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Summary.-(a) Introductory. (Reason for enquiry.)-The investigation was undertaken in response to a request from the Technical Department of the Air Board for information as to the most sensitive form of yawmeter, and for a calibration curve for such an instrument. The original form of yawmeter suggested by Mr. (now Sir) Horace Darwin was used by Mr. F. T. Busk in his experiments in 1912 (see Rpt. 1912-13, p. 254) and a yawmeter on the same principle has been used in several wind channel investigations at the N.P.L. and has been described in R. and M. 156 and 371. A direct reading instrument of this type was described by Sir Horace Darwin in his Wilbur Wright Lecture of 1913.
(b) Range of investigation.-The variation of pressure with angle of inclination to the wind was determined on several sizes of pitot tubes, and from this curve it was predicted that the best angle between the axes of the two tubes of the yawmeter would be $120^{\circ}$. The sensitivity was found experimentally to be about 1.7 times as great as for the original form in which the angle was $90^{\circ}$. Various forms of yawmeter were tested until one was found which gave a result which could have been predicted from the experiment with the single pitot tube giving greatest sensitivity.
(c) Conclusions.-The experiments indicate that, in plan view, the arms of the yawmeter should be straight and bevelled to a sharp edge at the end. The embraced angle should be $120^{\circ}$ and the tube should not be of very small diameter. A tube of $0^{\prime \prime} \cdot 30$ internal diameter was found to be satisfactory, and a calibration curve for this instrument is given in the report.
(d) Applications and further developments.-The instrument is capable of measuring angles with considerable accuracy, and can be used on aircraft or in the wind channel. If measurements are required in one plane only, they can be made very simply by turning the yawmeter till the pressure difference is zero, and reading off the angle from a degree scale.

A request was recently received from the Technical Department of the Air Board for information as to most suitable design of yawmeter for use on an aeroplane. Some preliminary measurements had already been carried out, and these were extended with the results described in the present report.

A yawmeter of the type described below appears to have been first used by Mr. E. T. Busk* in 1912 at the Royal Aircraft Establishment for the measurement of wind direction on an

[^0]aeroplane. A suction tube was also fitted behind a cone enabling the speed to be determined when the calibration of the instrument was known.

An indicator, by means of which the angle could be observed without the necessity for any adjustment, was devised for use with the yawmeter by Sir Horace Darwin, F.R.S.*

As the instrument has been described elsewhere, it will suffice here to state that the yawmeter consists essentially of two pitot tubes set with their axes inclined to the wind direction so that when that inclination is varied there is a difference of pressure between the two tubes. Two forms of yawmeter are shown diagramatically in Fig. 2. An instrument was constructed at the National Physical Laboratory (and described in Report R. and M. 156) with two pairs of tubes for measurement of direction in two perpendicular planes; a suction tube, similar to the one on Mr. Busk's instrument, was added for measurement of velocity. The present investigation was directed to determining the most sensitive arrangement of tubes for measurement of direction only in one plane.

Method of experiment.-Since the sensitivity of the yawmeter depends essentially on the rate of change of pressure with angle in the tubes of which it is constructed, the first step was to determine this variation for a pitot tube alone. This was done for the pitot tube of the National Physical Laboratory standard head, and as the apparatus was erected it was thought useful to observe also the variation of pressure difference between the pitot and static pressure tubes of this head for various inclinations to the wind. Inspection of the curve thus obtained suggested that the maximum sensitivity would be obtained by placing the two tubes of the yawmeter with their axes inclined at $120^{\circ}$ to each other (mutually at $60^{\circ}$ to the wind direction) instead of at $90^{\circ}$ as previously adopted. In order to test this assumption a yawmeter was made in which the angle between the tubes was $90^{\circ}$ and after calibration this angle was increased to $120^{\circ}$ (No. 1, Fig. 2). The sensitivity of the latter was found to be more than 1.7 times that of the former and this angle was adopted for all subsequent instruments. This yawmeter was constructed of tube $0^{\prime \prime} \cdot 30$ internal and $0^{\prime \prime} \cdot 375$ external diameter, but it was found that the sensitivity was less than that which was expected from an examination of the curve for the pitot of the standard head, on the assumption that the two tubes of the yawmeter did not interfere with each other. The sensitivity was improved somewhat by bevelling the tubes (as shown in Fig. 2), but the curve still fell below that deduced. Accordingly a yawmeter was constructed of the nearest tube to that of the standard head which was available, a single tube being shown at No. 3a in Fig. 1. An experiment showed this instrument to be rather less sensitive than the one constructed of the $0^{\prime \prime} \cdot 30$ tube. Both yawmeters

[^1]were next taken to pieces and the single pitot tubes (shaped like No. 3a, Fig. 1) were tested for variation of pressure with angle. A pitot tube of hypodermic syringe tubing similar to that used in constructing the N.P.L. instrument, was tested in the same manner. These three tubes all showed loss of sensitivity as compared with the pitot of the standard head. This loss was found to be mainly due, in the two larger tubes, to the bend, because when they were straightened (as No. 1 and No. 3 in Fig. 1) the large tube gave results in agreement with those for the standard, and the curve for the intermediate tube differed very little from it, the slope over the important part of the curve being almost identical in the two cases. Finally, a yawmeter of the type shown in Fig. 2 No. 2 was constructed of the $0^{\prime \prime} \cdot 30$ pitot tubes (the large tubes were chosen as the readings appeared to be steadier) and was found to have a sensitivity practically equal to the deduced value up to $15^{\circ}$ and within 3 per cent. of it up to $30^{\circ}$.

The experiments on the N.P.L. standard head (Fig. 3) were carried out in the 3 ft . channel, those on the hypodermic tube in the No. 2, 4 ft ., and the remainder in the No. $1,4 \mathrm{ft}$. The wind speed was 40 ft . $/ \mathrm{sec}$. unless the contrary is stated.

Results.-Values are given in Table 1 showing the ratio $\mathrm{P} \theta / \mathbf{P}_{o}$ (where $\mathbf{P}_{0}$ is the pressure difference between pitot tube and atmosphere, or between pitot tube and static pressure tube, when the axis of the pitot tube is parallel to the wind direction, and $P \theta$ the corresponding pressure difference when inclined at the angle $\theta$ ) for various angles of inclination of ( $a$ ) the pitot of the standard head, (b) both tubes of the standard head, and (c) a pitot tube of an internal diameter of about $0^{\prime \prime} \cdot 01$ (see No. 4, Fig. 1). Results ( $a$ ) and (b) are plotted in Fig. 3; C is plotted in Fig. 4 in comparison with (a) and a few observations made on tube No. 3 Fig. 1 of nearly the same diameter as the standard, but of a smaller outside diameter. Table 2 gives the results of experiments with various forms of yawmeter, the assumption being made that the ratio $/ \frac{1}{2} \rho V^{2}$ is independent of speed; these values are plotted on an angle base in Fig. 5. Table 3 gives values of the pressure difference for angles of 5,10 and $15^{\circ}$ when the speed is $100 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{h}$., taking a mean value of 0.094 per degree for $\mathrm{P} / \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{\rho} \mathrm{~V}^{2}$.

Discussion of the results.-The observations present several interesting features; the first, which may be noticed in Fig. 1, is the accuracy with which observations from the standard head at different speeds fall on the same curves. It is true the variation is only from 40 to $50 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$., but even for this change the pressure is increased 1.5 times. The curve for the pitot and static tubes shows that in an observation of speed the maximum error possible due to inclination of this tube would be $\pm 6$ per cent. if the angle did not exceed about $53^{\circ}$. This angle is presumably about as large as any likely to be attained by an aeroplane during a spin. The curves in Fig. 4 show the diminished
reduction of pressure which takes place in a pitot tube of very small dimensions. This tube was not similar in general shape to the larger ones, but it is unlikely that the change is due to this cause ; there is a small change in $\mathrm{P}_{\theta} / \mathrm{P}_{o}$ due to speed in the direction of less suction at higher wind speeds. This effect is opposed to that which would be expected if the loss of sensitivity in the small tube were due to a change of $v l$; it would have been more satisfactory to have carried the investigation, further, but other urgent work prevented more time being spent on these experiments.

The sensitivity of some of the yawmeters which were made is shown by the curves in Fig. 5 ; the reduced sensitivity of the bent tube yawmeters, which is presumably due to the interference of one part of the tube with another, is surprising, but was obtained with two instruments of very different diameters. It will be seen that the pressure difference in the No. 2 (Fig. 2) yawmeter is practically equal to that deduced from the measurements with the standard pitot.

It should be noted that a very direct and sensitive method of using a yawmeter is to turn the tubes till the pressure in the two is equal. The angle is then read off directly from a degree scale, and it appears that for the size of yawmeter likely to be employed in full scale work this plan could be adopted for measurement of direction in two planes.

If it is undesirable for the instrument to require manipulation of this kind it is important that the speed should be observed at the same time as the pressure difference in the tubes of the yawmeter, or that an instrument, such as that due to Sir Horace Darwin, should be used. In this device the pressures are led to two flexible diaphragms, each of which is connected to the indicating needle by a rod, in such a manner that the needle sets itself along the line of action of the resultant of the forces in the two rods. The direction of the resultant should be independent of speed and the apparatus therefore capable of direct calibration for angle. This instrument appears to be quite sound in principle, and its satisfactory working seems to depend on the production of sufficiently accurate mechanism.

In wind channel work the yawmeter is usually "lined up " by turning in the unobstructed wind until equal pressure is obtained, but in cases where it is necessary to work to a datum line the direction of the axis of each tube near its mouth can probably be obtained by means of plugs which fit into the tube for a short distance, and which have shoulders to bear against the turned end of the yawmeter tube. The usual method of using a yawmeter in a wind channel and a convenient form of diagram for reducing the results are described in R. and M. 371.

- Table 1.

CHANGE OF PRESSURE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN PITOT TUBE AND ATMOSPHERE, AND OF THAT BETWEEN

PITOT AND STATIC TUBES, WITH ANGLE OF
INCLINATION.

| Angle Degs. | Pitot of standard <br> head (No. 2) and <br> atmosphere. $\mathrm{P}_{\theta} / \mathrm{P}_{o}$. |  | Standard pitat and static tubes. $\mathrm{P}_{\theta} / \mathrm{P}_{0}$. |  | Hypodermic tube No. 4 and atmosphere. $\mathrm{P}_{\theta} / \mathrm{P}_{o}$. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Speed $40 \mathrm{ft} . / \mathrm{sec}$. | Speed $50 \mathrm{ft} . / \mathrm{sec}$. | Speed 40 ft . /sec. | Speed 50 ft . /⿴ec. | Speed $40 \mathrm{ft} . / \mathrm{sec}$. | Speed 70 ft . $/ \mathrm{sec}$. |
| 0 | +1.000 | $+1.000$ | $+1.000$ | $+1.000$ | $+1.000$ | +1.000 |
| 5 | 1.000 |  | 1.010 | - | - 1.000 | 0.998 |
| 10 | $1 \cdot 000$ | - | 1.027 | - | $1 \cdot 000$ | $0 \cdot 988$ |
| 15 | 0.998 |  | $1 \cdot 050$ | - | $0 \cdot 986$ | $0 \cdot 976$ |
| 20 | 0.987 | $+0.997$ | $1 \cdot 084$ | +1.089 | $0 \cdot 965$ | $0 \cdot 940$ |
| 25 | 0.977 | - | $1 \cdot 110$ | + | 0.924 | 0.808 |
| 30 | 0.958 | - | $1 \cdot 131$ | - | 0.848 | 0.821 |
| 35 | 0.883 | - | $1 \cdot 124$ | - | $0 \cdot 746$ | 0.734 |
| 40 | 0.785 | $+0.778$ | $1 \cdot 096$ | $+1 \cdot 110$ | 0.648 | 0.650 |
| 45 | 0.628 | - | $1 \cdot 040$ |  | 0.519 | 0.511 |
| 50 | 0.449 | - | $0 \cdot 935$ | - | 0.368 | 0.439 |
| 55 | $+0.231$ | - | 0.770 | - | $0 \cdot 197$ | 0.199 |
| 60 | -0.017 | $-0.014$ | 0.588 | $+0.576$ | $+0.023$ | +0.005 |
| 65 | $-0.258$ | - | $0 \cdot 358$ | - | -0.159 | -0.182 |
| 70 | -0.532 | - | +0.130 | - | -0.356 | $-0.375$ |
| 75 | $-0.788$ | - | -0.119 | - | $-0.572$ | $-0.570$ |
| 80 | -1.061 | - 1-063 | -0.362 | $-0.340$ | $-0.760$ | $-0.795$ |
| 85 | $-1.262$ | - | $-0.587$ | - | $-0.965$ | -0.988 |
| 90 | - 1.422 | - | -0.751 | - -0.729 | $-1.088$ | - 1.085 |
| 95 | $-1.533$ | - | $-0.894$ | -0.861 | -0.992 | -0.800 |
| 97 | $-1.538$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| 100 | $-1.200$ | - | -0.764 | $-0.755$ | $-0.757$ | -0.658 |
| 102 | $-0.975$ | - | -0.452 | - | - | - |
| 105 | - 0.868 | - | -0.228 | - | -0.647 | -0.587 |
| 110 | $-0.728$ | - | $-0.104$ | - | -0.541 | -0.483 |
| 115 | -0.571 | - | $+0.019$ | - | -0.443 | -0.404 |
| 120 | -0.458 | -0.477 | + $0 \cdot 109$ | $+0.126$ | $-0.375$ | -0.348 |
| 125 | -0.403 | - | $+0.143$ | - | -0.345 | - 0.320 |
| 130 | -0.343 | $-0.358$ | $+0.162$ | $+0 \cdot 170$ | -0.318 | -0.286 |
| 135 | -0.289 | - | $+0.187$ | - | -0.296 | -0.262 |
| 140 | -0.260 | - | +0.172 | - | -0.281 | -0.251 |
| 145 | $-0.256$ | - | +0.113 | - | -0.254 | -0.246 |
| 150 | $-0.258$ | - | $+0.058$ | - | -0.254 | -0.250 |
| 155 | -0.283 | - | $+0.006$ | - | -0.227 | -0.226 |
| 160 | $-0.255$ | $-0.266$ | -0.036 | -0.036 | -0.197 | -0.213 |
| 165 | -0.227 | - | -0.064 | - | -0.174 | -0.189 |
| 170 | -0.193 | - | -0.081 | - | $-0.152$ | -0.162 |
| 175 | -0.145 | - | -0.073 | - | -0.125 | -0.128 |
| 180 | -0.098 | $-0.111$ | -0.047 | $-0.055$ | -0.114 | $-0.122$ |
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Table 2.
YAWMETER SENSITIVITY.
Values of $P / \frac{1}{2} \rho V^{2}$.
$P=$ pressure difference. $\quad V=$ relative speed.
$p=$ density of the fluid.

| Angle.* <br> Degrees | Deduced values. | No. 1 type of yawmeter. |  | No. 2 type of yewmeter. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Large tube. | Small tube | Large tube. |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 0.477 | - | 0.434 | $0 \cdot 480$ |
| 10 | 0.970 | $0 \cdot 914$ | 0.867 | 0953 |
| 15 | $1 \cdot 405$ | - | $1 \cdot 26$ | 1.39 |
| 20 | $1 \cdot 84$ | $1 \cdot 63$ | 1.59 | 1.78 |
| 25 | $2 \cdot 14$ | - | - | $2 \cdot 07$ |
| 30 | $2 \cdot 36$ | 1.99 | $2 \cdot 07$ | $2 \cdot 31$ |
| 35 | $2 \cdot 53$ | - | - | $2 \cdot 36$ |
| 40 | $2 \cdot 20$ | 1.875 | $1 \cdot 73$ | $1 \cdot 94$ |
| 45 | 1.86 | - | - | 190 ? |
| 50 | $1 \cdot 72$ | $1.82{ }_{5}$ | $1 \cdot 60$ | $1 \cdot 67$ |
| 55 | 1.58 | - | - | $1 \cdot 52$ |
| 60 | 1.07 | $1 \cdot 455$ | 1.39 | - |

## Table 3.

PRESSURE DIFFERENCE IN INCHES OF WATER AT 100 m.p.h. IN NORMAL AIR, CALCULATED FROM THE MEAN SLOPE UP TO $15^{\circ}$, WHERE $P / \rho V^{2}=0.094$ PER DEGREE.

| Angle.* <br> Degrees. | Pressure difference. <br> Inches of waster. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 |
| 5 | $2 \cdot 31$ |
| 10 | 4.61 |
| 15 | 6.92 |

* The angle between the vertical plane of symmetry of the yawmeter and the wind direction.


[^0]:    * Report Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, 1912-13, p. 254.

[^1]:    © Wilbur Wright lecture, Aeyonawtical Jowrnal, July, 1913.

