	-0.013964		
(0 , 0.6)	$2 \cdot 414351$	+1.374274	+0.365665	+0.869258	+0.324655	+0.082044		
(0,0.8)	2.330373	+2.610238	+1.882558	+1.003016	+0.712047	+0.456151		
(0.6, 0.2)	$2 \cdot 330578$	-0.386435	-0.185827	-0.126059	-0.033164	-0.009490		
(0.6, 0.6)	$2 \cdot 484706$	+1.280207	+0.205682	-0.180251	-0.066988	-0.044739		
$(0 \cdot \dot{6}, 0 \cdot 8)$	$2 \cdot 555151$	+2.742383	+1.889420	-0.205678	-0.099858	-0.06876		

#### Values of B

в

(0 , 0 · 2)	-0.103935	+0.163728	+0.098566	0	0	0	
(0 , 0 · 6)	+1.324390	-0.102120	-0.032462	0	0	0	
(0 , 0 · 8)	+1.749240	+0.144602	-0.080946	0	0	0	
$(0 \cdot \dot{6}, 0 \cdot 2)$	$-1 \cdot 216082$	+0.318155	+0.177002	0	0	0	
$(0 \cdot \dot{6}, 0 \cdot 6)$	+0.618644	-0.480253	-0.108708	0	0	0	
(0.6, 0.8)	+1.300771	-0.350863	-0.427588	0	0	0	
	1						

### Matrix $[A]^{-1}$ = Inverse [A]

-	+0.023749	+0.030203	+0.006559	+0.328850	+0.014627	+0.019822
	+0.055675	-0.083183	+0.061865	-0.843274	+0.999144	-0.233840
	-0.059792	+0.058528	-0.023527	+0.727855	$-1 \cdot 446895$	+0.766814
• •	+1.363347	-0.090436	+0.021499	-1.282768	-0.033984	-0.042999
-	-5.266358	+5.472015	$-1 \cdot 338337$	$+4 \cdot 938347$	$-4 \cdot 428781$	+0.920182
	+5.029755	$-8 \cdot 262751$	+3.943692	$-4 \cdot 746507$	+7.167276 .	$-3 \cdot 269687$

### TABLE 5-continued

### Values of the Downwash $W_{nm}$ at Collocation Points $(\xi, \eta)$ , as $\omega_m \rightarrow 0$ : Matrix $[W_{nm}] = [A + i\omega_m B]$

D Arrowhead wing,  $A_r = 1.32$ 

(5, m)	(n, m)							
(5, 7)	(0, 1)	(0, 3)	(0, 5)	(1, 1)	(1, 3)	(1, 5)		
(0 , 0 • 2)	$2 \cdot 455413$	-0.094812	-0.072411	+0.508700	0.046908	-0.016548		
(0 , 0.6)	1.709472	$+1 \cdot 246541$	+0.350006	+0.681309	+0.207564	+0.019803		
(0 , 0.8)	$1 \cdot 155726$	+1.991932	$+1 \cdot 493441$	+0.697997	+0.470449	+0.274126		
$(0 \cdot 6, 0 \cdot 2)$	$2 \cdot 177069$	-0.347152	-0.160035	-0.142266	-0.059275	-0.017160		
$(0 \cdot 6, 0 \cdot 6)$	$1 \cdot 880055$	$+1 \cdot 131840$	+0.152661	-0.071080	-0.085286	-0.074402		
(0.6, 0.8)	1.661872	$+2 \cdot 304480$	+1.627161	+0.042384	+0.006498	-0.023228		
Values of B						1		
(0 , 0 • 2)	-0.618615	-0.002877	+0.031718	0	0	0		
(0 , 0.6)	+0.840746	-0.326405	-0.149544	0	0	0		
(0 , 0.8)	$+1 \cdot 275811$	-0.183516	-0.309744	0 · · · ·	0	0		
$(0 \cdot \dot{6}, 0 \cdot 2)$	-1.575591	+0.123108	+0.090074	0	0	0		

+0.090074

-0.220355

-0.709154

-0.701210

-0.740021

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

Matrix  $[A]^{-1}$  = Inverse [A]

+0.199694

+0.878692

(0.6, 0.6)

(0.6, 0.8)

=	-0.005644	+0.065950	+0.020567	+0.397716	+0.001075	+0.005702
	+0.044716	-0.340813	+0.300989	-0.769294	+1.310483	-0.399569
	+0.011434	+0.227656	-0.344788	$+0.616710^{-1}$	-1.698750	+1.102595
	+1.631330	-0.052604	-0.049933	$-1 \cdot 601837$	-0.188179	-0.010150
	$-6 \cdot 176317$	+7.181906	-1.858422	+6.123068	-5.281307	+0.983731
	+6.082440	$-11 \cdot 233294$	+6.569049	-5.876145	+9.270489	-4.789940

#### TABLE 6

Circular Plate :	Values of the Downwash	$* W_{nm}$ at Collocation	Points $(\xi,\eta)$ , as	$\omega_r = pr/V \to 0.$
	Matrix [	$[W_{nm}] = [A + i\omega_r B]$		

Values of A

(ξ, η)	(n, m)						
	(0, 1)	(0, 3)	(0, 5)	(1, 1)	(1, 3)	(1, 5)	
(0,0.2)	1.588024	-0.392250	-0.182390	+0.399294	-0.049289	-0.022845	
(0,0.6)	1.573808	+0.827946	+0.045484	+0.394206	+0.183869	+0.024514	
(0,0.8)	1.581476	+1.912974	+1.315291	+0.394538	+0.394107	+0.26824	
(0.6, 0.2)	1.729384	-0.524945	-0.244644	+0.006680	-0.018514	-0.007363	
$(0 \cdot \dot{6}, 0 \cdot 6)$	1.772298	+0.927496	+0.008054	-0.011469	+0.031570	+0.006630	
(0.6, 0.8)	1.839798	$+2 \cdot 225071$	+1.506252	-0.046641	+0.064554	+0.06594	

#### Values of B

						and the second s	
(0, 0.2)	-0.683272	+0.333750	+0.156084	0	0	0	
(0,0.6)	-0.272188	-0.462896	-0.062111	0	0	0	
(0,0.8)	+0.234933	-0.663879	-0.569026	0	0	0	
(0.6, 0.2)	-1.771717	+0.653911	+0.308148	0 ·	0	0	
(0.6, 0.6)	-1.113127	0.946461	-0.080023	0	0	0	
(0.6, 0.8)	-0.314434	-1.440170	$-1 \cdot 101193$	0	0	0	

Matrix  $[A]^{-1} =$  Inverse [A]

_	0.014807	+0.031298	-0.012862	+0.439196	+0.020040	+0.079559
	+0.234863	-0.229869	+0.023623	-1.021814	$+1 \cdot 132930$	-0.157266
	-0.235226	+0.520231	°	+0.889188	$-1 \cdot 926126$	+0.981190
	$+2 \cdot 222748$	+0.109719	+0.180027	-1.947160	-0.134917	-0.206900
	$-6 \cdot 397469$	+7.473457	$-1 \cdot 153862$	$+5 \cdot 434107$	$-6 \cdot 134857$	+0.922633
	+5.695743	$-12 \cdot 237549$	+6.226831	-4.782236	+10.281807	$-5 \cdot 209850$

\* Values of the downwash computed using a  $21 \times 6$  lattice, see section 5.1: r = radius of circle.

.19

TABLE 7

, Ha

Effect of Compressibility on the Derivatives of the Delta Wing  $\bar{A} = 3$ , for Pitching Oscillations as  $\bar{\omega}_m \rightarrow 0$ 

М	hc <sub>o</sub>	l <sub>a</sub>	là	— <i>m</i> <sub>a</sub>	$-m_{a}$
0 0·745 0·917	0 · 431c <sub>0</sub>	$1 \cdot 539$ $1 \cdot 786$ $2 \cdot 036$	1 · 262 1 · 014 0 · 066	0 · 253 0 · 326 0 · 425	0.604 0.847 1.129
0 0·745 0·917	0 · 556c <sub>0</sub>	$1 \cdot 539$ $1 \cdot 786$ $2 \cdot 036$	$ \begin{array}{c c} 0.926 \\ 0.623 \\ -0.379 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} -0.083 \\ -0.065 \\ -0.021 \end{array} $	0.346 0.640 1.119

 $hc_0 =$  Position of axis measured from apex

`







FIG. 2. Variation of  $-m_{\dot{a}}$  with axis position—family of delta wings with taper ratio 1/7.













FIGS. 6a and 6b. Effect of compressibility on the derivative  $-m_{\dot{\alpha}}$  for delta wing  $\bar{A} = 3$  as  $\bar{\omega}_m \rightarrow 0$ .

PRINTED IN GREAT BRITAIN

#### R. & M. No. 2922

## Publications of the Aeronautical Research Council

#### ANNUAL TECHNICAL REPORTS OF THE AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL (BOUND VOLUMES)

1938 Vol. I. Aerodynamics General, Performance, Airscrews. 50s. (51s. 8d.) Vol. II. Stability and Control, Flutter, Structures, Seaplanes, Wind Tunnels, Materials. 30s. (31s. 8d.) 1939 Vol. I. Aerodynamics General, Performance, Airscrews, Engines. 50s. (51s. 8d.) Vol. II. Stability and Control, Flutter and Vibration, Instruments, Structures, Seaplanes, etc. 63s. (64s. 8d.) 1940 Aero and Hydrodynamics, Aerofoils, Airscrews, Engines, Flutter, Icing, Stability and Control, Structures, and a miscellaneous section. 50s. (51s. 8d.) 1941 Aero and Hydrodynamics, Aerofoils, Airscrews, Engines, Flutter, Stability and Control, Structures. 63s. (64s. 8d.) 1942 Vol. I. Aero and Hydrodynamics, Aerofoils, Airscrews, Engines. 75s. (76s. 8d.) Vol. II. Noise, Parachutes, Stability and Control, Structures, Vibration, Wind Tunnels. 47s. 6d. (49s. 2d.) 1943 Vol. I. Aerodynamics, Aerofoils, Airscrews. 80s. (81s. 8d.) Vol. II. Engines, Flutter, Materials, Parachutes, Performance, Stability and Control, Structures. 90s. (91s. 11d.) 1944 Vol. I. Aero and Hydrodynamics, Aerofoils, Aircraft, Airscrews, Controls. 84s. (86s. 9d.) Vol. II. Flutter and Vibration, Materials, Miscellaneous, Navigation, Parachutes, Performance, Plates and Panels, Stability, Structures, Test Equipment, Wind Tunnels. 84s. (86s. 9d.) ANNUAL REPORTS OF THE AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL-1933-34 1s. 6d. (1s. 8<sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>d.) 1937 28. (28.  $2\frac{1}{2}d$ .) 1s. 6d. (1s. 8<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>d.) 1934-35 1s. 6d. (1s.  $8\frac{1}{2}d$ .) 1938 April 1, 1935 to Dec. 31, 1936 4s.  $(4s. 5\frac{1}{2}d.)$ 1939-48  $3s. (3s. 3\frac{1}{2}d.)$ INDEX TO ALL REPORTS AND MEMORANDA PUBLISHED IN THE ANNUAL TECHNICAL **REPORTS, AND SEPARATELY-**April, 1950 -R. & M. No. 2600. 2s. 6d. (2s.  $7\frac{1}{2}d$ .) AUTHOR INDEX TO ALL REPORTS AND MEMORANDA OF THE AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL-1909-January, 1954 R. & M. No. 2570. 15s. (15s.  $5\frac{1}{2}d$ .) INDEXES TO THE TECHNICAL REPORTS OF THE AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL-December 1, 1936 — June 30, 1939. R. & M. No. 1850. 18. 3d. (18.  $4\frac{1}{2}d$ .) July 1, 1939 — June 30, 1945. R. & M. No. 1950. 18. (18.  $1\frac{1}{2}d$ .) July 1, 1945 — June 30, 1946. – July 1, 1946 — December 31, 1946. R. & M. No. 2050. 15. (15.  $1\frac{1}{2}d$ .) R: & M. No. 2150. 18. 3d. (18.  $4\frac{1}{2}d$ .) January 1, 1947 — June 30, 1947. – R. & M. No. 2250. 18. 3*d*. (18.  $4\frac{1}{2}d$ .) PUBLISHED REPORTS AND MEMORANDA OF THE AERONAUTICAL RESEARCH COUNCIL-Between Nos. 2251-2349. R. & M. No. 2350. 15. 9d. (15. 10<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>d.) Between Nos. 2351-2449. R. & M. No. 2450. 25. (25.  $1\frac{1}{2}d$ .) Between Nos. 2451-2549. 2s. 6d. (2s. 7<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>d.) R. & M. No. 2550. Between Nos. 2551-2649. R. & M. No. 2650. 2s. 6d. (2s.  $7\frac{1}{2}d$ .) Prices in brackets include postage HER MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE York House, Kingsway, London W.C.2; 423 Oxford Street, London W.1 (Post Orders: P.O. Box 569, London S.E.1); 13a Castle Street, Edinburgh 2; 39 King Street, Manchester 2; 2 Edmund Street, Birmingham 3; 109 St. Mary Street, Cardiff; Tower Lane, Bristol 1; 80 Chichester Street, Belfast, or through any bookseller S.O. Code No. 23-2922

R. & M. No. 2922